The Effects of Repeated Sprint Training with Blood Flow Restriction on Strength, Anaerobic and Aerobic Performance in Basketball
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Abstract

Repeated sprint training is usually performed in team sports, but its combination with blood flow restriction has a lack of data existing on the intense response to this type of training. This study aims to determine the acute effect of repeated sprint training in combination with blood flow restriction on the strength, anaerobic and aerobic performance in basketball. Twenty-four basketball players participated in current study were divided into two groups. They performed twelve on-court sessions; each consists of 3 sets of 8 repetitions of 20-sec and 4-min rests. Strength (1-RM bench press and half-squat), anaerobic, aerobic measurements were tested before the beginning of the study and two days followed by the training intervention period. The results presented a small increase in upper maximum strength (bench press) and anaerobic (Suicide Run) variables (ES 0.2 to 0.5) in (RST-BFR) group. In addition, there is a large increase in lower body maximum strength (half-squat) and aerobic capacity (VO2max) variables. In comparison, the control group reported a small increase only in the aerobic capacity (VO2max) (ES = 0.26), otherwise trivial effect size was observed in other variables. The t-test reported a significant difference between both groups (p < 0.05) after the (RST) with blood flow restriction on the lower body maximum strength (half-squat) and the aerobic capacity (VO2max) measured variables.
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1. Introduction

The concept of blood flow restriction (BFR) training involves a reducing of arterial blood flow to muscles, whilst occluding venous return [1]. The repeated sprint ability training (RST) is a major determinant of performance in intermittent team sports particularly in basketball [2-5].

Basketball is distinguished by high intensity short duration movements and interspersed by low to moderate intensity movement patterns [6]. Thus, it seems that the ability to perform intermittent high intensity actions over the game is critical for basketball players [7]. In this regard, several studies have shown that to be effective to enhance performance in team sports and increase the maximal oxygen uptake as well as mean and peak speed was during a repeated sprint test [8-10].

The (BFR) training has been shown to improve the muscle hypertrophy and promote the development of muscular strength using loads as low as (20 - 30%) of a player’s 1 repetition maximum (1RM) in athletes [11]. In addition, Holm et al. (2008) [12] found a favored high-load condition of strength adaptations and muscle
size following a training intervention protocol for 12 weeks that compared 70% (1RM) and 15.5% (1RM).

The anaerobic and aerobic energy systems effect the (RSA), i.e., particularly anaerobic processes during sprinting and aerobic processes during recovery [13]. In this context, a review study of (BFR) mechanisms observed effects of exercises with (BFR) and concluded an increase in enhanced levels of muscle recruitment, heart rate, increases in elevated adrenal hormone concentrations and systemic hormones [14].

The (RST) at maximal intensity with incomplete recoveries (work to rest ratio < 1:4) elicits the early development of fatigue (~33–35% power decrement), therefore impacting performance especially when exercising to exhaustion [15]. In particular, Ruscello et al. (2013) [16] described the work to rest ratio in basketball with < 1:3, therefore, the type of these exercises represents a challenge for the muscle (accumulation of metabolites) [17].

In the context of training response to the (BFR), previous studies indicated that long recovery time periods between training sessions are not required when applying (BFR) training [18, 19]. Thence, it seems that training with high intensity in combination with (BFR) could result in farther benefits.

In details, Park et al. (2010) [20] reported that walk training after 2 weeks with (BFR) was significantly improved the maximum aerobic capacity and anaerobic capacity in collegiate basketball players, and some studies mentioned to a significant improvement in VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and muscle strength in (BFR) group due to low-intensity aerobic training [18, 20, 21]. On the other hand, some studies showed that training with (BFR) significantly improved the VO$_{2\text{max}}$ compared to the non (BFR) group following 4 and 6 weeks of a high intensity training [22, 11].

Moreover, the (BFR) studies focused on strength gain investigations with sprint training alone [23]. Also, the (BFR) training was able to turn even a slow treadmill walking protocol into an effective training stimulus to elicit strength gains [24]. Likewise, previous studies have found a developed ability to perform sprints after (BFR) training compared to conventional one [25-27]. Moreover, (Behringer et al., 2017; Faiss et al., 2013; Valenzuela et al., 2019) [28-30] reported that applying sprints in blending with (BFR) increased sprint performance and strength and hypertrophy to a greater range than the regular training without BFR. In addition, the merging of (BFR) with sprint interval training enhances aerobic performance in trained athletes, although this did not translate to an enhanced exercise performance. Thus, sprint interval training alone did not induce any observable adaptation [31]. Accordingly, we expected the combination of repeated sprint training and (BFR) would be more effective.

To our knowledge, the combination of (RST) with (BFR) was never been investigated in basketball. Moreover, Willis et al. (2018) [32] mentioned a lake datum exists on the intense response to a repeated sprint training session performed with (BFR). Therefore, this study aims to take part in this growing area of research by examining the question if repeated sprint training with blood flow restriction positively affects the strength, anaerobic and aerobic performance in basketball.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-four basketball players participated in the current study (mean ± SD) age 22.3 ± 2.4 years; weight, 81.2 ± 4.7 kg; height, 195.4 ± 2.4 cm. The participants were players at the university basketball team and highly trained for about ~10 hours per week with average of twelve years of training experience. Participants were categorized into two groups randomly, experimental training group with Kaatsu (TG; n = 12) and control group (CG; n = 12). Pre-tests were investigated before the training intervention for both groups and post-tests measured after the training sessions completed. All players were healthy and free from any chronic injuries. The informed consent obtained from each participant prior the volunteered in current study. Ethical approval was obtained for this study by the ethics committee of Zagazig University.

2.2. Procedures

2.2.1. Training protocol and BFR procedures

Each participant conducted 12 experimental sessions (RST-BFR and RST). All sessions were conducted at the same time of the day (i.e., on the afternoon). After a 15-min warm-up consisting of (stretching, basketball drills, squats and repeated sprints), both groups performed the RST session on a basketball court, which consisted of 3 sets of 8 maximal sprints performed 3 days/week for 4 weeks. Repetitions and sets were interspersed by 20-sec and 4-min rests, respectively. The training session was conducted on a basketball court using a shuttle running of 15 + 15 meters delimited by cone. Participants were asked to sprint at maximal speed.

Prior the training intervention, the (TG) was familiarized to wear the elastic cuffs (Kaatsu-Master, Sato Sports Plaza, Tokyo, Japan) at the proximal region of legs. During the familiarization period, external pressure was selected for the cuff with (100 – 130 mmHg) according to the participants blood pressure at resting phase as recommended by (T. Yasuda et al., 2008) [33]. The players wore the elastic cuffs around the proximal region of both leg (Kaatsu-Master, Sato Sports Plaza, Tokyo, Japan) during the RS training sessions. At the begging of training intervention, the cuffs were inflated with a pressure of 100 mmHg. The pressure continues to be...
increased by ten mmHg at every training session of RS training until the pressure reached 160 mmHg. The instruction consideration of cuffs inflation during this study was approved in accordance with recent studies [33-35].

The participants testing performed before the beginning of the study and two days followed by the training intervention period. The strength tests were attained first and followed by the anaerobic measures on a same day session after 20 minutes rest period. The aerobic measures conducted on a separate day followed by the next day directly. Measurements started with the same time during the testing session days and after standardized warm-up consisting dynamic stretching, jogging, and different series of sprints.

2.2.2. Test protocol

2.2.2.1. Strength measurement

After a standardized warm-up, the players completed a strength warm-up using (10, 6, and 3 repetitions) with 50%, 75%, and 85% intensities, respectively. The warm-up was estimated by their one repetitions maximum 1-RM recent values. In addition, subsequent the specific strength warm-up period, the players resistance was fixed at a critical value of 5% below the 1-RM and increased gradually after each successful trail until failure.

The 1-RM bench press and half-squat strength obtained based on the recorded maximum weight of participants were able to raise as described by (Chtara et al., 2008; Weiss et al., 2004) [36, 37]. The bench press exercises performed from the up position with full elbow extension, pulled to the chest level for a moment pause and pushed back to the starting position to finish the test. The subjects were not allowed to bounce the bar off to the chest, in addition, the foot and hand positions for each player determined during the familiarized period and were balanced during the all testing. The back-squat exercise with free weights was performed to allow the player to bend his knees to reach the position of half-squat that knee seems to be at 90-degree angle approximately while the barbell held over the shoulders. The rest period between each attempt for both strength tests was 3 minutes of recovery as stated by (Wlisloff et al., 2004) [38].

2.2.2.2. Anaerobic measurement

The Suicide run test was used as an anaerobic test measure in the current study. This test run was commonly used especially for basketball players in order to measure the anaerobic capacity [39]. The Suicide test consists of 143.3 meters sprint including several changes of direction tasks. The participants were instructed to start from a standing position behind the baseline and run to four different lines at maximal speed. The 4 lines description were near free-throw, half-court, free throw, and far baseline lines (5.8, 14, 22.2, and 28 meters), respectively. The players ran maximum back to the original baseline when they arrived at each line. The total time of the test course concluded as a record score of each player who was instructed to perform the test with maximal effort.

2.2.2.3. Aerobic measurement

The aerobic capacity was measured using the 20m shuttle run test that consisted of 20-m shuttle runs between two lines marked and placed twenty meters apart. The test was performed at increasing velocities, while beginning with speed of 8.5 km∙h⁻¹ and maintained for 1 min, thereafter the velocity was increased by 0.5 km∙h⁻¹ for every minute with 10 s recovery period between shuttle runs until the exhaustion. The test score (total number of 20m completed laps) concluded when the participants failed to complete the 20m apart between the two lines in time twice or unsuccessful on two consecutive signals to arrive within 3 meters of the end line. The estimated VO₂max was obtained according to the final score and derived by the formula (Y = 6.0X – 24.4, where Y equals the predicted VO₂max and X equals the maximum velocity that achieved). The reliability and validity indicator have been shown (St Clair Gibson et al., 1998) [40]. Also, this test was considered as the familiarized test of aerobic power of basketball players [41, 10].

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The descriptive data were presented as mean ± SD and the (Shapiro-Wilk test) was used for the normality distribution examination. The homogeneity of variances was examined by using Levene’s test. An independent t-test was used to measure the intragroup changes for collected data after the training intervention applied. The statistical analysis was conducted using the (SPSS 23, USA) and the significance level was applied by α = 0.05.

3. Results

The (mean ± SD) of all data variables in pre and post tests are demonstrated in (Table. 1). The results demonstrate the effect size rate and the significant difference between both groups in the post-test after the intervention training period.

The TG group demonstrates a small increase in upper maximum strength (bench press) and anaerobic (Suicide Run) variables (ES 0.2 to 0.5), and a large increase in lower body maximum strength (half-squat) and aerobic capacity (VO₂max) variables. In comparison, the CG group demonstrates a small increase only in the aerobic capacity (VO₂max) (ES = 0.26), otherwise trivial effect size is observed in other variables. The t-test confirmed a significant difference between both groups (p < 0.05) after the (RST) on the lower body maximum strength (half-squat) and the aerobic capacity (VO₂max) measured variables.
4. Discussion

The purpose of current study was to investigate the effects of 4 weeks (RST) with (BFR) protocol. The important results were that the merging of this training appears to increase the performance of lower body strength and the aerobic capacity for the training group when compared to the control group that did the training without the practical blood occlusion. Our findings indicate an increase of the lower body strength between both groups (ES ~ 0.85) after the training intervention of (RST) with (BFR). In addition, the greater increase in (1RM) half-squat in TG group was higher than the CG group with significant difference (p = 0.02) and estimated a medium rate of effect size.

There are some previous studies reported an increase of muscle strength with (BFR) training while short-term low intensity used [21], or during walking training with (BFR) [18, 24]. The strength gain mechanism as a response after the training with (BFR) is not till now fully unspoken. (T. Abe et al., 2006) [24] reported increases in CSA at the thigh muscles after 3 weeks twice daily walking with (BFR) training. This argument explains that (BFR) is related closely to the release of metabolic that products could recruit more fast-twitch motor units to produce force, and this process induced a favorable milieu for improving anaerobic power and muscle strength [42].

In current study, the percentage improvement in (1RM) bench press strength was not larger when compared to the (1RM) half-squat that increased with higher effect size between TG and CG groups. In this regard, a percent increase in (1RM) strength is not larger than that of increase in muscle size. Recent studies reported that relative strength of elbow flexor and knee extensor muscle didn’t change significantly between pre and post training intervention after low intensity (BFR) training.[24],[43, 44].

It is important to note that (RST) with (BFR) appears as feasible ‘hypoxic’ methods that can be performed during on-court sessions containing basketball specific movements. Previous studies had confirmed the feasibility and effectiveness of (RST) with (BFR) in racket sports [29]. Moreover, studies using (RST) with (BFR) to date had been conducted under laboratory conditions [32].

No significant difference between TG and CG groups was observed for the suicide run test as anaerobic test. These results indicate that anaerobic performance is not affected by occlusion during the (RST) with (BFR) in basketball [45].

These results showed like previous investigation that reported in Australian junior players of national level before the institution of the new rules [46]. Many authors and coaches use distances of 20 or 25 m to test their players because it is close to the length of a basketball court [47]. However, during the game, players are rarely in a situation where they have to sprint on the whole court distance, and video analysis of competitions has shown that the high-intensity runs performed by players of national level lasted between 1.7 and 2.1 seconds, which almost corresponds to distances of 10 meter [48]. In current study, no significant increases in anaerobic performance were observed when used the (RST) with (BFR). These results conflicted to those reported in response to training with (BFR) [49, 50]. Accordingly, it seems no relationship between the volume of (BFR) with (RST) and improvements in anaerobic performance.

In addition, the results indicated an influence of combining (RST) with (BFR) in increasing VO2max in basketball players. The present study presents preliminary evidence to suggest that enhanced aerobic performance could occur with (BFR) compared with (RST) alone. Also, the VO2max increased by 4.5% in response to (RST) with (BFR), compared with 0.7% in (RST) alone. Enhancing oxygen uptake to other forms of exercise has been demonstrated previously as influence of combining (BFR) [18, 20].
We attempted to identify an optimal combination of (BFR) and exercise intensity to maximize aerobic performance. In this context, the results showed a greater improvement in aerobic response to complete (RST) with (BFR). This improvement in VO_{2max} agrees with those studies that investigated the effect of (RST) exercises in combination with (BFR), while cuff pressure was applied at 140 to 180 mHg [51, 52]. These findings consisted with reported benefits of (BFR) training with moderate intensity on the aerobic performance [53] and gave an evidence for several contributions to this mechanism.

5. Conclusion

We concluded that merging repeated sprint training with (BFR) might alter muscular strength and aerobic performance in basketball players, but no noticeable change was observed to the anaerobic performance. In both study groups, the results showed an improvement in all variables with a trend for greater increases in lower body strength in response to (RST) with (BFR) training and applied occlusion with pressure reached to 160 mmHg.
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