

Anti-hesitant Fuzzy Subalgebras, Ideals and Deductive Systems of Hilbert Algebras

Aiyared Iampan^{1,*}, S. Yamunadevi², P. Maragatha Meenakshi³, N. Rajesh⁴

¹Fuzzy Algebras and Decision-Making Problems Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Mae Ka, Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand

²Department of Mathematics, Vivekanandha College of Arts and Science for Women, Tiruchengode-637205, Tamilnadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, Periyar E.V.R College, Tiruchirappalli (affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli 620023, Tamilnadu, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College, Thanjavur 613005, Tamilnadu, India

Received June 5, 2022; Revised September 13, 2022; Accepted September 21, 2022

Cite This Paper in the following Citation Styles

(a): [1] Aiyared Iampan, S. Yamunadevi, P. Maragatha Meenakshi, N. Rajesh, "Anti-hesitant Fuzzy Subalgebras, Ideals and Deductive Systems of Hilbert Algebras," *Mathematics and Statistics*, Vol.10, No.5, pp. 1121-1126, 2022. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2022.100523

(b): Aiyared Iampan, S. Yamunadevi, P. Maragatha Meenakshi, N. Rajesh, (2022). *Anti-hesitant Fuzzy Subalgebras, Ideals and Deductive Systems of Hilbert Algebras*. *Mathematics and Statistics*, 10(5), 1121-1126. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2022.100523

Copyright ©2022 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

Abstract The Hilbert algebra, one of several algebraic structures, was first described by Diego in 1966 [7] and has since been extensively studied by other mathematicians. Torra [18] was the first to suggest the idea of hesitant fuzzy sets (HFSs) in 2010, which is a generalization of the fuzzy sets defined by Zadeh [20] in 1965 as a function from a reference set to a power set of the unit interval. The significance of the ideas of hesitant fuzzy subalgebras, ideals, and filters in the study of the different logical algebras aroused our interest in applying these concepts to Hilbert algebras. In this paper, the concepts of HFSs to subalgebras (SAs), ideals (IDs), and deductive systems (DSs) of Hilbert algebras are introduced in terms of anti-types. We call them anti-hesitant fuzzy subalgebras (AHFSAs), anti-hesitant fuzzy ideals (AHFIDs), and anti-hesitant fuzzy deductive systems (AHFDSs). The relationships between AHFSAs, AHFIDs, and AHFDSs and their lower and strong level subsets are provided. As a result of the study, we found their generalization as follows: every AHFID of a Hilbert algebra Ω is an AHFSA and an AHFDS of Ω . We also study and find the conditions for the complement of an HFS to be an AHFSA, an AHFID, and an AHFDS. In addition, the relationships between the complements of AHFSAs, AHFIDs, and AHFDSs and their upper and strong level subsets are also provided.

Keywords Hilbert Algebra, Anti-hesitant Fuzzy Subalgebra, Anti-hesitant Fuzzy Ideal and Anti-hesitant Fuzzy

Deductive System

1 Introduction

Zadeh introduced the idea of fuzzy sets (FSs) in [20]. Numerous academics have studied the notion of FSs, which have numerous applications in everyday life. Numerous investigations were undertaken into the generalizations of FSs. In [1, 2, 5], it is explained how FSs can be integrated with some uncertainty-reduction strategies like soft sets and rough sets. The concept of HFSs, a function from a reference set to a power set of the unit interval, was introduced in 2009–2010 by Torra and Narukawa [18, 19]. The idea of FSs has been expanded to include HFSs. Numerous uses for the HFS ideas created by Torra and others can be found outside of mathematics and in it. Following Torra and Narukawa's [18, 19] introduction of the concept of HFSs, numerous studies on its generalizations and applications to numerous logical algebras have been conducted. Examples of related articles can be found at [3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 22].

In this paper, the concepts of HFSs to SAs, IDs, and DSs of Hilbert algebras are introduced in terms of anti-types. The relationships between AHFSAs, AHFIDs, and AHFDSs and their lower and strong level subsets are provided. In addition, the relationships between the complements of AHFSAs, AH-

FIDDs, and AHFDSs and their upper and strong level subsets are also provided.

2 Preliminaries

Let's briefly review the idea of a Hilbert algebra as it was introduced by Diego [7] before we get started.

Definition 2.1. [7] A *Hilbert algebra* is a triplet with the formula $\Omega = (\Omega, \star, \omega)$, where $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, \star is a binary operation, and ω is a fixed element of Ω that satisfies the conditions:

- (1) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\iota \star (\varepsilon \star \iota) = \omega)$,
- (2) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon, \eta \in \Omega)((\iota \star (\varepsilon \star \eta)) \star ((\iota \star \varepsilon) \star (\iota \star \eta)) = \omega)$,
- (3) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\iota \star \varepsilon = \omega, \varepsilon \star \iota = \omega \Rightarrow \iota = \varepsilon)$.

Now let $\Omega = (\Omega, \star, \omega)$ be a Hilbert algebra.

Lemma 2.2. [8] In Ω , we have

- (1) $(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(\iota \star \iota = \omega)$,
- (2) $(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(\omega \star \iota = \iota)$,
- (3) $(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(\iota \star \omega = \omega)$,
- (4) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon, \eta \in \Omega)(\iota \star (\varepsilon \star \eta) = \varepsilon \star (\iota \star \eta))$.

In Ω , the binary relation \leq is given by

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\iota \leq \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \iota \star \varepsilon = \omega),$$

which is a partial order on Ω .

Definition 2.3. [21] $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \subseteq \Omega$ is called a *SA* of Ω if $\iota \star \varepsilon \in \Sigma \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Sigma$.

Definition 2.4. [6] $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \subseteq \Omega$ is called an *ID* of Ω if the statements below are accurate:

- (1) $\omega \in \Sigma$,
- (2) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\varepsilon \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \iota \star \varepsilon \in \Sigma)$,
- (3) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega)(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Sigma \Rightarrow (\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota \in \Sigma)$.

Definition 2.5. [9] $\emptyset \neq \Sigma \subseteq \Omega$ is called a *DS* of Ω if

- (1) $\omega \in \Sigma$,
- (2) $(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\iota, \iota \star \varepsilon \in \Sigma \Rightarrow y \in \Sigma)$.

In $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, a *fuzzy set* (FS) is defined as a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.6. [18] Let Ω serve as the reference set. A *hesitant fuzzy set* (HFS) on Ω is described in terms of a function H that, when applied to Ω returns a subset of $[0, 1]$; specifically, $H : \Omega \rightarrow 2^{[0,1]}$, where $2^{[0,1]}$ means the power set of $[0, 1]$.

For convenience, we set H instead of an HFS on Ω .

Definition 2.7. [18] The HFS \bar{H} is defined by $\bar{H}(\iota) = [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota) \forall \iota \in \Omega$.

3 AHFSAs, AHFIDs, and AHFDSs

We discuss the ideas of AHFSAs, AHFIDs, and AHFDSs of Hilbert algebras in this part and look at various related characteristics.

Definition 3.1. If an HFS H on Ω meets the following condition, it is referred to as a *AHFSA* of Ω :

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon)). \tag{3.1}$$

Example 3.2. Let $\Omega = \{\omega, \iota, \varepsilon, \eta, 0\}$ be a Hilbert algebra as shown in the table below that contains ω .

\star	ω	ι	ε	η	0
ω	ω	ι	ε	η	0
ι	ω	ω	ε	η	0
ε	ω	ι	ω	η	η
η	ω	ω	ε	ω	ε
0	ω	ω	ω	ω	ω

Define an HFS H on Ω as follows:

$$H(\omega) = \emptyset, H(\iota) = \{0.1, 0.2\}, H(\varepsilon) = \{0.2\},$$

$$H(\eta) = \{0.2\}, H(0) = \{0.2\}.$$

Then H is an AHFSA of Ω .

Proposition 3.3. If H is an AHFSA of Ω , then

$$(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota)).$$

Proof. For any $\iota \in \Omega$, we obtain $H(\omega) = H(\iota \star \iota) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\iota) = H(\iota)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. If H is an AHFSA of Ω , then

$$(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(H(\omega \star \iota) \subseteq H(\iota)).$$

Proof. For any $\iota \in \Omega$, we obtain $H(\omega \star \iota) \subseteq H(\omega) \cup H(\iota) = H(\iota \star \iota) \cup H(\iota) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\iota) \cup H(\iota) = H(\iota)$. \square

Definition 3.5. If an HFS H on Ω meets the following conditions, it is referred to as a *AHFID* of Ω :

$$(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota)), \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\varepsilon)), \tag{3.3}$$

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega)(H((y_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subseteq H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2)). \tag{3.4}$$

Example 3.6. Let $\Omega = \{\omega, \iota, \varepsilon, \eta\}$ be a Hilbert algebra as shown in the table below that contains ω .

\star	ω	ι	ε	η
ω	ω	ι	ε	η
ι	ω	ω	ε	ε
ε	ω	ι	ω	ι
η	ω	ω	ω	ω

Define an HFS H on Ω as follows:

$$H(\omega) = \emptyset, H(\iota) = \{0.1, 0.2\}, H(\varepsilon) = \{0.1\},$$

$$H(\eta) = \{0.1, 0.2\}.$$

Then H is an AHFID of Ω .

Proposition 3.7. If H is an AHFID of Ω , then

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(H((\varepsilon \star \iota) \star \iota) \subseteq H(\varepsilon)).$$

Proof. Putting $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \omega$ in (3.4), we have $H((\varepsilon \star \iota) \star \iota) \subseteq H(\varepsilon) \cup H(\omega) = H(\varepsilon) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. \square

Lemma 3.8. If H is an AHFID of Ω , then

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\iota \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow H(\iota) \supseteq H(\varepsilon)).$$

Proof. Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ in which $\iota \leq \varepsilon$. Then $\iota \star \varepsilon = \omega$ and so $H(\varepsilon) = H(\omega \star \varepsilon) = H(((\iota \star \varepsilon) \star (\iota \star \varepsilon)) \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota) = H(\omega) \cup H(\iota) = H(\iota)$. \square

Theorem 3.9. Every AHFID of Ω is an AHFSA of Ω .

Proof. Let H be an AHFID of Ω . Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Because $\varepsilon \leq \iota \star \varepsilon$ and by Lemma 3.8, $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon)$. It follows from (3.3) that $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon)$. Summarize H is an AHFSA of Ω . \square

Definition 3.10. If an HFS H on Ω meets the following conditions, it is referred to as a AHFDS of Ω :

$$(\forall \iota \in \Omega)(H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota)), \tag{3.5}$$

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota)). \tag{3.6}$$

Example 3.11. From Example 3.6, we get H is an AHFDS of Ω .

Proposition 3.12. Every AHFID of Ω is an AHFDS of Ω .

Proof. Let H be an AHFID of Ω . Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. If $\varepsilon_1 = \iota \star \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \iota$, then by (1), (2) of Lemma 2.2 and (3.4), we have $H(\varepsilon) = H(\omega \star \varepsilon) = H(((\iota \star \varepsilon) \star (\iota \star \varepsilon)) \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota)$. Summarize H is an AHFDS of Ω . \square

Lemma 3.13. If H is an AHFDS of Ω , then

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon, \eta \in \Omega)(\eta \leq \iota \star \varepsilon \Rightarrow H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\eta)).$$

Proof. Let $\iota, \varepsilon, \eta \in \Omega$ in which $\eta \leq \iota \star \varepsilon$. Then $\eta \star (\iota \star \varepsilon) = \omega$ and so $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota) \subseteq H(\eta \star (\iota \star \varepsilon)) \cup H(\eta) \cup H(\iota) = H(\omega) \cup H(\eta) \cup H(\iota) = H(\iota) \cup H(\eta)$. \square

Lemma 3.14. If H is an AHFDS of Ω , then

$$(\forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega)(\iota \leq \varepsilon \Rightarrow H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota)).$$

Proof. Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ in which $\iota \leq \varepsilon$. Then $\iota \star \varepsilon = \omega$ and so $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota) = H(\omega) \cup H(\iota) = H(\iota)$. \square

4 Level subsets of an HFS

In this section, we provide the relationship between AHF-SAs, AHFIDs and AHFDSs and their level subsets.

For any $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, the sets $S_{\supseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) = \{\iota \in \Omega \mid H(\iota) \supseteq \pi\}$, $S_{\supset}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) = \{\iota \in \Omega \mid H(\iota) \supset \pi\}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) = \{\iota \in \Omega \mid H(\iota) \subseteq \pi\}$, $S_{\subset}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) = \{\iota \in \Omega \mid H(\iota) \subset \pi\}$, and $S(\mathbb{H}, \pi) = \{\iota \in \Omega \mid H(\iota) = \pi\}$.

Theorem 4.1. An HFS H on Ω is an AHFSA of Ω if and only if $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω .

Proof. Let's say H is an AHFSA of Ω . Let $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$ in which $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. Because H is an AHFSA of Ω , $H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. Thus $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$. Because H is an AHFSA of Ω , $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$ and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Summarize $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is a SA of Ω .

On the other hand, assume $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω . Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$. So $\iota, \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is a SA of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \pi = H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon)$. Summarize H is an AHFSA of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.2. An HFS H on Ω is an AHFID of Ω if and only if $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω .

Proof. Let's say H is an AHFID of Ω . Let $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$ in which $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. As H is an AHFID of Ω , $H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. Thus $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Next, let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ in which $\varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$. Because H is an AHFID of Ω , $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$. So, $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Let $\iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$ in which $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\varepsilon_1) \subseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon_2) \subseteq \pi$. Because H is an AHFID of Ω , $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subseteq H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2) \subseteq \pi$. So, $(\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Summarize $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω .

On the other hand, assume $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω . Let $\iota \in \Omega$. Then $H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. So $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. So $H(\omega) \subseteq \pi = H(\iota)$. Next, let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Then $H(\iota), H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Put $\pi = H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$, so $\varepsilon \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and then $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Thus $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \pi = H(\varepsilon)$. Let $\iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$. Then $H(\iota), H(\varepsilon_1), H(\varepsilon_2) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Put $\pi = H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon_1) \subseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon_2) \subseteq \pi$, so $\varepsilon_1 \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and then $(\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Thus $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subseteq \pi = H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2)$. Summarize H is an AHFID of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.3. An HFS H on Ω is an AHFDS of Ω if and only if $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω .

Proof. Let's say H is an AHFDS of Ω . Let $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$ in which $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. Because H is an AHFDS of Ω , $H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. Thus $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Next, let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ in which $\iota, \iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$ and $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$. Because H is an AHFDS of Ω , $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. So, $\varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. Summarize $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω .

On the other hand, assume $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω . Let $\iota \in \Omega$. Then $H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$. So $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and hence $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(\mathbb{H}, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \subseteq \pi = H(\iota)$. Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Then $H(\iota), H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \cup H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subseteq \pi$ and

$H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \pi$, so $\iota, \iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and then $\varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. Thus $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq \pi = H(\iota) \cup H(\iota \star \varepsilon)$. Summarize H is an AHFDS of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.4. *The statements below are accurate.*

- (1) *If H is an AHFSA of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω and $S(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.*
- (2) *If H is an AHFSA of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω and $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.*
- (3) *If $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω , then H is an AHFSA of Ω .*

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward by Theorem 4.1.

(3) Let's say $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω . Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \not\subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supset H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon)$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Put $\pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subset \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon) \subset \pi$. So $\iota, \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a SA of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subset \pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon)$, which is impossible. Summarize $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota) \cup H(\varepsilon) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. In conclusion, H is an AHFSA of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.5. *The statements below are accurate.*

- (1) *If H is an AHFID of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω and $S(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.*
- (2) *If H is an AHFID of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω and $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.*
- (3) *If $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $\emptyset \neq S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \subseteq \Omega$ is an ID of Ω , then H is an AHFID of Ω .*

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward by Theorem 4.2.

(3) Let's say $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω . Suppose there is $\iota \in \Omega$ such that $H(\omega) \not\subseteq H(\iota)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $H(\omega) \supset H(\iota)$. Put $\pi = H(\omega) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subset H(\omega) = \pi$. So $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \subset \pi = H(\omega)$, which is impossible. Summarize $H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota) \forall \iota \in \Omega$. Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \not\subseteq H(\varepsilon)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supset H(\varepsilon)$. Put $\pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \subset \pi$. So $\varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subset \pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon)$, which is impossible. Summarize $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\varepsilon) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$ such that $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \not\subseteq H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \supset H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2)$. Put $\pi = H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon_1) \subset \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon_2) \subset \pi$. So $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $(\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subset \pi = H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)$, which is impossible. Summarize $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subseteq H(\varepsilon_1) \cup H(\varepsilon_2) \forall \iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$. In conclusion, H is an AHFID of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.6. *The statements below are accurate.*

- (1) *If H is an AHFDS of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω and $S(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.*
- (2) *If H is an AHFDS of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω and $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.*
- (3) *If $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $\emptyset \neq S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \subseteq \Omega$ is a DS of Ω , then H is an AHFDS of Ω .*

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward by Theorem 4.3.

(3) Let's say $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω . Suppose there is $\iota \in \Omega$ such that $H(\omega) \not\subseteq H(\iota)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $H(\omega) \supset H(\iota)$. Put $\pi = H(\omega) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \subset H(\omega) = \pi$. So $\iota \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and hence $\omega \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \subset \pi = H(\omega)$, which is impossible. Summarize $H(\omega) \subseteq H(\iota) \forall \iota \in \Omega$. Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that $H(\varepsilon) \not\subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $H(\varepsilon) \supset H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota)$. Put $\pi = H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subset \pi$ and $H(\iota) \subset \pi$. So $\iota \star \varepsilon, \iota \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and hence $\varepsilon \in S_{\subseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\varepsilon) \subset \pi = H(\varepsilon)$, which is impossible. Summarize $H(\varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup H(\iota) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. In conclusion, H is an AHFDS of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.7. *\bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω if and only if $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω .*

Proof. Let's say \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω . Let $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$ in which $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\iota, \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. Because \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω , $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon)) = [0, 1] \setminus (H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon))$. Thus $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. So, $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Summarize $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a SA of Ω .

On the other hand, assume $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω . Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. So $\iota, \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a SA of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \pi = H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon)$. Thus $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) = [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus (H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon)) = ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon)) = \bar{H}(\iota) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$. Summarize \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.8. *\bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω if and only if $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω .*

Proof. Let's say \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω . Let $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$ in which $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. Because \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω , $\bar{H}(\omega) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota)$. Thus $[0, 1] \setminus H(\omega) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)$. So, $H(\omega) \supseteq H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. Summarize $\omega \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Next, let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ in which $\varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. As \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω , $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon)$. Thus $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. So, $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Also, let $\iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$ in which $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Then $H(\varepsilon_1) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon_2) \supseteq \pi$. Because \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω , $\bar{H}((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon_1) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon_2)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \subseteq ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon_1)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon_2)) = [0, 1] \setminus (H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2))$. Thus $H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \supseteq H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2) \supseteq \pi$. So, $((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Summarize $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω .

On the other hand, assume $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω . Let $\iota \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$, so $\iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω . Thus $\omega \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \supseteq \pi = H(\iota)$. Summarize $\bar{H}(\omega) = [0, 1] \setminus H(\omega) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota) = \bar{H}(\iota)$. Next, Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. So $\varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \pi = H(\varepsilon)$. Thus $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) = [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon) = \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$. Also, let $\iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon_1) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon_2) \supseteq \pi$. So $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $(\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \supseteq \pi = H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2)$. Thus $\bar{H}(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) = [0, 1] \setminus H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus (H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2)) = ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon_1)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon_2)) = \bar{H}(\varepsilon_1) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon_2)$. Summarize \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.9. \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω if and only if $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω .

Proof. Let's say \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω . Let $\pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$ in which $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. Because \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω , $\bar{H}(\omega) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota)$. Thus $[0, 1] \setminus H(\omega) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)$. So, $H(\omega) \supseteq H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. Summarize $\omega \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Next, let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ in which $\iota \star \varepsilon, \iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. Because \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω , $\bar{H}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon) \subseteq ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)) = [0, 1] \setminus (H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota))$. Thus $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. So, $\varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. Summarize $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω .

On the other hand, assume $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω . Let $\iota \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$, so $\iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω . Thus $\omega \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \supseteq \pi = H(\iota)$. Summarize $\bar{H}(\omega) = [0, 1] \setminus H(\omega) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota) = \bar{H}(\iota)$. Next, Let $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Put $\pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$. So $\iota \star \varepsilon, \iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and hence $\varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota)$. Thus $\bar{H}(\varepsilon) = [0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon) \subseteq [0, 1] \setminus (H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota)) = ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)) = \bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup \bar{H}(\iota)$. Summarize \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.10. The statements below are accurate.

- (1) If \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω and $S(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.
- (2) If \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω and $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.
- (3) If $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $\emptyset \neq S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \subseteq \Omega$ is a SA of Ω , then \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω .

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward by Theorem 4.7.

(3) Let's say $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a SA of Ω . Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \not\subseteq \bar{H}(\iota) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \bar{H}(\iota) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon)) = [0, 1] \setminus (H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon))$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\iota) \cap H(\varepsilon)$. Put $\pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$.

Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. So $\iota, \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is a SA of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon)$, which is impossible. Summarize $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. In conclusion, \bar{H} is an AHFSA of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.11. The statements below are accurate.

- (1) If \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω and $S(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.
- (2) If \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω and $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.
- (3) If $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $\emptyset \neq S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \subseteq \Omega$ is an ID of Ω , then \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω .

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward by Theorem 4.8.

(3) Let's say $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is an ID of Ω . Suppose there is $\iota \in \Omega$ such that $\bar{H}(\omega) \not\subseteq \bar{H}(\iota)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $\bar{H}(\omega) \supseteq \bar{H}(\iota)$. Then $[0, 1] \setminus H(\omega) \supseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)$. Put $\pi = H(\omega) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi = H(\omega)$. So $\iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $\omega \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \subseteq \pi = H(\omega)$, which is impossible. Summarize $\bar{H}(\omega) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota) \forall \iota \in \Omega$. Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \not\subseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon)$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq H(\varepsilon)$. Put $\pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \supseteq \pi$. So $\varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $\iota \star \varepsilon \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \pi = H(\iota \star \varepsilon)$, which is impossible. Summarize $\bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$ such that $\bar{H}(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \not\subseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon_1) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon_2)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $\bar{H}(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \supseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon_1) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon_2)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \supseteq ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon_1)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon_2)) = [0, 1] \setminus (H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2))$. Then $H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \subseteq H(\varepsilon_1) \cap H(\varepsilon_2)$. Put $\pi = H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\varepsilon_1) \supseteq \pi$ and $H(\varepsilon_2) \supseteq \pi$. So $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$ is an ID of Ω and hence $(\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi)$. So, $H((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota) \subseteq \pi = H(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota))$, which is impossible. Summarize $\bar{H}(((\varepsilon_1 \star (\varepsilon_2 \star \iota)) \star \iota)) \subseteq \bar{H}(\varepsilon_1) \cup \bar{H}(\varepsilon_2) \forall \iota, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \Omega$. In conclusion, \bar{H} is an AHFID of Ω . \square

Theorem 4.12. The statements below are accurate.

- (1) If H is an AHFDS of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω and $S(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.
- (2) If \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω , then $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω and $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) = \emptyset$.
- (3) If $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $\emptyset \neq S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \subseteq \Omega$ is a DS of Ω , then \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω .

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward by Theorem 4.9.

(3) Let's say $H(\Omega)$ is comparable and $\forall \pi \in 2^{[0,1]}$, $S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$ is a DS of Ω . Suppose there is $\iota \in \Omega$ such that $\bar{H}(\omega) \not\subseteq \bar{H}(\iota)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $\bar{H}(\omega) \supseteq \bar{H}(\iota)$. Then $[0, 1] \setminus H(\omega) \supseteq [0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)$. Put $\pi = H(\omega) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota) \supseteq \pi = H(\omega)$. So $\iota \in S_{\supseteq}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming,

we obtain $S_{\supset}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and hence $\omega \in S_{\supset}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\omega) \subset \pi = H(\omega)$, which is impossible. Summarize $\bar{H}(\omega) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota) \forall \iota \in \Omega$. Suppose there are $\iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that $\bar{H}(\varepsilon) \not\subseteq \bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup \bar{H}(\iota)$. Because $H(\Omega)$ is comparable, $\bar{H}(\varepsilon) \supset \bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup \bar{H}(\iota)$ and so $[0, 1] \setminus H(\varepsilon) \supset ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota \star \varepsilon)) \cup ([0, 1] \setminus H(\iota)) = [0, 1] \setminus (H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota))$. Then $H(\varepsilon) \subset H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cap H(\iota)$. Put $\pi = H(\varepsilon) \in 2^{[0,1]}$. Then $H(\iota \star \varepsilon) \supset \pi$ and $H(\iota) \supset \pi$. So $\iota \star \varepsilon, \iota \in S_{\supset}(H, \pi) \neq \emptyset$. By assuming, we obtain $S_{\supset}(H, \pi)$ is a DS of Ω and hence $\varepsilon \in S_{\supset}(H, \pi)$. So, $H(\varepsilon) \subset \pi = H(\varepsilon)$, which is impossible. Summarize $\bar{H}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \bar{H}(\iota \star \varepsilon) \cup \bar{H}(\iota) \forall \iota, \varepsilon \in \Omega$. In conclusion, \bar{H} is an AHFDS of Ω . \square

5 Conclusion

In the current study, we have explained HFSs to SAs, IDs, and DSs of Hilbert algebras in terms of anti-types referred to as an AHFSA, an AHFID, and an AHFDS. It supplies the link between their level subsets, AHFSAs, AHFIDs, and AHFDSs.

Acknowledgements

The income budget for 2022 at the School of Science, University of Phayao, Thailand, provided funding for this study.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmad, A. Kharal, "On fuzzy soft sets," *Adv. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 2009, Article ID 586507, 6 pages, 2009.
- [2] M. Atef, M. I. Ali, T. Al-shami, "Fuzzy soft covering based multi-granulation fuzzy rough sets and their applications," *Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 115, 2021.
- [3] D. Busneag, "A note on deductive systems of a Hilbert algebra," *Kobe J. Math.*, vol. 2, pp. 29-35, 1985.
- [4] D. Busneag, "Hilbert algebras of fractions and maximal Hilbert algebras of quotients," *Kobe J. Math.*, vol. 5, pp. 161-172, 1988.
- [5] N. Çağman, S. Enginoğlu, F. Citak, "Fuzzy soft set theory and its application," *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 137-147, 2011.
- [6] I. Chajda, R. Halas, "Congruences and ideals in Hilbert algebras," *Kyungpook Math. J.*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 429-429, 1999.
- [7] A. Diego, "Sur les algèbres de Hilbert," *Collection de Logique Math. Ser. A (Ed. Hermann, Paris)*, vol. 21, pp. 1-52, 1966.
- [8] W. A. Dudek, "On fuzzification in Hilbert algebras," *Contrib. Gen. Algebra*, vol. 11, pp. 77-83, 1999.
- [9] W. A. Dudek, "On ideals in Hilbert algebras," *Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis Fac. rer. nat. ser. Math.*, vol. 38, pp. 31-34, 1999.
- [10] A. Iampan, "A new branch of the logical algebra: UP-algebras," *J. Algebra Relat. Top.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 35-54, 2017.
- [11] Y. B. Jun, "Deductive systems of Hilbert algebras," *Math. Japon.*, vol. 43, pp. 51-54, 1996.
- [12] Y. B. Jun and S. S. Ahn, "Hesitant fuzzy set theory applied to BCK/BCI-algebras," *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 635-646, 2016.
- [13] Y. B. Jun, S. S. Ahn, G. Muhiuddin, "Hesitant fuzzy soft subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras," *Sci. World J.*, vol. 2014, Article ID 763929, 7 pages, 2014.
- [14] Y. B. Jun, S.-Z. Song, "Hesitant fuzzy set theory applied to filters in MTL-algebras," *Honam Math. J.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 813-830, 2014.
- [15] Y. B. Jun, S.-Z. Song, "Hesitant fuzzy prefilters and filters of EQ-algebras," *Appl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 515-532, 2015.
- [16] P. Mosriji, W. Kamti, A. Satirad, A. Iampan, "Hesitant fuzzy sets on UP-algebras," *Konuralp J. Math.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 268-280, 2017.
- [17] Z. M. Rodzi, A. G. Ahmad, N. S. Ismail, W. N. Mohamad, S. Mohamad, "Z-Score functions of dual hesitant fuzzy set and its applications in multi-criteria decision making," *Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 225-232, 2021. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2021.090303
- [18] V. Torra, "Hesitant fuzzy sets," *Int. J. Intell. Syst.*, vol. 25, pp. 529-539, 2010.
- [19] V. Torra, Y. Narukawa, "On hesitant fuzzy sets and decision," *18th IEEE Int. Conf. Fuzzy Syst.*, pp. 1378-1382, 2009.
- [20] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Inf. Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [21] J. Zhan, Z. Tan, "Intuitionistic fuzzy deductive systems in Hilbert algebra," *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 813-826, 2005.
- [22] B. Zhu, Z. Xu, M. Xia, "Dual hesitant fuzzy sets," *J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 2012, Article ID 879629, 13 pages, 2012.