

Analysis and Recurrent Computation of MBF of the Maximum Types

Tkachenco V. G.^{1,*}, Sinyavsky O. V.²

¹Institute of Radio, Television, Electronics, Odessa National Academy of Telecommunications n.a. A.S.Popov, Ukraine

²Department of Fundamental Sciences, Odessa Military Academy, Ukraine

Copyright©2019 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

Abstract This manuscript is a continuation of the research of monotone Boolean functions (MBF), using the MBF partition into types. An interesting connection is observed between the intersection of the groups of MBF stabilizers of $n-1$ rank and the number of isomorphic functions of the n th rank. The number of MBFs of the n th rank obtained from the MBF pairs of $n-1$ rank is computed. The examples of recursive construction of the MBF of the n th rank are shown. The partitioning of the MBF of maximal types into classes is given. The number of classes of functions of the n th rank is computed. A new classification of monotone Boolean function of maximal types into schemes has been developed. Such schemes are given for 3-7 ranks of the MBF. The dependencies between the maximal types of MBF of the n th rank and the $n-1$ rank are found, which makes it possible to reduce the MBF enumeration by constructing the equivalence classes of the n th rank from the equivalence classes of $n-1$ rank. The proposed methods are convenient for analyzing large MBF ranks.

Keywords Monotone Boolean Functions, MBF Types, Maximum Types, MBF Profile, MBF Schemes, MBF Equivalence Classes

1. Introduction

In 1897, R. Dedekind published an article [1], in which the number of elements of a distributive lattice with four generators was found. The number $\psi(n)$ of elements of the distributive lattice with n generators coincides with the number of anti-chains in the unit n -dimensional cube. In the language of the algebra of logic, $\psi(n)$ is the number of monotone Boolean functions depending on the variables x_1, \dots, x_n . The problem of computing $\psi(n)$ is usually called the Dedekind problem. As it turned out, this problem is rather difficult and cannot be solved within the framework of the traditional method of generating functions. There

was an attempt to computation the Dedekind number $D(n)$ through the number of classes of nonisomorphic MBFs. As in the case of $D(n)$, the closed formula for such classes is not known, and in fact only values up to $n = 6$ were calculated (see [2]). They, apparently, were obtained by a direct method of enumerating all monotone Boolean functions of n variables, and then sorting them into equivalence classes.

In 1997, Engel introduced the concept of a profile of a monotone Boolean function [3]. T. Stephen and T. Yusun [4] parted the whole set of MBF into classes using the profiles, to find the number of nonisomorphic MBF. They developed an algorithm on Matlab to compute the number of classes of such MBFs of 7 variables. This method is based on the partition of the MBF into profiles, which are defined in [3].

Independently the similar MBF concept was introduced by us in work [5]. In [6] classification of MBF on types and enumeration of the MBF maximum types is developed. In [7], a new method for recursively calculating the number Dedekind $D(n)$ was developed on the basis of partitioning into MBF equivalence classes and the algebraic properties of MBF blocks were investigated.

Unlike the profile, the MBF type has an additional digit, with which it is possible to describe all MBFs of a given rank, in particular, the zero MBF. With types it is more convenient to implement an algorithm of computation nonisomorphic MBF equivalence classes. It can be shown that the number of maximal types of MBF n rank equals the number of all types of MBF $n-1$ rank. Therefore, it is possible to obtain a recurrent formula for calculating equivalence classes and computation the Dedekind number.

In this paper, we developed a method of recursively calculating the number of MBFs of the maximum type of the n th rank using the MBFs of the maximum type of $n-1$ rank and introduced a new classification of MBF maximum types into schemes. Construction of equivalence classes of MBF of the n th rank from equivalence classes of $n-1$ rank with the help of stabilizers of $n-1$ rank, which allows reducing MBF enumeration.

2. Results

The Boolean function of n elements is the mapping $f : B^n \rightarrow B$, where $B = \{0,1\}$. A monotone Boolean function (MBF) is a Boolean function under the condition: for any $\alpha, \beta \in B^n$ such that $\alpha < \beta$, then $f(\alpha) < f(\beta)$. The lattice of all MBFs of n rank is a free distributive lattice of the n rank plus zero MBF (on all sets it is 0) and the unit MBF (on all sets is 1). Under the over / under ratio, we understand the order in this lattice of all MBFs. We will consider the Boolean function in the disjunctive normal form (DNF). MBF can be determined through a DNF. A monotone Boolean function is a Boolean function that does not have a negation operation in the form of a DNF, but only a disjunction and conjunction operation.

A vector $T = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n)$ is an MBF type if the i -th component of the vector a_i is equal to the number of conjunctive clauses in the form of DNF, which consist of i variables, i.e. have length i . Several new characteristics were introduced for the type: the number n is called the rank of a type T ; the number of non-zero component v – weight of a type T ; the number j of the first nonzero components on the right – the right border of the T ; the number i of the first nonzero components on the left – the left border of the T ; the sum m of all the components of the T – cardinality type T .

The type T is called maximal if, with increasing any of its components by 1, the resulting vector will not be a type, i.e. there is no MBF, the type of which would be equal to this vector. Conversely, if we subtract one or more units from any component, the resulting vector will also be an MBF type, since we will remove the clauses of the length of the corresponding component from the existing MBF. By definition, this function will be monotonic. Thus, any type can be obtained by subtracting integers from components of one or more maximum types.

We call type $T_1^{-1} = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-i}, \dots, a_1, a_0)$ the inverse type for type $T_1 = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n)$. This means that if the MBF f is of type T_1 , then the type of disjunctive complement MBF g is T_1^{-1} .

Example 1. We take the MBF f from 5 variables equal to one on the input sets 00011, 00111, 01011, 10011, 01111, 10111, 11011, 11100, 11101, 11110 and 11111. The minimal term of the function f are the sets 00011 and 11100, the first of which is at level 3, and the second at level 2 of the Boolean cube. In the symbolic form, the MBF looks like $f = x_2x_1 \vee x_5x_4x_3$. Hence the type $T(f)$ of f is (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0). The rank of this type is $n(T) = 5$, the weight is $v(T) = 2$, the left boundary is $i(T) = 2$, the right boundary is $j(T) = 3$. The same type has a number of MBFs, in particular MBF with minimal term 00101 and 11001.

Example 2. The following are the maximum types of ranks from 0 to 4.

For rank 0, only type (1) is maximal.

For rank 1, the maximal are 2 types: (0,1) and (1,0).
For rank 2, the maximal is 3 types: (0,0,1), (0,2,0) and (1,0,0).

For rank 3, the maximal is 5 types: (0,0,0,1), (0,0,3,0), (0,1,1,0), (0,3,0,0), and (1,0,0,0).

For rank 4, the maximal is 10 types: (0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 4, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 1, 0), (0, 0, 6, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0, 0), (0, 4, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)

We call the types of views $(0,0,\dots,0), (1,0,\dots,0), (0,0,\dots,0,1)$ zero, left and right, respectively. For the zero type, the right and left units coincide and are equal to (1).

Let's call a pair of types T_1 and T_2 rank n admissible if the right boundary of $j(T_1)$ is strictly less than the left boundary of $i(T_2)$, i.e. All conjunctive clauses of MBF of type T_1 are strictly less than any clauses of MBF of type T_2 .

For any admissible pair of types, a shift-sum operation is defined:

$$T = T_1 \circ T_2 = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \circ (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) = (b_0, a_0 + b_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_n, a_n) = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$$

$$\text{If } T = T_1 \circ T_2, T^{-1} = T_2^{-1} \circ T_1^{-1}$$

Such an operation with a zero vector $T_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ it is possible to carry out both on the right, and at the left:

$$T = T_1 \circ T_0 = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \circ (0, 0, \dots, 0) = (0, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$$

$$T = T_0 \circ T_1 = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \circ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n, 0)$$

There are f_1, \dots, f_r left MBF $n-1$ rank and g_1, \dots, g_m right MBF $n-1$ rank.

Each MBF n th rank $f(n)$ of the maximal type consists of an admissible pair of MBFs $n-1$ rank, left $f(n-1)$ and right $g(n-1)$ connected by separable variable x_n , $f(n) = f(n-1)x_n \vee g(n-1)$.

It is known that conjunction s absorbs conjunction t if all its variables are components of conjunction t . We say that MBF f absorbs MBF g , if each conjunction g is absorbed by one of conjunction f . For the binary representation, it can be written that $f \geq g$, i.e. one function is greater than another. This corresponds to the ratio "greater than or equal" on all elements of a free distributive lattice from MBF of n rank. From this inequality it follows that $f = f \vee g$ and $g = f \wedge g$.

Theorem 1. For any admissible pair of maximal types T_1 and T_2 and any $f_1(n) \in T_1$ and $f_2(n) \in T_2$, we have $f_1(n) > f_2(n)$.

Proof. In MBF $f_1(n)$ of maximal type T_1 contains conjunctions of smaller length than in the second MBF

$f_2(n)$ by definition of an admissible pair of types. But on the other hand, any conjunction that is added to the maximal is either absorbed by other conjunctions, or is equal to such a conjunction, or itself absorbs one or several conjunctions. But the last two cases cannot be, because we chose the valid types. Consequently, the conjunctions of the first function must absorb the conjunctions of the second function. Therefore $f_1(n) > f_2(n)$. The theorem is proved.

Consequence. If we take the class of isomorphic functions L of type T_1 and the class R of isomorphic functions of type T_2 from an admissible pair of types, then any function from the first class will be more than any function from the second class.

In any class of isomorphic functions, the permutation p maps the MBF f to the isomorphic MBF $p(f)$. The product of permutations $s \cdot p$ maps the MBF f first $s(f)$ and then $p(s(f))$, i.e. $(s \cdot p)(f) = p(s(f))$.

Denote by $G(f)$ the action of the group G on the MBF f . As a result of this action, we obtain a certain orbit of the function f , consisting of isomorphic functions that can be transferred from one to another by permutations of this group. The class of all isomorphic MBF $n-1$ rank, which includes the function $f(n-1)$, is obtained by acting on $f(n-1)$ of the entire symmetric group S_{n-1} .

Suppose there are two classes L and R of isomorphic functions of maximal types $n-1$ of rank T_1 and T_2 (see the consequence of Theorem 1). Denote functions of class L f_1, \dots, f_r , and functions of class R g_1, \dots, g_m . According to the consequence of the theorem, any pair (f_i, g_j) forms an MBF of the n th rank using an expression $f(n) = f(n-1)x_n \vee g(n-1)$.

In the isomorphic class, the stabilizers of the functions f_i and $f_i = p_i(f_1)$ are connected by such a relation $St_i = p_i^{-1}St_1p_i$, here St_i is the stabilizer f_i , i.e. groups St_1 and St_i are conjugate. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} St_i(f_i) &= (p_i^{-1} \cdot St_1 \cdot p_i)(f_i) = p_i(St_1(p_i^{-1}(f_i))) = \\ &= p_i(St_1(f_1)) = p_i(f_1) = f_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus, knowing the permutations p_2, \dots, p_r that takes f_1 to MBF f_2, \dots, f_r , we can obtain stabilizers for each f_2, \dots, f_r . It is said that these stabilizers are conjugate to the stabilizer St_1 .

Consider stabilizer action St_1 on the class R . As a result, we obtain the orbits $Or_{1,1}, Or_{2,1}, \dots, Or_{r,1}$ of cardinality k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r , respectively. Here $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = m$.

In particular, we consider the action of St_1 on the right MBF g_1 , i.e. $St_1(g_1)$. The result is an orbit $Or_{1,1}$ of isomorphic functions g_i, \dots, g_{i_k} . The number of such functions is $k_1 = \frac{|St_1|}{|St_1 \cap St'_1|}$, here St'_1 is the stabilizer of the right function g_1 . Because if stabilizer St_1 is a group, then its action on any of the functions g_i, \dots, g_{i_k} gives the same orbit $Or_{1,1}$.

To obtain the orbit $Or_{2,1}$, we now take the right function g_s , which not entering into $Or_{1,1}$, and we will act on it by the stabilizer St_1 . In the same way, we obtain any of the l orbits $Or_{1,1}, Or_{2,1}, \dots, Or_{r,1}$.

Theorem 2. The number of classes of isomorphic pairs (f_i, g_j) is equal to the number of orbits of the stabilizer of the left (right) MBF $n-1$ rank acting on the class of isomorphic right (left) MBF. The cardinality of the classes of these isomorphic pairs are proportional to the cardinality of the corresponding orbits.

Proof. We will act using a stabilizer of the left function f_1 for a pairs of functions (f_1, g_1) , i.e. $St_1(f_1, g_1)$. This stabilizer will leave the left function f_1 in place, and will transition the right function g_1 into orbit $Or_{1,1}$. And, obviously, these pairs of functions $(f_1, g_i), \dots, (f_1, g_{i_k})$ will be isomorphic. We map the resulting orbit $Or_{1,1}$ using a permutation p_2 . As a result, we obtain an orbit $Or_{1,2}$. Under the mapping p_2 , the left function f_1 transition to $p_2(f_1) = f_2$, and the right transition to the set of isomorphic k functions g_j, \dots, g_{j_k} $p_2(\{g_i, \dots, g_{i_k}\}) = \{g_j, \dots, g_{j_k}\}$. And, obviously, pairs of functions $(f_1, g_i), \dots, (f_1, g_{i_k}), (f_2, g_j), \dots, (f_2, g_{j_k})$ will be isomorphic.

From equality $St_i = p_i^{-1}St_1p_i$ it follows that $p_iSt_i = St_1p_i$. Therefore, this same set of pairs can be obtained by the stabilizer action St_i on a pair of functions $(f_i, p_i(g_1))$.

Acting so with the others p_i on a pair (f_1, g_1) and combining the obtained pairs of MBF, we obtain the class of isomorphic pairs (f_i, g_j) . Total number of such pairs is k_1r .

Running through all left functions f_i , we obtain an orbit Or_1 consisting of k_1r isomorphic pairs of functions (f_i, g_j) .

Then, for the function f_1 , we take the right function g_s , which is not in $Or_{1,1}$. We will act as before, only instead of a pair of functions (f_1, g_1) we will consider a pair (f_1, g_s) . Also, running through all left functions f_i , we obtain an orbit $Or_{2,i}$ consisting of $k_{2,r}$ isomorphic pairs of functions.

Similarly, we act like this for each orbit $Or_j, j = 1, \dots, l$ and we obtain all classes of isomorphic pairs of MBFs.

It should be noted that any permutation of a symmetric group S_n translates the left function f_1 into one of the functions f_2, \dots, f_r . And accordingly, the same permutation translates the orbit $Or_{1,1}$ into one of the orbits $Or_{1,2}, \dots, Or_{1,r}$. Therefore, there is no way beyond this set of functions. The theorem is proved.

We introduce the concept of an index separating variables λ . We construct from the MBF $n-1$ rank, which are the MBF of maximal types of the admissible pair MBF n rank $f(n) = f(n-1)x_n \vee g(n-1)$. A pair of types $(f(n-1), g(n-1))$ is determined uniquely, since this decomposition is unique [8].

If in the same function $f(n)$ it is possible to take out other variables outside the brackets, except for x_n and it will not change its appearance, i.e. $f(n) = f(n-1)x_i \vee g(n-1)$, and the functions $f(n-1)$ and $g(n-1)$ belong to the types that make up an admissible pair, then the number of such variables is called the index of the separating variables λ .

Theorem 3. The number of MBF n -th rank obtained from pairs (f_i, g_j) of MBF $n-1$ rank of classes L and R is

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{k_i r n}{\lambda_i}$$

Proof. To obtain from the pairs of functions (f_i, g_j) the class of isomorphic MBFs n th rank is necessary to multiply the left function f_i on the separating variable x_n . The number of functions in the whole class will be equal to the number of pairs multiplied by rank n , since such isomorphic functions are obtained using permutations: $(1, 2, \dots, n), (n, 2, 3, \dots, n-1, 1)$ etc. Next, you need to divide by the index λ_i of separating variables, because λ_i functions will be the same. Now the sum over all l orbits and obtain the formula $K = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{k_i r n}{\lambda_i}$. The theorem is proved.

Example 3. Let us find the number of the maximum type of functions of the sixth rank obtained from two isomorphic MBF classes of the maximum type 5th rank. Take the left MBF rank 5, having the type $(0, 1, 6, 0, 0, 0)$. The original left MBF

$f_1(5) = x_1 \vee x_2 x_3 \vee x_2 x_4 \vee x_2 x_5 \vee x_3 x_4 \vee x_3 x_5 \vee x_4 x_5$, obtained from the original MBFs permutations $p_2 = (2, 1, 3, 4, 5)$, $p_3 = (3, 1, 2, 4, 5)$, $p_4 = (4, 1, 2, 3, 5)$, $p_5 = (5, 1, 2, 3, 4)$ functions $f_2(5), \dots, f_5(5)$.

Also right MBF of the 5th rank having type $(0, 0, 0, 6, 1, 0)$: original MBF

$$g_1(5) = x_1 x_2 x_3 \vee x_1 x_2 x_4 \vee x_1 x_2 x_5 \vee x_1 x_3 x_4 \vee x_1 x_3 x_5 \vee x_1 x_4 x_5 \vee x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5$$

obtained from the original MBFs permutations $q_2 = (2, 1, 3, 4, 5)$, $q_3 = (3, 1, 2, 4, 5)$, $q_4 = (4, 1, 2, 3, 5)$, $q_5 = (5, 1, 2, 3, 4)$ functions $g_2(5), \dots, g_5(5)$.

The stabilizer St_1 of the first left function f_1 includes such permutations.

1.	(1,2,3,4,5)	13.	(1,4,2,3,5)
2.	(1,2,3,5,4)	14.	(1,4,2,5,3)
3.	(1,2,4,3,5)	15.	(1,4,3,2,5)
4.	(1,2,4,5,3)	16.	(1,4,3,5,2)
5.	(1,2,5,3,4)	17.	(1,4,5,2,3)
6.	(1,2,5,4,3)	18.	(1,4,5,3,2)
7.	(1,3,2,4,5)	19.	(1,5,2,3,4)
8.	(1,3,2,5,4)	20.	(1,5,2,4,3)
9.	(1,3,4,2,5)	21.	(1,5,3,2,4)
10.	(1,3,4,5,2)	22.	(1,5,3,4,2)
11.	(1,3,5,2,4)	23.	(1,5,4,2,3)
12.	(1,3,5,4,2)	24.	(1,5,4,3,2)

The same stabilizer and at g_1 (so coincided). Now acting St_1 on a pair of functions (f_1, g_1) , we obtain the orbit of the first function $Or_{1,1}$, which consists of

$$k = \frac{|St_1|}{|St_1 \cap St'_1|} = \frac{24}{24} = 1 \text{ function.}$$

This orbit will include only one right function g_1 . Thus, we have such isomorphic pairs (f_1, g_1) : Now we map the pair (f_1, g_1) by permutation p_2 , i.e. $p_2(f_1, g_1)$. As a result, we obtain such isomorphic pairs of functions: (f_2, g_2) and an orbit $Or_{1,2} : g_2$. Further, p_3 on (f_1, g_1) we will obtain such isomorphic pairs of functions: (f_3, g_3) . Similarly p_4 on (f_1, g_1) , we obtain: (f_4, g_4) . Also p_5 on (f_1, g_1) obtain: (f_5, g_5) . Now combine the resulting pairs of functions, we obtain a class of 5 isomorphic pairs, orbit: $\{(f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2), (f_3, g_3), (f_4, g_4), (f_5, g_5)\}$. The same class of isomorphic pairs of functions can be obtained by choosing the right function g_1 and its stabilizer St'_1 and acting on the left function f_1 .

In order to find out the number of MBFs of the sixth rank

obtained from pairs of this class, we need to compute the index of the separating variables λ . Let's make a function of the 6th rank of a pair of functions of the 5th rank (f_1, g_1) , which has the type $(0,0,1,12,1,0,0)$:

$$f(6) = f_1(5)x_6 \vee g_1(5),$$

$$f(6) = x_1x_6 \vee x_2x_3x_6 \vee x_2x_4x_6 \vee x_2x_5x_6 \vee x_3x_4x_6 \vee x_3x_5x_6 \vee x_4x_5x_6 \vee x_1x_2x_3 \vee x_1x_2x_4 \vee x_1x_2x_5 \vee x_1x_3x_4 \vee x_1x_3x_5 \vee x_1x_4x_5 \vee x_2x_3x_4x_5$$

The same function can be written in another way, taking out x_1 for brackets: $f(6) = f_1(5)x_1 \vee g_1(5)$, where $f_1(5) = x_6 \vee x_2x_3 \vee x_2x_4 \vee x_2x_5 \vee x_3x_4 \vee x_3x_5 \vee x_4x_5$, i.e. in this case, the index of separating variables $\lambda = 2$. Therefore, the number of MBFs of the sixth rank obtained from pairs of this class is $\frac{k_1 m}{\lambda_1} = \frac{1 \cdot 5 \cdot 6}{2} = 15$.

Construct an orbit Or_2 . To do this, take a function that is not in $Or_{1,1}$:

$$g_2(5) = x_1x_2x_3 \vee x_1x_2x_4 \vee x_1x_2x_5 \vee x_2x_3x_4 \vee x_2x_3x_5 \vee x_2x_4x_5 \vee x_1x_3x_4x_5$$

The stabilizer of this MBF is

1.	(1,2,3,4,5)	13.	(4,2,1,3,5)
2.	(1,2,3,5,4)	14.	(4,2,1,5,3)
3.	(1,2,4,3,5)	15.	(4,2,3,1,5)
4.	(1,2,4,5,3)	16.	(4,2,3,5,1)
5.	(1,2,5,3,4)	17.	(4,2,5,1,3)
6.	(1,2,5,4,3)	18.	(4,2,5,3,1)
7.	(3,2,1,4,5)	19.	(5,2,1,3,4)
8.	(3,2,1,5,4)	20.	(5,2,1,4,3)
9.	(3,2,4,1,5)	21.	(5,2,3,1,4)
10.	(3,2,4,5,1)	22.	(5,2,3,4,1)
11.	(3,2,5,1,4)	23.	(5,2,4,1,3)
12.	(3,2,5,4,1)	24.	(5,2,4,3,1)

Now acting on a pair of functions (f_1, g_2) , we obtain the orbit of the first function $Or_{2,1}$, which consists of $k = \frac{|St_1|}{|St_1 \cap St'_1|} = \frac{24}{6} = 4$ functions. This orbit will include such right functions: g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5 . Thus, we have such isomorphic pairs: $(f_1, g_2), (f_1, g_3), (f_1, g_4), (f_1, g_5)$. Now we will display the same orbit $Or_{2,1}$ by permutation p_2 , i.e. $p_2 \{(f_1, g_2), (f_1, g_3), (f_1, g_4), (f_1, g_5)\}$. As a result, we obtain such isomorphic pairs of functions: $(f_2, g_1), (f_2, g_3), (f_2, g_4), (f_2, g_5)$ and an orbit $Or_{2,2} : g_1, g_3, g_4, g_5$. Further, p_3 on $Or_{2,1}$ we will

receive such isomorphic pairs of functions: $(f_3, g_1), (f_3, g_2), (f_3, g_4), (f_3, g_5)$. Similarly, p_4 on $Or_{2,1}$ we get: $(f_4, g_1), (f_4, g_2), (f_4, g_3), (f_4, g_5)$. Also p_5 on $Or_{2,1}$ to get: $(f_5, g_1), (f_5, g_2), (f_5, g_3), (f_5, g_4)$. Now combine the resulting pairs of functions, we obtain a class of 20 isomorphic pairs:

$$\{(f_1, g_2), (f_1, g_3), (f_1, g_4), (f_1, g_5), (f_3, g_1), (f_3, g_2), (f_3, g_4), (f_3, g_5), (f_4, g_1), (f_4, g_2), (f_4, g_3), (f_4, g_5), (f_5, g_1), (f_5, g_2), (f_5, g_3), (f_5, g_4)\}$$

In order to find out the number of MBFs of the sixth rank obtained from pairs of this class, we need to calculate the index of the separating variables λ . Let's make a function of the 6th rank of a pair of functions of the 5th rank (f_1, g_2) , which has the type $(0,0,1,12,1,0,0)$: $f(6) = f_1(5)x_6 \vee g_2(5)$,

$$f(6) = x_1x_6 \vee x_2x_3x_6 \vee x_2x_4x_6 \vee x_2x_5x_6 \vee x_3x_4x_6 \vee x_3x_5x_6 \vee x_4x_5x_6 \vee x_1x_2x_3 \vee x_1x_2x_4 \vee x_1x_2x_5 \vee x_2x_3x_4 \vee x_2x_3x_5 \vee x_2x_4x_5 \vee x_1x_3x_4x_5$$

This function cannot be written so to take out other variable out the brackets, except for x_6 , i.e. in this case, the index of separating variables $\lambda = 1$. Therefore, the number of MBF of the sixth rank, obtained from pairs of this class is $\frac{k_1 m}{\lambda_1} = \frac{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6}{1} = 120$.

Therefore, the total number functions of the 6th rank, having the type $(0,0,1,12,1,0,0)$ are $15 + 120 = 135$.

Theorem 4. The total number classes of functions nth rank obtained from the two classes $n-1$ of the rank L and R

$$\text{are } l = \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{t_j w_j}{a}$$

Proof. Denote the cardinality of the first stabilizer St_1 by $a = \frac{(n-1)!}{r}$. Let the stabilizer St_1 have v different cardinalities of possible intersections with stabilizers St'_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$. We denote the cardinalities of these intersections by w_1, \dots, w_v . Let the intersection w_j is carried out for t_j functions belonging to the class R . Then there are $\frac{t_j w_j}{a}$ orbits of cardinality $\frac{a}{w_j}$. According to previously proven $\frac{a}{w_j} = \frac{|St_1|}{|St_1 \cap St'_j|}$. Then $l = \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{t_j w_j}{a}$. The theorem is proved.

Consequence. The number of classes nth rank, which are comes from two classes n-1 rank, depend only on the intersection of the stabilizers of their functions. Either one stabilizer left MBF with all stabilizers right MBF, or vice versa, one stabilizer right MBF with all stabilizers left MBF.

Example 4. Find the number of classes of functions of the seventh rank. We take the left MBF of the 6th rank, having the type (0,2,3,1,0,0,0): $f_1(6) = x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3 x_4 \vee x_3 x_5 \vee x_3 x_6 \vee x_4 x_5 x_6$. From it 60 isomorphic MBFs are obtained. Its stabilizer St_1 consists of $a = \frac{6!}{60} = 12$ permutations: 1. (1,2,3,4,5,6) 2. (1,2,3,4,6,5) 3. (1,2,3,5,4,6) 4. (1,2,3,5,6,4) 5. (1,2,3,6,4,5) 6. (1,2,3,6,5,4) 7. (2,1,3,4,5,6) 8. (2,1,3,4,6,5) 9. (2,1,3,5,4,6) 10. (2,1,3,5,6,4) 11. (2,1,3,6,4,5) 12. (2,1,3,6,5,4)

The same cardinality in the other stabilizers St_2, \dots, St_{60} left MBF

Let's take right MBF 6th rank having permissible type (0,0,0,0,1,4,0). From it produces 15 MBF $g_2(6), \dots, g_{15}(6)$ using such permutations: $q_2 = (1,2,3,5,4,6)$, $q_3 = (1,2,3,6,4,5)$, $q_4 = (1,2,4,5,3,6)$, $q_5 = (5,1,2,3,4,6)$, $q_6 = (1,2,5,6,3,4)$, $q_7 = (1,3,4,5,2,6)$, $q_8 = (1,3,4,6,2,5)$, $q_9 = (1,3,5,6,2,4)$, $q_{10} = (1,4,5,6,2,3)$, $q_{11} = (2,3,4,5,1,6)$, $q_{12} = (2,3,4,6,1,5)$, $q_{13} = (2,3,5,6,1,4)$, $q_{14} = (2,4,5,6,1,3)$, $q_{15} = (3,4,5,6,1,2)$. Its stabilizer St'_1 consists

of $\frac{6!}{15} = 48$ permutations:

1.	(1,2,3,4,5,6)	25.	(3,1,2,4,5,6)
2.	(1,2,3,4,6,5)	26.	(3,1,2,4,6,5)
3.	(1,2,4,3,5,6)	27.	(3,1,4,2,5,6)
4.	(1,2,4,3,6,5)	28.	(3,1,4,2,6,5)
5.	(1,3,2,4,5,6)	29.	(3,2,1,4,5,6)
6.	(1,3,2,4,6,5)	30.	(3,2,1,4,6,5)
7.	(1,3,4,2,5,6)	31.	(3,2,4,1,5,6)
8.	(1,3,4,2,6,5)	32.	(3,2,4,1,6,5)
9.	(1,4,2,3,5,6)	33.	(3,4,1,2,5,6)
10.	(1,4,2,3,6,5)	34.	(3,4,1,2,6,5)
11.	(1,4,3,2,5,6)	35.	(3,4,2,1,5,6)
12.	(1,4,3,2,6,5)	36.	(3,4,2,1,6,5)
13.	(2,1,3,4,5,6)	37.	(4,1,2,3,5,6)
14.	(2,1,3,4,6,5)	38.	(4,1,2,3,6,5)
15.	(2,1,4,3,5,6)	39.	(4,1,3,2,5,6)
16.	(2,1,4,3,6,5)	40.	(4,1,3,2,6,5)
17.	(2,3,1,4,5,6)	41.	(4,2,1,3,5,6)
18.	(2,3,1,4,6,5)	42.	(4,2,1,3,6,5)
19.	(2,3,4,1,5,6)	43.	(4,2,3,1,5,6)
20.	(2,3,4,1,6,5)	44.	(4,2,3,1,6,5)
21.	(2,4,1,3,5,6)	45.	(4,3,1,2,5,6)
22.	(2,4,1,3,6,5)	46.	(4,3,1,2,6,5)
23.	(2,4,3,1,5,6)	47.	(4,3,2,1,5,6)
24.	(2,4,3,1,6,5)	48.	(4,3,2,1,6,5)

The same cardinality in the other St'_2, \dots, St'_{15} stabilizers of the right MBFs.

The cardinality of the various intersections St_1 with St'_1, \dots, St'_{15} have $v = 4$ the values: 2, 4, 6, 12.

The intersection of the stabilizer St_1 of the first left MBF with the stabilizer of the right function St'_7 : $w_1 = |St_1 \cap St'_7| = 2$, the cardinality of the orbit (see Theorem 4) $\frac{a}{w_1} = \frac{12}{2} = 6$. Total of such right functions $t_1 = 6$, $g_7(6), g_8(6), g_9(6), g_{11}(6), g_{12}(6), g_{13}(6)$.

Intersection St_1 with the stabilizer of the right function St'_1 : $w_2 = |St_1 \cap St'_1| = 4$. Here we have 2 orbits, the cardinality of each orbit $\frac{a}{w_2} = \frac{12}{4} = 3$. All such right functions $t_2 = 6$, one orbit $g_1(6), g_2(6), g_3(6)$: and another: $g_4(6), g_5(6), g_6(6)$.

Intersection St_1 with the stabilizer of the right function St'_{10} : $w_3 = |St_1 \cap St'_{10}| = 6$, orbit cardinality $\frac{a}{w_3} = \frac{12}{6} = 2$. Total of such right functions $t_3 = 2$, $g_{10}(6), g_{14}(6)$.

Intersection St_1 with the stabilizer of the right function St'_{15} : $w_4 = |St_1 \cap St'_{15}| = 12$, orbit cardinality $\frac{a}{w_4} = \frac{12}{12} = 1$. Total of such right functions $t_4 = 1$, $g_{15}(6)$.

Then total number of classes of functions of the seventh rank are $l = \sum_{j=1}^v \frac{t_j w_j}{a} = \frac{6 \cdot 2}{12} + \frac{6 \cdot 4}{12} + \frac{2 \cdot 6}{12} + \frac{1 \cdot 12}{12} = 5$.

Here in all classes the index of the separating variables $\lambda = 1$. Consequently, the number of MBF seventh rank in these classes (see Theorem 3) is 2520, 1260 (2 classes), 840, 420. And the total number of MBF seventh rank, having the type (0,0,2,3,2,4, 0,0) there are 6300.

The distribution of MBFs by classes of isomorphic functions is conveniently presented in the form of diagrams, where we indicate the number of isomorphic MBFs in each class of rank $n - 1$, the number of MBFs in class of rank $n - 1$, which consists of classes of rank $n - 1$. Further, in parentheses the index of separating variables in this class and in square brackets is the number of types for this scheme. Such schemes completely define the number of isomorphic and nonisomorphic MBFs in the type that belongs to this scheme, i.e. all types belonging to this scheme have the same number of isomorphic and nonisomorphic MBF.

Below are the schemes for 3 - 7 ranks. As can be seen from these schemes that, up to the fifth rank, of two classes of rank, only one class of rank $n - 1$ is always obtained and either class L or class R consists of only one MBF. This is a scheme of the first type. And starting from the sixth rank there are such types that from two classes of rank $n - 1$ consisting of more than one MBF it is possible to form more than one class of rank n .

Table 1. Types of classes MBF 3 rank

No. p/p	Cardinality of class	Number of classes	Number of MBF
1	1	4	4
2	3	1	3
In total		5	7

Schemes of recursive construction of MBF 3 rank

- 1) 1.1. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 1(3)$ [4];
- 2) 1.2. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 3(1)$ [1].

Table 2. Types of classes MBF 4 rank

No. p/p	Cardinality of class	Number of classes	Number of MBF
1	1	5	5
2	4	3	12
3	6	2	12
In total		10	29

Schemes of recursive construction of MBF 4 rank

- 1) 1.1. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 1(4)$ [5];
- 2) 1.2. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 4(1)$ [3];
- 3) 1.3. $3 \circ 1 \rightarrow 6(2)$ [2].

Table 3. Types of classes MBF 5 rank

No. p/p	Cardinality of class	Number of classes	Number of MBF
1	1	6	6
2	5	6	30
3	10	8	80
4	20	2	40
5	30	4	120
In total		26	276

Schemes of recursive construction of MBF 5 rank

- 1) 1.1. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 1(5)$ [6];
- 2) 1.2. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 5(1)$ [6];
- 3) 1.3. $4 \circ 1 \rightarrow 10(2)$ [6];
- 4) 1.4. $4 \circ 1 \rightarrow 20(1)$ [2];
- 5) 1.5. $6 \circ 1 \rightarrow 10(3)$ [2];
- 6) 1.6. $6 \circ 1 \rightarrow 30(1)$ [4];

Table 4. Types of classes MBF 6 rank

No. p/p	Cardinality of class	Number of classes	Number of MBF
1	1	7	7
2	6	10	60
3	15	15	225
4	20	6	120
5	30	8	240
6	60	28	1 680
7	90	4	360
8	120	9	1 080
9	180	18	3 240
10	360	4	1 440
In total		109	8 452

Table 5. Types of MBF partitions of maximum types into classes

No. p/p	Cardinality of part.	Num. of schemes	Number of types	Num. of class	Num. of MBF
1	1	11	87	87	4 717
2	2	2	5	10	1 335
3	3	1	4	12	2 400
In total		14	96	109	8 452

Schemes of recursive construction of MBF 6 rank

- 1) 1.1. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 1(6)$ [7];
- 2) 1.2. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 6(1)$ [10];
- 3) 1.3. $10 \circ 1 \rightarrow 15(4)$ [2];
- 4) 1.4. $5 \circ 1 \rightarrow 15(2)$ [12];
- 5) 1.5. $10 \circ 1 \rightarrow 20(3)$ [6];
- 6) 1.6. $5 \circ 1 \rightarrow 30(1)$ [8];
- 7) 1.7. $20 \circ 1 \rightarrow 60(1)$ [2];
- 8) 1.8. $10 \circ 1 \rightarrow 60(1)$ [22];
- 9) 1.9. $30 \circ 1 \rightarrow 90(2)$ [4];
- 10) 1.10. $20 \circ 1 \rightarrow 120(1)$ [4];
- 11) 1.11. $30 \circ 1 \rightarrow 180(1)$ [10];
- 12) 2.1. $10 \circ 5 \rightarrow 120(1)+180(1)$ [4];
- 13) 2.2. $5 \circ 5 \rightarrow 15(2)+120(1)$ [1];
- 14) 3.1. $10 \circ 10 \rightarrow 60(1)+180(1)+360(1)$ [4].

Table 6. Types of classes MBF 7 rank

No. p/p	Cardinality of class	Number of classes	Number of MBF
1	1	8	8
2	7	15	105
3	21	26	546
4	35	18	630
5	42	21	882
6	105	78	8 190
7	140	34	4 760
8	210	79	16 590
9	420	222	93 240
10	630	64	40 320
11	840	96	80 640
12	1 260	290	365 400
13	2 520	172	433 440
14	5 040	28	141 120
In total		1 151	1 185 871

Table 7. Types of MBF partitions of maximum types into classes

No. p/p	Cardinality of part.	Num. of schemes	Num. of types	Num. of classes	Num. of MBF
1	1	20	349	349	114 185
2	2	7	53	106	58 086
3	3	7	68	204	177 240
4	4	4	17	68	80 360
5	5	1	24	120	151 200
6	6	2	20	120	176 400
7	7	1	4	28	50 400
8	8	1	12	96	226 800
9	10	1	6	60	151 200
In total		44	553	1 151	1 185 871

Schemes of recursive construction of MBF 7 rank

- 1) 1.1. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 1(7)$ [8];
- 2) 1.2. $1 \circ 1 \rightarrow 7(1)$ [15];
- 3) 1.3. $15 \circ 1 \rightarrow 21(5)$ [2];
- 4) 1.4. $6 \circ 1 \rightarrow 21(2)$ [20];
- 5) 1.5. $20 \circ 1 \rightarrow 35(4)$ [6];
- 6) 1.6. $15 \circ 1 \rightarrow 35(3)$ [12];
- 7) 1.7. $6 \circ 1 \rightarrow 42(1)$ [20];
- 8) 1.8. $30 \circ 1 \rightarrow 105(2)$ [8];
- 9) 1.9. $15 \circ 1 \rightarrow 105(1)$ [48];
- 10) 1.10. $60 \circ 1 \rightarrow 140(3)$ [2];
- 11) 1.11. $20 \circ 1 \rightarrow 140(1)$ [22];
- 12) 1.12. $90 \circ 1 \rightarrow 210(3)$ [4];
- 13) 1.13. $60 \circ 1 \rightarrow 210(2)$ [22];
- 14) 1.14. $30 \circ 1 \rightarrow 210(1)$ [20];
- 15) 1.15. $120 \circ 1 \rightarrow 420(2)$ [4];
- 16) 1.16. $60 \circ 1 \rightarrow 420(1)$ [72];
- 17) 1.17. $180 \circ 1 \rightarrow 630(2)$ [10];
- 18) 1.18. $90 \circ 1 \rightarrow 630(1)$ [14];
- 19) 1.19. $120 \circ 1 \rightarrow 840(1)$ [10];
- 20) 1.20. $180 \circ 1 \rightarrow 1\ 260(1)$ [30];
- 21) 2.1. $6 \circ 6 \rightarrow 21(2)+210(1) = 231$ [4];
- 22) 2.2. $6 \circ 6 \rightarrow 42(1)+210(1)$ [1];
- 23) 2.3. $15 \circ 6 \rightarrow 105(2)+420(1) = 525$ [2];
- 24) 2.4. $15 \circ 6 \rightarrow 210(1)+420(1)$ [16];
- 25) 2.5. $20 \circ 6 \rightarrow 420(1)+420(1) = 840$ [10];
- 26) 2.6. $15 \circ 1+120 \circ 1 \rightarrow 105(1)+840(1) = 945$ [4];
- 27) 2.7. $120 \circ 1+180 \circ 1 \rightarrow 840(1)+1\ 260(1) = 2\ 100$ [16];
- 28) 3.1. $30 \circ 6 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 210(1)+840(1) = 1\ 260$ [2];
- 29) 3.2. $15 \circ 15 \rightarrow 105(1)+630(1)+840(1) = 1\ 575$ [16];
- 30) 3.3. $20 \circ 15 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 420(1)+1\ 260(1)$ [18];
- 31) 3.4. $60 \circ 6 \rightarrow 210(2)+840(1)+1\ 260(1) = 2\ 310$ [4];
- 32) 3.5. $60 \circ 6 \rightarrow 420(1)+840(1)+1\ 260(1)$ [8];
- 33) 3.6. $90 \circ 6 \rightarrow 3 \cdot 1\ 260(1) = 3\ 780$ [4];
- 34) 3.7. $60 \circ 1+180 \circ 1+360 \circ 1 \rightarrow 420(1)+1\ 260(1)+2\ 520(1) = 4\ 200$;
- 35) 4.1. $20 \circ 20 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 140(1)+2 \cdot 1\ 260(1) = 2\ 800$ [5];
- 36) 4.2. $30 \circ 15 \rightarrow 210(1)+2 \cdot 840(1)+1\ 260(1) = 3\ 150$ [4];
- 37) 4.3. $30 \circ 20 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 840(1)+2 \cdot 1\ 260(1) = 4\ 200$ [2];
- 38) 4.4. $180 \circ 6 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 1\ 260(1)+2 \cdot 2\ 520(1) = 7\ 560$ [6];
- 39) 5.1. $60 \circ 15 \rightarrow 420(1)+840(1)+2 \cdot 1\ 260(1)+2\ 520(1) = 6\ 300$ [24];
- 40) 6.1. $60 \circ 20 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 420(1)+2 \cdot 1\ 260(1)+2 \cdot 2\ 520(1) = 8\ 400$ [12];
- 41) 6.2. $90 \circ 15 \rightarrow 3 \cdot 630(1)+3 \cdot 2\ 520(1) = 9\ 450$ [8];
- 42) 7.1. $90 \circ 20 \rightarrow 6 \cdot 1\ 260(1)+5\ 040(1) = 12\ 600$ [4];
- 43) 8.1. $180 \circ 15 \rightarrow 3 \cdot 1\ 260(1)+4 \cdot 2\ 520(1)+5\ 040(1) = 18\ 900$ [12];
- 44) 10.1. $180 \circ 20 \rightarrow 4 \cdot 1\ 260(1)+4 \cdot 2\ 520(1)+2 \cdot 5\ 040(1) = 25\ 200$ [6].

3. Conclusions

This paper presents a method for partitioning MBF

maximal types into equivalence classes based on MBF of the previous rank. According to the results of this paper, a program was written that finds the number of MBFs of the maximum type up to the eighth rank. For lack of space, the tables and the MBF scheme of the eighth rank and the program will be described in an article devoted to the description of MBF of maximal types of rank 8.

In comparison with the work of [4], where non-equivalent MBFs are calculated directly using profiles, which takes considerable time. Therefore, [4] did not provide calculations for rank 8. And our method uses a different approach - recurrent computation, using MBF types of the previous rank and breaking into equivalence classes, which allows us to obtain a calculation for each type in a split second. This article only considers the maximum types of MBF. For non-maximal types – the subject of further research.

We present the data of the running program for one type (0,0,3,4,0,3,0,0):

Max. type 7 rank #341. (0, 0, 3, 4, 0, 3, 0, 0) is the shift-sum of the maximum types 93. (0, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0) [20 in 1cl] and 8. (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0) [20 in 1cl] of rank 6. Weight and cardinality of the selected type is 3 and 10. Left and right borders of the selected type are 2 and 5. This type has 4 equivalence classes of MBFs, which include 2800 MBF. The number of MBFs in each of the equiv. classes equals: 140, 1260, 1260, 140 Ind.part. equal to: 1,1,1,1

Non-isomorphic MBFs from every class that have this type:

$$\begin{aligned}
 140: & x_1x_7 \vee x_2x_7 \vee x_3x_7 \vee x_4x_5x_7 \vee x_4x_6x_7 \vee x_5x_6x_7 \vee \\
 & \vee x_1x_2x_3 \vee x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6 \vee x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6 \vee x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \\
 1260: & x_1x_7 \vee x_2x_7 \vee x_3x_7 \vee x_4x_5x_7 \vee x_4x_6x_7 \vee x_5x_6x_7 \vee \\
 & \vee x_1x_2x_4 \vee x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6 \vee x_1x_3x_4x_5x_6 \vee x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \\
 1260: & x_1x_7 \vee x_2x_7 \vee x_3x_7 \vee x_4x_5x_7 \vee x_4x_6x_7 \vee x_5x_6x_7 \vee \\
 & \vee x_3x_4x_5 \vee x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6 \vee x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6 \vee x_1x_2x_4x_5x_6 \\
 140: & x_1x_7 \vee x_2x_7 \vee x_3x_7 \vee x_4x_5x_7 \vee x_4x_6x_7 \vee x_5x_6x_7 \vee \\
 & \vee x_4x_5x_6 \vee x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \vee x_1x_2x_3x_4x_6 \vee x_1x_2x_3x_5x_6
 \end{aligned}$$

Max. types of MBF rank 7 with 4 classes of isomorphic MBFs (17 types): (0,0,0,7,15,1,0,0), (0,0,0,12,4,3,0,0), (0,0,1,2,15,1,0,0), (0,0,1,4,5,4,0,0), (0,0,1,4,7,3,0,0), (0,0,1,4,10,2,0,0), (0,0,1,5,12,1,0,0), (0,0,1,12,5,1,0,0), (0,0,1,15,2,1,0,0), (0,0,1,15,7,0,0,0), (0,0,2,10,4,1,0,0), (0,0,3,0,4,3,0,0), (0,0,3,3,3,3,0,0), (0,0,3,4,0,3,0,0), (0,0,3,4,12,0,0,0), (0,0,3,7,4,1,0,0), (0,0,4,5,4,1,0,0).

Schemes of MBF rank 7 with 4 classes of isomorphic MBFs

$$4.1. 20 \circ 20 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 140(1)+2 \cdot 1\ 260(1) = 2\ 800\ 2\ 800 = 2 \cdot 140+2 \cdot 1\ 260 = 2 \cdot 20 \cdot 7 \cdot 1+2 \cdot 20 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \text{ MBFs}$$

Ind.separ.var. equal to 1,...,1(4). Cardinality of the stabilizer $7! / 20 = 5\ 040 / 20 = 252\ 5$ types

$$4.2. 30 \circ 15 \rightarrow 210(1)+2 \cdot 840(1)+1\ 260(1) = 3\ 150\ 3\ 150 = 210+2 \cdot 840+1\ 260 = 30 \cdot 7 \cdot 1+2 \cdot 30 \cdot 7 \cdot 4+30 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \text{ MBFs}$$

Ind.separ.var. equal to $1, \dots, 1(4)$. Cardinality of the stabilizer $7! / 30 = 5\,040 / 30 = 168\,4$ types

4.3. $30 \circ 20 \rightarrow 2 * 840(1) + 2 * 1\,260(1) = 4\,200\,4\,200 = 2 * 840 + 2 * 1\,260 = 2 * 30 * 7 * 4 + 2 * 30 * 7 * 6$ MBFs

Ind.separ.var. equal to $1, \dots, 1(4)$. Cardinality of the stabilizer $7! / 30 = 5\,040 / 30 = 168\,2$ types

4.4. $180 \circ 6 \rightarrow 2 * 1\,260(1) + 2 * 2\,520(1) = 7\,560\,7\,560 = 2 * 1\,260 + 2 * 2\,520 = 2 * 180 * 7 * 1 + 2 * 180 * 7 * 2$ MBFs

Ind.separ.var. equal to $1, \dots, 1(4)$. Cardinality of the stabilizer $7! / 180 = 5\,040 / 180 = 28\,6$ types

REFERENCES

- [1] Dedekind R. *Über Zerlegungen von Zahlen durch ihre grössten gemeinsamen Teiler* // Festschrift Hoch. Braunschweig u. ges. Werke. II. — 1897. — S. 103–148.
- [2] N. J. A. Sloane, *The online encyclopedia of integer sequences*, <http://oeis.org>, 2011.
- [3] K. Engel, *Sperner theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [4] arXiv:1209.4623v1 [cs.DS] 20 Sep 2012
- [5] Ткаченко В.Г. Классификация монотонных булевых функций при синтезе цифровых схем / В.Г. Ткаченко // Наукові праці ОНАЗ ім. О.С. Попова. — 2008. — № 1. — С. 35 – 43.
- [6] Ткаченко В.Г. Перечисление типов монотонных булевых функций при синтезе цифровых схем / В.Г. Ткаченко // Наукові праці ОНАЗ ім. О.С. Попова. — 2008. — № 2. — С. 54 – 69.
- [7] Tkachenko V.G., Sinyavsky O.V. Algebraic Objects of MBFs and Recursive Computation of the Dedekind Number, *Computer Science and Information Technology* Vol. 5(4), pp. 140 – 147 DOI: 10.13189/csit.2017.050404
- [8] Tkachenko V. G. Sinyavsky O. V. (2018). Maximum MBF Types. *Computer Science and Information Technology*, 6, 47 – 53. DOI: 10.13189/csit.2018.060401.