Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance 13(1): 15-23, 2025 http://www.hrpub.org
DOI: 10.13189/ujaf.2025.130102

Unlocking the Efficiency of Artificial Intelligence in Financial Fraud Detection and its Integration into Audit Processes to Achieve Overall Audit Efficiency: A Comprehensive Analysis in Muscat, Oman

Gopalan Puthukulam, Anitha Ravikumar*

College of Economics and Business Administration, University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Sultanate of Oman

Received September 15, 2024; Revised November 6, 2024; Accepted November 24, 2024

Cite This Paper in the Following Citation Styles

(a): *[1] Gopalan Puthukulam, Anitha Ravikumar , "Unlocking the Efficiency of Artificial Intelligence in Financial Fraud Detection and its Integration into Audit Processes to Achieve Overall Audit Efficiency: A Comprehensive Analysis in Muscat, Oman," Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 15 - 23, 2025. DOI: 10.13189/ujaf.2025.130102.*

(b): *Gopalan Puthukulam, Anitha Ravikumar (2025). Unlocking the Efficiency of Artificial Intelligence in Financial Fraud Detection and its Integration into Audit Processes to Achieve Overall Audit Efficiency: A Comprehensive Analysis in Muscat, Oman. Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, 13(1), 15 - 23. DOI: 10.13189/ujaf.2025.130102.*

Abstract Accounting fraud is a manipulating act done by the compilers of the financial statements and their supervisors alike by which the fraudulent manipulated financial statements to manipulate the financial position of the company resulting in the maximization of profits and hiding the losses. This study is conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of AI-based tools in detecting financial fraud compared to traditional audit techniques and to investigate the potential benefits and challenges of integrating AI-based tools into the audit process for fraud detection. It also aims to assess the impact of AI-based tools on overall audit efficiency, identify areas where they can be most effective, and explore the attitudes and perceptions of auditors towards using AI-based tools for fraud detection and the factors that influence their adoption. The study's findings highlight the potential of AI-powered solutions in improving auditing methods. AI technology can help auditors discover financial fraud, enhance audit efficiency, and optimize resource allocation. It further shows that these technologies may considerably improve the efficacy of identifying financial fraud, improve overall audit efficiency, and minimize audit time and resources.

Keywords Artificial Intelligence, Accounting Fraud, Audit Processes, Detection, Efficiency, Benefits and Challenges

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence is the expertise of machines to simulate human intellect and accomplish activities that usually involve human intelligence, which includes learning, solving problems, decision-making skills, and understanding natural languages [1]. The evolution of technology has propelled considerable transformations in the businesses operations. In business organizations, auditing involves analyzing and testing a huge volume of transactions. Hence, testing and analyzing with the help of manual auditing is impracticable [2]. Fraud detection and prevention is a critical area of research in auditing, as fraudulent activities can have severe financial and reputational reparations for organizations. Researchers are exploring new techniques for detecting and preventing fraud, with a focus on using data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) [3]. Data analytics is a powerful tool for fraud detection and prevention, as it allows auditors to analyze large amounts of data quickly and efficiently. Data analytics can help auditors identify unusual patterns or anomalies in financial data, which may indicate fraudulent activity. AI technologies, such as machine learning and natural language processing, can help auditors identify patterns in data and make predictions about future events [4]. For example, machine-learning algorithms can be used to analyze historical financial data to identify patterns of fraud, which can then be used to detect potential fraud in real time. Blockchain technology can help auditors track and verify financial transactions, while biometric authentication can help prevent identity fraud [5].

Each year, fraud costs investors a lot of money. Financial accounting fraud detection (FAFD) has emerged as a major area of interest for educators, researchers, and business communities due to the present state of the economy. The employment of specific techniques to detect financial accounting fraud is a result of the organization's internal auditing system's inability to detect accounting fraud [6]. Frauds that have an impact on issuers and their investors can include asset theft, improper financial reporting, and corruption [3]. Moreover, auditors are particularly interested in anomalies like fraud that cause a significantly misstated financial report since they are legally obligated to find and disclose such irregularities. Significant inconsistencies include purposeful actions, personnel fraud, management fraud, and mistakes [7]. Artificial Intelligence provides an effective solution to this mounting challenge. AI analyzes enormous volumes of data from varied sources, encompassing financial operations, emails, and social media activities to spot delicate patterns and inconsistencies revealing misleading behavior [8].

1.1. Accounting Fraud

Accounting fraud is a manipulating act done by the compilers of the financial statements and their supervisors alike by which the fraudulent manipulated financial statements to manipulate the financial position of the company resulting maximization of profits and hiding the losses. Fraudulent activities include a range of dishonest methods like misappropriation, and cybercrime, manipulation of accounts, inflicting substantial financial losses on companies, and destroying public trust. According to the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), companies worldwide lose 5% of their revenue to fraud, amounting to trillions of dollars yearly [9].

Fraud detection in corporations is very important to get accurate financial statements that are free from material misstatements and are true and fair. Businesses increasingly face the challenge of detecting financial fraud and maintaining the integrity of financial statements, so it becomes imperative to explore the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) in revolutionizing the audit process [10]. The problem revolves around the effectiveness and integration of AI-based tools in detecting financial fraud and achieving overall audit efficiency. Traditional audit techniques may not be equipped to handle the complexity and scale of modern financial fraud, thereby necessitating the exploration of AI-powered solutions [3]. Additionally, the attitudes and perceptions of auditors towards AI-based tools and the factors influencing their adoption need to be examined. Large entities, in particular, encounter significant challenges when it comes to detecting errors and fraud. The primary concern revolves around the risk of material misstatement, which has proven to cause substantial financial losses for companies. To mitigate these risks and ensure the accuracy of financial reports, auditors must design and implement robust auditing fraud detection models. These models enable auditors to gather adequate and appropriate audit evidence, thereby minimizing the occurrence of errors and fraudulent activities. By designing and executing an effective audit model, auditors can obtain the necessary audit evidence to identify and mitigate the risk of material misstatement. This proactive approach plays a vital role in safeguarding the integrity and reliability of financial reports [11].

In addition, it is very important to determine whether AI-powered tools outperform traditional methods in identifying fraudulent activities within financial statements. By comparing the accuracy and efficiency of AI-based tools with traditional audit techniques, the research aims to provide insights into the effectiveness of AI in detecting financial fraud. It is also imperative to know the potential benefits and challenges of integrating AI-based tools into the audit process for fraud detection. Lastly, it is very crucial to know the impact of AI-based tools on overall audit efficiency and identify areas where they can be most effective. The purpose of this research is to investigate how AI-powered tools can help auditors identify errors and fraud and how these tools can be integrated into the auditing process to enhance overall audit efficiency.

2. Objectives of the Study

1. To evaluate the effectiveness of AI-based tools in detecting financial fraud compared to traditional audit techniques
2. To investigate the potential benefits and challenges of integrating AI-based tools into the audit process for fraud detection.
3. To assess the impact of AI-based tools on overall audit efficiency and identify areas where they can be most effective.
4. To explore the attitudes and perceptions of auditors towards the use of AI-based tools for fraud detection and the factors that influence their adoption.

3. Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

3.1. Use of AI-Powered Tools in Auditing in Detecting Errors and Frauds

George et al. [12] studied how auditors make use of Big Data in their audit engagement. The study explored socio-materiality literature, observation, and interviews with individuals who are directly connected to big data analytics. The findings indicated that properties of big data analytics such as scripts have made large-scale automation of audit routines, expanding evidential scope and digging down to the core areas. The study also indicated that visualization dashboards have contributed to auditor ability to communicate their claims and professional judgment. In addition, Werner et al. [10] investigated how process mining can be integrated into the audit practices by evaluating the audit standards. The study indicates the probability of incorporating process mining within financial statement audits by following auditing standards and GAAP. The result also indicates that the use of process mining techniques increases the reliability of overall audit conclusions, and improves overall audit efficiency by replacing manual audit procedures. Nonnenmacher & Gómez [13] used auto-encoders as an unsupervised method in auditing practices in a practical case study. The result shows that the use of auto encoders can help auditors in the audit practices, and audit-planning processes, and enhance overall audit quality of audit engagement. Hakami et al. [14] clarified that all businesses, financial statement fraud, and other types of fraud pose a serious threat. According to a 2016 report from the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), only 3.7% of all fraud cases worldwide occur in the Middle East, which includes all six Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) nations. Additionally, earlier research showed that financial statement fraud detection models like the Beneish M score, Dechow F score, and Altman Z-score are crucial indicators of fraud.

As pointed out by Salim [15] in their study identified that fraud has become much more complicated and challenging to detect, particularly when it is surreptitious in nature and committed by top management who are skilled at hiding it. In this context, auditors have maintained that the detection of fraud should not be their responsibility.

3.2. AI Integrated Auditing

According to Beneish [16] and Irwandi et al. [17], if agency theory is taken into consideration, agency issues, specifically the lack of interest alignment between owners and management, are the root cause of the low disclosure of information in financial reporting. According to Kothari et al. [18], information asymmetry between managers and shareholders gives managers the freedom to select the accounting techniques and profit estimates that are used to report a company's earnings, allowing management to manage earnings. The result is that fraud detection is a crucial issue. Fraud detection skills quickly become essential. Because there are so many different reasons why financial statements can be fraudulent as well as so many different ways to detect them, finding fraudulent financial statements is not always easy. Ileberi et al. [19] explain how AI algorithms are used to detect financial fraud in credit card transactions. The study found that AI-based models were able to detect fraudulent transactions with high accuracy and could provide actual alerts to avoid additional damage. Haqq & Budiwitjaksono [20] explain that "Pentagon Theory's" ability to identify fraud in financial reporting will be tested. The study found that the data help to determine whether all possible factors can affect financial statement fraud. The data used in this study were secondary. Only the Indonesia Stock Exchange provided the sample data used in the study.

Huang [21] examined the body of knowledge regarding the application of machine learning techniques for financial statement fraud detection. The performance of various machine learning methods, such as decision trees, neural networks, and support vector machines, is compared by the authors. The outcomes demonstrate that the accuracy of the support vector machine is superior to that of other methods. Xie et al. [22] explained about a machine learning-based fraud detection system. According to the study's findings, the system can identify false financial statements with an accuracy of 97.5%.

3.3. AI-Powered Tools and Overall Audit Efficiency

Gregory [23] explains the nature of accounting and auditing issues, along with the necessity of applying artificial intelligence (AI) technologies to the field. The discussion includes current accounting issues, particularly auditing, for which new AI development should be beneficial. Both qualitative and quantitative research designs were used in this study. Macailao [24] identified three challenges faced by internal auditors which are personal and social threats, the fraud style and techniques of the perpetrators, and the organizational barriers to the internal auditors. Utilizing secondary quantitative data. Wyrobek et al. [25] identify the possibility of the enterprise experiencing significant financial irregularities. These imbalances might be connected to various forms of financial fraud that do not always have an impact on the annual financial statements. Large-scale irregularities that significantly harm a company's reputation are a hallmark of irregularities. The study's results suggest that machine learning and artificial intelligence algorithms can effectively identify and learn to recognize patterns in these scams.

Jensen [26] explains how AI technology is used to detect money laundering in financial statements; the study assesses the technical and societal effects of the Federal government's extended use of AI technologies for fraud detection. Its findings apply to many other uses of AI technology for fraud detection in the public and private sectors. The study found that the OTA was unable to imagine any system without significant social and economic costs. Nonnenmacher & Gómez [13] carried out a thorough analysis of previous studies that use unsupervised anomaly detection in an auditing context. The findings show that most studies only develop an approach for one particular dataset and do not address integration into the audit process or how the findings should be presented to the auditor.

Past studies show that when the economy's status, industry, and the company's position in operation threaten financial stability, managers are under pressure to execute deceptive financial statements. Management is frequently under pressure to demonstrate that the firm has managed assets successfully, generating more gain that would subsequently yield substantial returns for investors. This poses a major threat for auditors to unearth errors and fraud in financial statements. Consequently, auditors are increasingly using AI-powered tools to detect errors and frauds in this highly digitalized era. This study focuses on the effectiveness of the AI tools in identifying the errors and frauds and, how auditors can integrate these tools to make the auditing process more effective.

Based on the literature reviews, the authors propose three null hypotheses to statistically evaluate to determine if they can be rejected.

H01: The use of AI-based tools does not significantly increase the effectiveness of detecting financial fraud.

H02: Integrating AI-based tools into the audit process does not significantly improve overall audit efficiency

H03: There is no significant correlation between the use of AI-based tools and the reduction of time and resources required to conduct an audit.

4. Data and Methodology

This research uses a descriptive quantitative research method, which uses both primary and secondary data. Primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire. A pilot study was conducted to test the content validity of the questionnaire. After some minor modifications, the questionnaire was distributed through Google Forms to auditors who have work experience using Artificial intelligence-based tools in financial fraud detection. The questionnaire comprises of 20 close-ended questions and three open-ended questions. In addition, secondary data were collected from industry reports and related articles to provide adequate background information.

The researchers distributed the questionnaire to 100 external auditors working in various companies in Muscat, Sultanate of Oman, generating a response of 83%. The data so collected were subjected to the cleaning process, and three responses that were found to be incomplete were removed and tabulated using MS Excel. Finally, data analysis was done using SPSS.

5. Results and Interpretation

5.1. Summary of Demographic Profile

Data collected show that 38% of respondents are women and 61% of respondents are men. According to the data, the age group of 18 to 25 years had the highest percentage of respondents (53.8%), followed by the age groups of 26 to 34 and 35 to 43, which together accounted for 21.3% of all respondents. The lowest rate, however, was 2.5% for respondents between the ages of 44 and 52. Out of 80 respondents, about 53.8% have experience of up to four years. Additionally, about 17.5% have experience of five to eight years. 15% were between 9 and 13 years old. However, 10% of them are auditors with experience between 14 and 17 years. The study illustrates the industry in which auditors typically work. 50% of the respondents are audited in the banking industry, which is a significant number. There is, however, some representation in other industries as well, including the service sector, manufacturing, insurance, and retail, where 8.8% of auditors work in the insurance industry, 7.5% in the service industry, and 6.3% in the latter. A sizeable portion of the entire sample (22.5%) is made up of respondents who work in occupations that aren't specifically mentioned under the category "Others," which includes them. Additionally, with a percentage of 5%, auditors employed in the manufacturing sector had the lowest percentage.

Table 1 shows that there is a strong positive correlation (0.706) between AI-powered tools and AI-powered detection of errors and frauds. The result also shows a strong positive correlation (0.606) between AI-powered tools in auditing and AI Integrated auditing. There is a moderate positive correlation (0.545) between AI-powered tools and Overall audit efficiency, and a moderate positive correlation (0.565) between AI-powered tools in Auditing and AI-integrated auditing. However, the result indicates that there is a low positive correlation (0.431) between the AI-powered tools used in auditing and overall audit efficiency. This means that auditors are in favor of using AI-powered tools in detecting frauds and errors but not in favor of using AI-powered tools to increase overall efficiency.

Table 1. Correlation Analysis

	AI-powered tools in Auditing	AI-powered detection of errors and frauds	AI-integrated auditing	AI-powered overall audit efficiency
AI-powered tools in Auditing	1			
AI-powered detection of errors and frauds	0.706**	1		
AI-integrated auditing	0.565**	0.606**	1	
AI-powered overall audit efficiency	0.431**	0.545**	0.587**	1

Source: Calculations based on responses from the questionnaire

5.2. Regression Analysis

Regression analysis has been carried out to test the null hypotheses and the results are presented as follows:

Hypothesis 1

H01= The use of AI-based tools does not significantly increase the effectiveness of detecting financial fraud.

Table 2 displays the model summary. The R-value of 0.706 shows a moderate positive correlation between the predictor variable and the outcome variable. This means that when the predictor variable increases the outcome variable also increases moderately. The R-squared value of 0.498 indicates that AI-powered tools influence 49.8% of the variance in the dependent variable (effectiveness of AI in detecting financial fraud).

Table 2. Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.706	0.498	0.492	0.433
a. Predictors: (Constant), AI-powered tools				

Source: Calculations based on Questionnaire survey

Table 3 indicates the ANOVA values. A p-value of <0.001 suggests that there is a high significant relationship between the use of AI-powered tools in auditing and the detection of errors and frauds by the auditors. Hence, at a 5% level of significance, the null hypothesis (H01) is rejected. It can be concluded that the use of AI-based tools significantly increases the effectiveness of detecting financial fraud.

Table 3. ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	14.492	1	14.492	77.402	<0.001
	Residual	14.604	78	0.187		
	Total	29.096	79			
a. Dependent Variable: AI-powered auditing tools in the detection of error and frauds						
b. Predictors: (Constant), AI-powered tools						

Source: Calculations based on Questionnaire survey

Hypothesis 2

H02= Integrating AI-based tools into the audit process does not significantly improve overall audit efficiency.

The R-value of 0.565 shown in Table 4 displays a moderate relationship between the predictor variable and the outcome variable. Each point of increase in predictor variable leads to a corresponding increase in outcome variable but moderately. The R-squared value of 0.319 indicates that AI-powered tools influence 31.9% of the variance in the dependent variable (effectiveness of AI in improving overall audit efficiency).

Table 4. Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.565	0.319	0.31	0.457
a. Predictors: (Constant), AI-powered tools				

Source: Calculations based on Questionnaire survey

The P-Value of 0.001 in Table 5 shows that there is a high significant relation between the AI-powered tools used in auditing and the integration of the same to increase the overall audit efficiency. At a 5 % level of significance, there is not enough evidence to accept the Null hypothesis. Hence, it is rejected. It can be concluded that integrating AI-based tools into the audit process significantly improves overall audit efficiency.

Table 5. ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	7.643	1	7.643	36.565	<0.0001
	Residual	16.304	78	0.209		
	Total	23.947	79			
a. Dependent Variable: Overall Audit Efficiency						
b. Predictors: (Constant), AI-powered tools						

Source: Calculations based on Questionnaire survey

Hypothesis 3

H03=There is no significant correlation between the use of AI-based tools and the reduction of time and resources required to conduct an audit (Overall audit efficiency).

The R-value of 0.545 shown in Table 6 indicates a moderate relationship between the predictor variable and the outcome variable. Each point of increase in predictor variable leads to a corresponding increase in outcome variable but moderately.

Table 6. Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.545	0.297	0.288	0.475
a. Predictors: (Constant), AI-powered auditing tools in the detection of error and frauds				

Source: Calculations based on Questionnaire survey

Table 7 shows that the p-value is <0.001 which is below 5% level of significance. Hence, the null hypothesis (H03) is rejected. To summarize, the study's Regression analysis offered empirical data to support the hypotheses examined. The findings revealed substantial links between the usage of AI-powered tools and identifying financial fraud, boosting overall audit efficiency, and saving audit time and resources. These findings provide important insights into the potential benefits of using AI technology in auditing methods.

Table 7. ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	7.413	1	7.413	32.918	<.0001
	Residual	17.566	78	0.225		
	Total	24.979	79			
a. Dependent Variable: AI-powered tools and overall audit efficiency						
b. Predictors: (Constant), AI-powered auditing tools and detection of error and frauds						

Source: Calculations based on Questionnaire survey

6. Benefits and Challenges of Integrating AI in Auditing

According to the auditors, integrating AI-based tools into the audit process offers numerous advantages along with some challenges. The positive side, as expressed by the auditors, highlights how these tools can enhance task management, ensuring the successful completion of all tasks. They also facilitate faster auditing procedures, potentially saving time and enabling more in-depth analyses. With the use of AI tools, auditors can detect fraudulent activities more effectively, thereby improving fraud detection capabilities. Moreover, the incorporation of AI has the potential to enhance overall audit quality, increase output, and generate long-term cost savings.

However, some challenges need to be considered. Many auditors express concerns about the high initial cost associated with implementing AI-based tools. Despite the advantages offered by AI tools, there may be a slow adoption rate. The comprehensive analysis provided by AI tools may result in false alarms or false positives. Ethical issues such as bias and data security threats need to be addressed when using AI in auditing. Additionally, implementing AI-based tools may require significant time and effort, particularly when replacing outdated techniques and systems. It is important to note that these opinions on the benefits and challenges of integrating AI into the audit process are based on the responses received and may vary depending on the specific AI tools used and the context of implementation.

7. Findings and Discussions

The study highlighted several important uses of AI-powered tools in auditing and their impact on detecting errors, frauds, and overall audit efficiency, and the reduction of time and resources required to implement an audit. The study found that there is a moderately high positive correlation (0.706) between the use of AI-powered tools in auditing and the detection of errors and frauds, this indicates that auditors view AI-powered tools favorably in terms of their effectiveness in identifying financial irregularities. The study also found that there is a moderate positive correlation (0.656) exists between the uses of AI-powered tools in auditing and AI-integrated auditing. This suggests that auditors see a link between utilizing AI-powered tools and integrating AI technology into the whole audit process. There was also a low positive correlation (0.431) between the use of AI-powered tools in auditing and overall audit efficiency. This suggests that while auditors recognize the effectiveness of AI tools in detecting errors and fraud, they may not observe them as significantly enhancing the overall efficiency of the audit process.

Regression analysis was conducted to test the three hypotheses regarding the use of AI-based tools in auditing. The findings indicate significant relationships between the use of AI-powered tools and detecting financial fraud, improving overall audit efficiency, and reducing the time and resources required for audits. Hypothesis 1 states that the use of AI-based tools does not significantly increase the effectiveness of detecting financial fraud. However, the Regression analysis provided evidence to reject this null hypothesis. The high moderate positive correlation (R = 0.706) between the use of AI-powered tools and the detection of errors and fraud suggests that auditors perceive AI tools as effective in identifying financial irregularities. The p-value of <0.001 indicates a statistically significant relationship, rejecting the null hypothesis that AI-based tools do not significantly increase the effectiveness of detecting financial fraud.

Hypothesis 2 proposed that integrating AI-based tools into the audit process does not significantly improve overall audit efficiency. However, the Regression analysis showed a moderate positive correlation (R = 0.565) between the use of AI-powered tools and AI-integrated auditing. Each point of increase in the use of AI-powered tools corresponds to a corresponding but moderate increase in overall audit efficiency. The p-value of < 0.001 suggests a statistically significant relationship, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis. Thus, it can be concluded that integrating AI-based tools into the audit process significantly improves overall audit efficiency.

Hypothesis 3 suggested that there is no significant correlation between the use of AI-based tools and the reduction of time and resources required to conduct an audit. However, the Regression analysis provided evidence to reject this null hypothesis as well. The moderate correlation (R = 0.545) between the use of AI-powered tools and the reduction of time and resources needed for audits rejects the null hypothesis. The p-value of 0.001 indicates a statistically significant relationship, indicating that the use of AI-based tools is associated with a reduction in time and resources required for audits.

In summary, the findings from the Regression analysis provide robust support for the hypotheses tested. Auditors perceive AI-powered tools as effective in detecting financial fraud, and integrating AI-based tools into the audit process enhances overall audit efficiency and reduces the time and resources required for audits. These findings have significant implications for auditing practices, highlighting the potential benefits of AI technology in improving the effectiveness and efficiency of audits. Furthermore, these findings contribute to the existing literature on AI in auditing by providing empirical evidence for the positive impact of AI-based tools. The results suggest that auditors should embrace AI technology to enhance their ability to detect fraud, improve overall audit efficiency, and optimize resource allocation. However, it is important to note that the findings are based on the specific sample and context of the study.

Further research is needed to validate and generalize these findings across different settings and populations. In conclusion, the findings from this Regression analysis support the use of AI-powered tools in auditing. The results indicate that AI-based tools significantly enhance the effectiveness of detecting financial fraud, improve overall audit efficiency, and reduce the time and resources required for audits. These findings have practical implications for auditors and can guide organizations in adopting AI technology to optimize their auditing processes.

8. Conclusions

This study investigated the usage of AI-powered tools in auditing and their influence on detecting mistakes, fraud, overall audit efficiency, and the reduction of audit time and resources. The findings give insights into external auditors' perspectives and experiences in the Sultanate of Oman. The study revealed numerous notable conclusions about the usage of AI-powered tools in auditing. To begin, the study discovered a relatively strong positive link between the employment of AI-powered tools and the detection of mistakes and fraud. This shows that auditors value AI systems for their efficacy in detecting financial fraud. The findings suggest that AI-powered solutions can improve auditors' capacity to recognize and resolve fraudulent activity, contributing to the overall efficacy of the auditing process. Second, the research found a moderately good relationship between the adoption of AI-powered products and AI-integrated audits. This means that auditors see a relationship between using AI-powered tools and incorporating AI technology throughout the audit process. Auditors may use AI solutions to expedite their audit operations and improve the quality and efficiency of their work by combining sophisticated algorithms and data analysis skills.

In addition, the study found a weak positive association between the usage of AI-powered tools and overall audit efficiency. While auditors recognize the value of AI technologies in detecting mistakes and fraud, they may not see them as greatly improving the audit process's overall efficiency. This conclusion implies that, in addition to the employment of AI techniques, other factors may influence audit efficiency. It emphasizes the need to take into account many aspects of audit procedures, such as communication, coordination, and workflow optimization, in conjunction with the integration of AI-powered technologies, to achieve efficiency advantages. The study's Regression analysis offered empirical data to support the hypotheses examined. The findings revealed substantial links between the usage of AI-powered tools and identifying financial fraud, boosting overall audit efficiency, and saving audit time and resources. These findings provide important insights into the potential benefits of using AI technology in auditing methods.

The sample for the study included 80 external auditors from diverse businesses in the Sultanate of Oman. The sample's demographics indicated a broadly equal gender distribution, with a little greater number of male auditors. Furthermore, the majority of respondents were younger in age, showing that the usage of AI-powered technologies in auditing is being welcomed by the younger generation of auditors. It should be noted that the study's findings are particular to the Sultanate of Oman and may not be immediately generalizable to other locations or nations. To confirm and expand on the findings, more research is needed to repeat the study in diverse locations and demographics.

Finally, the study's findings highlight the potential of AI-powered solutions in improving auditing methods. AI technology can help auditors discover financial fraud, enhance overall audit efficiency, and optimize resource allocation. The use of AI technologies should be examined in conjunction with other aspects that contribute to audit effectiveness and efficiency. As technology advances, auditors and businesses should stay open to using AI-powered solutions to improve the quality and efficiency of auditing operations.

9. Recommendations

Based on the study's findings, numerous suggestions may be given to auditors, companies, and legislators to maximize the benefits of AI-powered auditing tools:

Auditors should actively accept and investigate the use of AI-powered tools in their auditing operations. The outcomes of the study show that these technologies may considerably improve the efficacy of identifying financial fraud, improve overall audit efficiency, and minimize audit time and resources. Auditors should look for ways to incorporate AI technology into their procedures and workflows to enhance their capabilities and obtain better audit results.

To fully realize the promise of AI-powered tools, auditors need to engage in training and education programs that improve their understanding and competency with these technologies. Building technical competence and experience with AI algorithms and data analytics will help auditors use AI-powered solutions efficiently and maximize their advantages in their jobs. Upskilling auditors in AI-related knowledge and abilities should be prioritized in continuous professional growth. Encourage cooperation between auditors and data scientists. Harnessing the power of AI technology in auditing requires collaboration between auditors and data scientists. Cross-functional collaboration between auditors and data science teams should be facilitated and encouraged by organizations. Auditors and data scientists may collaborate to design and enhance AI models, optimize algorithms, and use advanced analytics approaches to improving audit procedures and outcomes.

Businesses should invest in the creation of industry-specific AI apps that are suited to the specific demands and problems of various industries. The report emphasized the substantial representation of auditors employed in the banking business. Similar AI solutions for individual industries can be built to address industry-specific hazards, rules, and complexity. Auditors may conduct more focused and successful audits by matching AI solutions with the unique requirements of different sectors. To encourage knowledge-sharing and cooperation, auditing businesses, professional organizations, and academic institutions should promote knowledge-sharing and collaboration in the field of artificial intelligence in auditing. Creating venues for auditors to discuss best practices, case studies, and research results can help to promote learning and innovation. Collaboration between academics and industry can also help to further the development of cutting-edge AI technology and auditing approaches. Auditors and organizations must be diligent in monitoring ethical implications and ensuring the proper use of AI-powered technologies as AI technology improves. Ethical norms and procedures should be devised to handle concerns such as data privacy, algorithm bias, and the possible influence on auditing employment. To encourage responsible AI deployment, ethical awareness, and considerations should be embedded into AI training programs for auditors.

This study gives useful insights on the advantages of AI-powered auditing tools. More study is needed, however, to confirm and generalize these findings across other situations and groups. Future research should look into the long-term impacts of AI integration, the influence on auditor-client relationships, and potential adoption hurdles. To continuously enhance knowledge and techniques, policymakers, funding agencies, and academic institutions should support and encourage research initiatives in the field of AI in auditing. Finally, auditors, organizations, and governments should embrace AI-powered auditing tools, engage in training and cooperation, create industry-specific apps, stimulate knowledge-sharing, monitor ethical implications, and support more research. By implementing these guidelines, auditors may improve their capacity to detect financial crime, increase audit efficiency, and optimize resource allocation, resulting in more effective and efficient auditing processes in the AI era.

10. Limitations of the Study and Scope for Future Research

This research covers the perception of auditors on the use of AI tools in bringing transparency to financial statement audits. Future research may be directed at finding how AI tools can offer more productive results in identifying errors and frauds by analyzing real-time data. Future researchers can also investigate the Implementation of specific AI tools through case studies or simulation experiments. Examine the cost-benefit effects of Artificial Intelligence integration in different audit contexts.

REFERENCES

[1] Russell, Stuart J., and Peter Norvig. *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Pearson, 2016.

[2] Puthukulam, G., Ravikumar, A., Sharma, R. V. K., & Meesaala, K. M., "Auditors' perception on the impact of artificial intelligence on professional skepticism and judgment in Oman," Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, Vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 1184-1190, 2021. https://ds.amu.edu.et/xmlui/bitstream/handle/123456789/10406/artificial%20intelligence%20-%20a%20modern%20approach%20%283rd%2C%202009%29.pdf?sequence=1

&isAllowed=y

[3] Munter, P., “The Auditor’s Responsibility for Fraud Detection,” U.S.Securities and Exchange Commission, 2022, https://www.sec.gov/news/statement/munter-statement-fraud-detection-101122

[4] Gupta,P., “Leveraging Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence for Fraud Prevention,” SSRG International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering, Vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 47-52, 2023. https://doi.org/10.14445/23488387/IJCSE-V10I5P107

[5] Taherdoost, H., “Blockchain and Machine Learning: A Critical Review on Security,” Information, Vol. 14, no. 5, 295, 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/info14050295

[6] Sharma, A., & Panigrahi, P. K., “A review of financial accounting fraud detection based on data mining techniques,” arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.3944, 2013.

[7] Krambia-Kapardis, M., “A fraud detection model: A must for auditors,” Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, Vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 266-278, 2002.

[8] OECD, “Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Finance: Opportunities, Challenges, and Implications for Policy Makers,” 2021. https://www.oecd.org/finance/artificial-intelligence-machine-learningbig-data-in-finance.htm.

[9] Bartulović, M., Perkušić, D., & Kovačević, I., “Differences in business fraud between state-owned and private companies: case of Croatia,” Financial internet quarterly, Vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1-8, 2023.

[10] Werner, M., Wiese, M., & Maas, A., “Embedding process mining into financial statement audits,” International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, Vol. 41, p. 100514, 2021.

[11] Alles, M. G., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A., “Audit automation for implementing continuous auditing: Principles and problems,” Rutgers Business School, Vol. 1, pp. 1-24, 2008.

[12] George, G., Haas, M. R., & Pentland, A., “Big data and management,” Academy of management Journal, Vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 321-326, 2014.

[13] Nonnenmacher, J., & Gómez, J. M., “Unsupervised anomaly detection for internal auditing: Literature review and research agenda,” International Journal of Digital Accounting Research, Vol. 21, pp. 1-22, 2021.

[14] Hakami, T. A., Rahmat, M. M., Yaacob, M. H., & Saleh, N. M., “Fraud Detection Gap between Auditor and Fraud Detection Models: Evidence from Gulf Cooperation Council,” Asian Journal of Accounting & Governance, Vol. 13, pp. 1-13, 2020.

[15] Salim, M. S., “An overview of research on auditor's responsibility to detect fraud on financial statement,” Journal of Global Business Management, Vol. 8, no. 2, p. 218, 2012.

[16] Beneish, M. D., “The detection of earnings manipulation,” Financial Analysts Journal, Vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 24-36, 1999.

[17] Irwandi, S. A., Ghozali, I., & Pamungkas, I. D., “Detection fraudulent financial statement: Beneish M-score model,” WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics, Vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 271-281, 2019.

[18] Kothari, S.P., Leone, A.J., Wasley, C.E., “Performance matched discretionary accrual measures,” Journal of Accounting and Economics, Vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 163-197, 2005.

[19] Ileberi, E., Sun, Y., & Wang, Z., “A machine learning based credit card fraud detection using the GA algorithm for feature selection,” Journal of Big Data, Vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-17, 2022.

[20] Haqq, A. P. N. A., & Budiwitjaksono, G. S., “Fraud pentagon for detecting financial statement fraud,” Journal of Economics, Business, and Accountancy Ventura, Vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 319-332, 2019.

[21] Huang, Shaio Yan. “Fraud detection model by using support vector machine techniques," International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications, Vol. 7, no. 2, p. 32, 2013.

[22] Xie, Y., Wu, K., Zhao, T., & Gao, X., “Research and Design of Dynamic Strategy Distributed Control Architecture in Power Internet of Things,” In 2020 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Engineering (ICAICE), pp. 403-407, IEEE, 2020.

[23] Gregory A., “Planning and managing public relations campaigns: A strategic approach,” Kogan Page Publishers, 2020.

[24] Macailao, M., “The internal auditors beyond auditing norms,” Journal of Critical Reviews, Vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 67-70, 2020.

[25] Wyrobek, J., Poplawski, L., & Surowka, M. “Identification of a fraudulent organizational culture in enterprises listed in Warsaw stock exchange,” European Research Studies Journal, Vol. 23, Special issue no. 2, pp. 622-637, 2020.

[26] Jensen, D., “Prospective assessment of AI technologies for fraud detection,” Working Papers of the AAAI-99 Workshop on Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Fraud Detection and Risk Management, 1997.