

# Bilinear Multipliers of Weighted Lorentz Spaces and Variable Exponent Lorentz Spaces

Öznur Kulak<sup>1,\*</sup>, A. Turan Gürkanlı<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Banking and Finance, Gorele Applied Sciences Academy, Giresun University, Gorele, Giresun, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Science and Letters, Istanbul Arel University, Tepekent, Istanbul, Turkey

Copyright ©2017 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

**Abstract** Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be slowly increasing functions and let  $\omega_3$  be weight function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In section 2 we define a bilinear multiplier from  $L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by a bounded operator  $B_m$ ,

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) m(\xi, \eta) e^{2\pi i(\xi + \eta, x)} d\xi d\eta, \quad f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where  $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3 < \infty$  and  $m(\xi, \eta)$  is a bounded, measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote the space of bilinear multipliers of this type by  $BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ , and study of the basic properties of this space. We give methods of construction examples of bilinear multipliers. Similarly in section 3, by using variable exponent Lorentz space, we define the bilinear multipliers from  $L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x))$  to  $L(p_3(x), q_3(x))$  and discuss basic properties of the space of bilinear multipliers

$$BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x))).$$

**Keywords** Bilinear Multipliers, Weighted Lorentz Space, Variable Exponent Lorentz Space

**AMS Classification** 42A45, 42B15, 42B35.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we will work on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lebesgue measure  $dx$ . We denote by  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the space of all continuous, complex-valued functions with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the Schwartz class of functions, respectively. Let  $f$  be a complex valued measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The translation and character operators  $T_x$  and  $M_x$  are defined by  $T_x f(y) = f(y - x)$  and  $M_x f(y) = e^{2\pi i(x, y)} f(y)$  respectively for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the usual Lebesgue space. In this work we will use the Beurling weight functions, i.e., real valued, measurable and locally bounded functions  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which satisfy  $\omega(x) \geq 1$  and  $\omega(x + y) \leq \omega(x)\omega(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that  $\omega_2 \preceq \omega_1$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\omega_2(x) \leq C\omega_1(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , define

$$L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f : f\omega \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

It is known that  $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{p, \omega} = \|f\omega\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad [5]$$

or

$$\|f\|_{\infty, \omega} = \|f\omega\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)\omega(x)|, \quad p = \infty,$$

The dual of the space  $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space  $L_{\omega^{-1}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $\omega^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{\omega(x)}$ . Let  $f$  be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If there exists  $C > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^2)^N$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $f$  said to be slowly increasing function, [6]. For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Fourier transform of  $f$  is denoted by  $\hat{f}$ . We know that  $\hat{f}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which vanishes at infinity and it has the inequality  $\|\hat{f}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$ . We denote by  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of bounded regular Borel measures,  $M(\omega)$  the space of  $\mu$  in  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|\mu\|_\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega d|\mu| < \infty.$$

If  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\mu$  is denoted by  $\hat{\mu}$ .

The distribution function of  $f$  is defined by

$$\lambda_f(y) = \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y\}) = \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y\}} \omega(x) dx.$$

The rearrangement function of  $f$  is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{y > 0 : \lambda_f(y) \leq t\} = \sup\{y > 0 : \lambda_f(y) > t\}, t \geq 0.$$

Also, the average function of  $f$  is given by

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds.$$

The weighted Lorentz space  $L(p, q, \omega d\mu)$  is defined as the set of all (equivalence classes) measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|f\|_{pq, \omega}^* < \infty$  where

$$\|f\|_{pq, \omega}^* = \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \text{if } 0 < p, q < \infty$$

$$\|f\|_{pq, \omega}^* = \sup_{t>0} f^*(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{if } 0 < p < q = \infty.$$

This space normed vector space with the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{pq, \omega} = \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^{**}(t))^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \text{if } 0 < p, q < \infty$$

$$\|f\|_{pq, \omega} = \sup_{t>0} f^{**}(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text{if } 0 < p < q = \infty, [2], [3], [8], [9].$$

Let  $0 < l \leq \infty$  and let  $\mu$  be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We put

$$p_- = \inf_{x \in [0, l]} p(x), \quad p^+ = \sup_{x \in [0, l]} p(x).$$

In this paper, we shall also use the notation

$$P_a = \{p : a < p_- \leq p^+ < \infty\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The set  $\wp[0, l]$  is the family of  $p \in L^\infty([0, l])$  such that there exist the limits  $p(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} p(x)$ ,  $p(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} p(x)$  and the conditions

$$|p(x) - p(0)| \leq \frac{C}{\ln \frac{1}{|x|}}, \quad |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (C > 0)$$

and

$$|p(x) - p(\infty)| \leq \frac{C}{\ln(e + |x|)}, \quad (C > 0) \quad (1.1)$$

are satisfied. The conditions at infinity being only needed in the case  $l = \infty$ . We also denote  $\wp_a([0, l]) = \wp([0, l]) \cap P_a([0, l])$ , [4].

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open subset. In the sequel we denote by  $l = \mu(\Omega)$ . Assume that  $p, q \in P_0([0, l])$ . The variable exponent Lorentz spaces  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\Omega)$  is defined as the space of all (equivalence classes) measurable functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\rho_{p, q}(f) < \infty$ , where

$$\rho_{p,q}(f) = \int_0^l t^{\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1} (f^*(t))^{q(t)} dt. \tag{1.2}$$

We use the notation

$$\|f\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))(\Omega)}^1 = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{p,q}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}, [4].$$

Let  $p \in \wp_0([0, l])$  and  $q \in \wp_1([0, l])$ . If  $l = \infty$ , then the equality (1.2) is equivalent to the following sum

$$\int_0^1 t^{\frac{q(0)}{p(0)}-1} (f^*(t))^{q(t)} dt + \int_1^\infty t^{\frac{q(\infty)}{p(\infty)}-1} (f^*(t))^{q(t)} dt.$$

If  $l < \infty$ , then the equality (1.2) is equivalent to the integral

$$\int_0^l t^{\frac{q(0)}{p(0)}-1} (f^*(t))^{q(t)} dt, [4].$$

The space  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\Omega)$  is normed vector space with norm

$$\|f\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{p,q}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

where

$$\rho_{p,q}(f) = \int_0^l t^{\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1} (f^{**}(t))^{q(t)} dt.$$

It is known that

$$\|f\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))(\Omega)}^1, [4].$$

Bilinear multipliers for Lebesgue spaces are defined and discussed by Blasco in [1]. In [10] and [11], Kulak and Gürkanlı define bilinear multipliers for weighted Lebesgue spaces, variable Lebesgue spaces, weighted Wiener amalgam spaces and variable exponent Wiener amalgam spaces. They investigate some properties of the spaces of bilinear multipliers and give some examples of these bilinear multipliers. In [7], Gürkanlı, Kulak and Sandıkçı defined and characterized the bilinear multipliers of weighted Lorentz type modulation space.

In this paper, we define the bilinear multipliers for weighted Lorentz spaces and variable exponent Lorentz spaces. We study properties of the spaces of bilinear multipliers and give examples of these bilinear multipliers.

Throughout this work we need the following Lemmas, Propositions and Theorems.

**1.1 Proposition (Proposition 2.1 in [3])**

If  $f_s$  is defined by  $f_s(x) = f(x - s)$  for some  $s \in G$ , then we have

- i)  $\lambda_{f_s}(u) \leq \omega(s) \lambda_f(u)$  for all  $u > 0$ .
- ii)  $f_s^*(t) \leq f^*\left(\frac{t}{\omega(s)}\right)$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $f_s^{**}(t) \leq f^{**}\left(\frac{t}{\omega(s)}\right)$  for all  $t > 0$ .
- iii) If  $0 < p, q < \infty$  or  $0 < p < q = \infty$  then we have

$$\|f_s\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \leq [\omega(s)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^*, \quad \|f_s\|_{p,q,\omega} \leq [\omega(s)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}$$

and

$$\|f_s\|_{p,\infty,\omega}^* \leq [\omega(s)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,\infty,\omega}^*, \quad \|f_s\|_{p,\infty,\omega} \leq [\omega(s)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{p,\infty,\omega}.$$

**1.2 Proposition (Proposition 2.5 in [3])**

i) Let  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  be two weight functions on a locally compact Abelian group  $G$ . Then

$$L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(G) \subset L(p, q, \omega' d\mu)(G), 0 < p, q < \infty$$

if and only if there is some constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{p,q,\omega'} \leq c \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}$  for all  $f \in L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(G)$ .

ii) If  $0 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ , then

$$L(p, q_1, \omega d\mu)(G) \subset L(p, q_2, \omega d\mu)(G).$$

**1.3 Proposition (Proposition 2.10 in [3])**

Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be two weight functions on a locally compact Abelian group  $G$  and  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ . Then

$$L(p, q, \omega_1^p d\mu)(G) \subset L(p, q, \omega_2^p d\mu)(G)$$

if and only if  $\omega_2 \leq \omega_1$ .

**1.4 Lemma (Lemma 1.4 in [2])**

Simple functions are dense in  $L(p, q)$ ,  $q \neq \infty$ .

**1.5 Lemma (Lemma 2.1 in [10])**

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $\omega$  be a slowly increasing weight function. Then  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**1.6 Theorem (Theorem 2.8 in [4])**

Let  $p, q \in \wp_1([0, l])$  and  $p(0) > 1$ ,  $p(\infty) > 1$ . The space  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\Omega)$  is a Banach function space.

**2 The Bilinear Multipliers Space of Weighted Lorentz Space****2.1 Lemma**

Let  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$  and  $\omega$  be a slowly increasing weight function. Then  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in weighted Lorentz space  $L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $p \leq q$ . Then by 1.3 Proposition, we write  $L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L(p, p, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of integrable simple functions. Since  $\mathcal{L} \subset L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is dense in  $L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by 1.4 Lemma, then  $L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also Since  $\omega$  is slowly increasing then  $\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is slowly increasing. Thus by 1.5 Lemma,

$$(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now take any  $f \in L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g \in L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f - g\|_{pq, \omega} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, by 1.2 Proposition, the inclusion  $L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  implies

$$\|\cdot\|_{pq, \omega} \leq C \|\cdot\|_{p, \omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}. \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Since  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , there exists  $h \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|g - h\|_{p, \omega^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2C}. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence by (2.2)

$$\|g - h\|_{pq, \omega} \leq C \|g - h\|_{p, \omega^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - h\|_{pq, \omega} &\leq \|f - g\|_{pq, \omega} + \|g - h\|_{pq, \omega} \\ &\leq \|f - g\|_{pq, \omega} + C \|g - h\|_{p, \omega^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + C \frac{\varepsilon}{2C} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that  $q < p$ . Take any  $f \in L_{\omega^{\frac{1}{q}}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since  $f^*$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , we find

$$\|f\|_{pq, \omega}^q \leq \frac{q}{p} \left\{ \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f^*(t)| \right)^q dt + \int_1^\infty |f^*(t)|^q dt \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{q}{p} \left\{ \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f^*(t)| \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)|^q dt \right\} \\
 &\leq \left( \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f^*(t)| \right)^q + \frac{q}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)|^q \omega(t) dt < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $f \in L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus  $L^q_{\omega^{\frac{1}{q}}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p, q, \omega d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By using similiar technique, the proof is completed.

**2.2 Definition**

Let  $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3 < \infty$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  be weight functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  are slowly increasing functions and  $m(\xi, \eta)$  is a bounded, measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) m(\xi, \eta) e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + \eta, x \rangle} d\xi d\eta$$

for all  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

$m$  is said to be a bilinear multiplier from  $L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} \leq C \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}$$

for all  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . That means  $B_m$  extends to a bounded bilinear operator from  $L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$

We denote by  $BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  the space of all bilinear multipliers of this type and define

$$\|m\|_{BM} = \|B_m\|.$$

As an example we give the following theorem.

**2.3 Theorem**

Let  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3}, \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_3}, \omega_3 \preceq \omega_1, \omega_3 \preceq \omega_2$  and  $\omega_3$  is symmetric. If  $K \in L^1_{\omega_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $m(\xi, \eta) = \hat{K}(\xi - \eta)$  defines a bilinear multiplier and

$$\|m\|_{BM} \leq C \|K\|_{1, \omega_3}$$

for some  $C > 0$ .

*Proof.* We know by proof of Theorem 2.1 in [10] that for  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y) g(x + y) K(y) dy. \tag{2.4}$$

Also by 1.1 Proposition,  $T_y f \in L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $T_{-y} g \in L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Applying the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|B_m(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x - y) g(x + y) K(y)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} dy \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x - y)\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g(x + y)\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} |K(y)| dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Again by 1.1 Proposition, we write

$$\|f(x - y)\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq \omega_1(y)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\|g(x + y)\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq \omega_2(-y)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}. \tag{2.7}$$

If we use the formulas (2.6), (2.7) and assumptions  $\omega_3 \preceq \omega_1, \omega_3 \preceq \omega_2$ , there exist  $C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3} \leq C_2 \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}. \quad (2.9)$$

So by (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x-y)\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \|g(x+y)\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3} |K(y)| dy \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(y)| \omega_3(y) dy \\ &= C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \|K\|_{1, \omega_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Then  $m(\xi, \eta) = \hat{K}(\xi - \eta)$  defines a bilinear multiplier and from (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|m\|_{BM} &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_m(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3}}{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \|K\|_{1, \omega_3}}{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &\leq C \|K\|_{1, \omega_3} \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = C_1 C_2$ .

## 2.4 Definition

Let  $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3 < \infty$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  be weight functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that the functions  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  are slowly increasing. We denote by  $BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  the space of measurable functions  $M: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $m(\xi, \eta) = M(\xi - \eta) \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ , that is to say

$$B_M(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) M(\xi - \eta) e^{2\pi i(\xi + \eta, x)} d\xi d\eta$$

extends to bounded bilinear map from  $L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We denote by

$$\|M\|_{BM} = \|B_M\|.$$

## 2.5 Theorem

Let  $\frac{1}{p_3} + \frac{1}{p'_3} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{q_3} + \frac{1}{q'_3} = 1$  and  $\omega_3$  symmetric, slowly increasing weight function. Then

$m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  if and only if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{h}(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \leq C \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \|h\|_{p'_3 q'_3, \omega_3}$$

for all  $f, g, h \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ . By proof of Theorem 2.2 in [10], we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{h}(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(y) \tilde{B}_m(f, g)(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(y)| \left| \tilde{B}_m(f, g)(y) \right| dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $f, g, h \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\tilde{B}_m(f, g)(y) = B_m(f, g)(-y)$ . On the other hand by the definition of distribution function of  $\tilde{B}_m(f, g)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\tilde{B}_m(f, g)}(y) &= \omega_3(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |B_m(f, g)(-x)| > y\}) \\ &= \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |B_m(f, g)(-x)| > y\}} \omega_3(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $-x = u$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\tilde{B}_m(f,g)}(y) &= \omega_3(\{-u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |B_m(f,g)(u)| > y\}) \\ &= \omega_3(-\{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |B_m(f,g)(u)| > y\}) \\ &= \omega_3(\{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |B_m(f,g)(u)| > y\}) = \lambda_{B_m(f,g)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $(\tilde{B}_m(f,g))^{**} = (B_m(f,g))^{**}$ . This implies

$$\|\tilde{B}_m(f,g)\|_{p_3q_3,\omega_3} = \|B_m(f,g)\|_{p_3q_3,\omega_3}. \tag{2.12}$$

Since  $B_m(f,g) \in L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , by (2.12) we have  $\tilde{B}_m(f,g) \in L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If we use the Hölder inequality, the assumption  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and the inequality (2.11), there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{h}(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| &\leq \|\tilde{B}_m(f,g)\|_{p_3q_3,\omega_3} \|h\|_{p'_3q'_3,\omega_3} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{p_1q_1,\omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2q_2,\omega_2} \|h\|_{p'_3q'_3,\omega_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely assume that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{h}(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \leq C \|f\|_{p_1q_1,\omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2q_2,\omega_2} \|h\|_{p'_3q'_3,\omega_3}. \tag{2.13}$$

for all  $f, g, h \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By (2.11) and (2.13),

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(y) \tilde{B}_m(f,g)(y) dy \right| \leq C \|f\|_{p_1q_1,\omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2q_2,\omega_2} \|h\|_{p'_3q'_3,\omega_3}. \tag{2.14}$$

It is easy to see the inclusion  $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p'_3, q'_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define a function  $\ell$  from  $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p'_3, q'_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  by

$$\ell(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(y) \tilde{B}_m(f,g)(y) dy.$$

From (2.14),  $\ell$  is linear and bounded. Also by 2.1 Lemma,  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L(p'_3, q'_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus  $\ell$  extends to a bounded function from  $L(p'_3, q'_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\ell \in (L(p'_3, q'_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n))' = L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu)(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , [12]. Thus by using (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f,g)\|_{p_3q_3,\omega_3} &= \|\tilde{B}_m(f,g)\|_{p_3q_3,\omega_3} \\ &= \|\ell\| = \sup_{\|h\|_{p'_3q'_3,\omega_3} \leq 1} \frac{|\ell(h)|}{\|h\|_{p'_3q'_3,\omega_3}} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{p_1q_1,\omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2q_2,\omega_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes proof.

The following Theorem is a generalization of the 2.3 Theorem.

### 2.6 Theorem

Let  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{q_3}$ ,  $\omega_3 \leq \omega_1, \omega_3 \leq \omega_2$ . Assume that there exists  $C_\alpha > 0$  such that  $\omega_3(\alpha t) \leq C_\alpha \omega_3(t)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mu \in M(\omega_3)$  and  $m(\xi, \eta) = \hat{\mu}(\alpha\xi + \beta\eta)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ . Moreover,

$$\|m\|_{BM} \leq C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|\mu\|_{\omega_3}.$$

*Proof.* It is known by proof of Proposition 2.2 in [1] and proof of Theorem 2.3 in [10] that for  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$B_m(f,g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - \alpha y) g(x - \beta y) d\mu(y). \tag{2.15}$$

By [3], we have the inequalities

$$\|T_{\alpha y} f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \leq \omega_3 (\alpha y)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\|T_{\beta y} g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3} \leq \omega_3 (\beta y)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3}. \quad (2.17)$$

If we use the inequalities (2.15), (2.16), (2.17) and apply the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - \alpha y) g(x - \beta y) d\mu(y) \right\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x - \alpha y) g(x - \beta y)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} d|\mu|(y) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_3 (\alpha y)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \omega_3 (\beta y)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3} d|\mu|(y) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \omega_3(y)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \omega_3(y)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3} d|\mu|(y) \\ &= C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_3^{\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}}(y) d|\mu|(y) \\ &= C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_3^{\frac{1}{p_3}}(y) d|\mu|(y) \\ &\leq C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_3(y) d|\mu|(y) \\ &= C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \|\mu\|_{\omega_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.18),  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|m\|_{BM} &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_m(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3}}{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &\leq C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p_1}} C_\beta^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|\mu\|_{\omega_3}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.7 Theorem

Let  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ .

**a)**  $T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  for each  $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and we have

$$\|T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{BM} = \|m\|_{BM}.$$

**b)**  $M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  for each  $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and we have

$$\|M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{BM} \leq \omega_1(-\xi_0) \omega_2(-\eta_0) \|m\|_{BM}.$$

*Proof.* **a)** Let  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is known by proof of Theorem 2.4 in [10] that,

$$B_{T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)}}(f, g)(x) = e^{2\pi i \langle \xi_0 + \eta_0, x \rangle} B_m(M_{-\xi_0} f, M_{-\eta_0} g)(x). \quad (2.19)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{M_{-\delta_0} f}(y) &= \omega_3 \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left| e^{2\pi i \langle -\delta_0, x \rangle} f(x) \right| > y \right\} \right) \\ &= \omega_3(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y\}) = \lambda_f(y) \end{aligned}$$

then  $(M_{-\xi_0} f)^{**} = f^{**}$ . Thus, we have  $\|M_{-\xi_0} f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} = \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1}$  and  $\|M_{-\eta_0} g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} = \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}$ . If we use the equality (2.19) and the assumption  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &= \left\| e^{2\pi i \langle \xi_0 + \eta_0, x \rangle} B_m(M_{-\xi_0} f, M_{-\eta_0} g) \right\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} \\ &= \|B_m(M_{-\xi_0} f, M_{-\eta_0} g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} \leq C \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Then  $T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ . Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{BM} &= \|B_{T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}\| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_{T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3}}{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &= \sup_{\|M_{-\xi_0} f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|M_{-\eta_0} g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_{T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(M_{-\xi_0} f, M_{-\eta_0} g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3}}{\|M_{-\xi_0} f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|M_{-\eta_0} g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &= \|B_m\| = \|m\|_{BM}. \end{aligned}$$

**b)** Let  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We know by proof of Theorem 2.4 in [10] that

$$B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, g)(x) = B_m(T_{-\xi_0} f, T_{-\eta_0} g)(x). \tag{2.20}$$

Also by [3],

$$\|T_{-\xi_0} f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \leq \omega_3 (-\xi_0)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_{-\eta_0} g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3} \leq \omega_3 (-\eta_0)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_3}.$$

Since  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ , by (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &= \|B_m(T_{-\xi_0} f, T_{-\eta_0} g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} \\ &\leq \|B_m\| \|T_{-\xi_0} f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|T_{-\eta_0} g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \\ &\leq \omega_3 (-\xi_0) \omega_3 (-\eta_0) \|B_m\| \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Then  $T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and by (2.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{BM} &= \|B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}\| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{\|B_{M_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3}}{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \leq 1, \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \leq 1} \frac{\omega_3 (-\xi_0) \omega_3 (-\eta_0) \|B_m\| \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}}{\|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2}} \\ &= \omega_1 (-\xi_0) \omega_2 (-\eta_0) \|B_m\| \\ &= \omega_1 (-\xi_0) \omega_2 (-\eta_0) \|m\|_{BM}. \end{aligned}$$

### 2.8 Theorem

Let  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ .

**a)** If  $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , then  $\Phi * m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and we have

$$\|\Phi * m\|_{BM} \leq \|\Phi\|_1 \|m\|_{BM}.$$

**b)** If  $\Phi \in L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  such that  $\omega(u, v) = \omega_1(u) \omega_2(v)$ , then

$\hat{\Phi} m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and

$$\|\hat{\Phi} m\|_{BM} \leq \|\Phi\|_{1, \omega} \|m\|_{BM}.$$

*Proof.* **a)** Let  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By proof of Proposition 2.5 in [1],

$$B_{\Phi * m}(f, g)(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u, v) B_{T_{(u, v)} m}(f, g)(y) dudv.$$

If we use the 2.7 Theorem and assumption  $m \in BM[L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1; p_2, q_2, \omega_2; p_3, q_3, \omega_3)]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\Phi * m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &\leq \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \mathbb{R}^n} \|\Phi(u, v) B_{T(u, v)m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} dudv \\ &\leq \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(u, v)| \|T(u, v)m\|_{BM} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} dudv \\ &= \|m\|_{BM} \|\Phi\|_1 \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Hence  $\Phi * m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and by (2.22), we obtain

$$\|\Phi * m\|_{BM} \leq \|\Phi\|_1 \|m\|_{BM}.$$

**b)** Let  $\Phi \in L^1_\omega(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ . Take any  $f, g, \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is known by proof of Proposition 2.5 in [1].

$$B_{\hat{\Phi}m}(f, g)(x) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(u, v) B_{M(-u, -v)m}(f, g)(x) dudv.$$

Since  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ , we have  $M_{(-u, -v)m} \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and

$$\|M_{(-u, -v)m}\|_{BM} \leq \omega_1(u) \omega_2(v) \|m\|_{BM}$$

by 2.7 Theorem. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{\hat{\Phi}m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} &\leq \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \mathbb{R}^n} \|\Phi(u, v) B_{M(-u, -v)m}(f, g)\|_{p_3 q_3, \omega_3} dudv \\ &\leq \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(u, v)| \|M_{(-u, -v)m}\|_{BM} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} dudv \\ &\leq \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \mathbb{R}^n} |\Phi(u, v)| \omega_1(u) \omega_2(v) \|m\|_{BM} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} dudv \\ &= \|m\|_{BM} \|f\|_{p_1 q_1, \omega_1} \|g\|_{p_2 q_2, \omega_2} \|\Phi\|_{1, \omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Thus from (2.23), we obtain  $\hat{\Phi}m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$  and

$$\|\hat{\Phi}m\|_{BM} \leq \|\Phi\|_{1, \omega} \|m\|_{BM}.$$

The proof of the following theorem is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2.9 in [10].

## 2.9 Theorem

Let  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ . If  $Q_1, Q_2$  are bounded measurable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$h(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\mu(Q_1 \times Q_2)} \int \int_{Q_1 \times Q_2} m(\xi + u, \eta + v) dudv,$$

then  $h(\xi, \eta) \in BM(L(p_1, q_1, \omega_1 d\mu) \times L(p_2, q_2, \omega_2 d\mu), L(p_3, q_3, \omega_3 d\mu))$ .

## 3 The Bilinear Multipliers Space of Variable Exponent Lorentz Space

### 3.1 Lemma

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}$  the class of complex, measurable, simple functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $p(\cdot), q(\cdot) \in \wp_1([0, \infty])$ ,  $q(\infty) \leq p(\infty)$  and  $q(0) = p(0)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is dense in  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S} \subset L^{q^+} \cap L^{q^-}$  by Theorem 3.13 in [13], then we have  $f \in L^{q^+} \cap L^{q^-}$ . By using assumption, from the definition of  $\rho_{p, q}(f)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{p,q}(f) &= \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1} (f^*(t))^{q(t)} dt \cong \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q(0)}{p(0)}-1} f^*(t)^{q(t)} dt + \int_1^\infty t^{\frac{q(\infty)}{p(\infty)}-1} f^*(t)^{q(t)} dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 f^*(t)^{q(t)} dt + \int_1^\infty t^{\frac{q(\infty)}{p(\infty)}-1} f^*(t)^{q(t)} dt \\
 &= \int_{\{t \in (0,\infty): f^*(t) < 1\}} f^*(t)^{q(t)} dt + \int_{\{t \in (0,\infty): f^*(t) \geq 1\}} f^*(t)^{q(t)} dt \\
 &\leq \int_{\{t \in (0,\infty): f^*(t) < 1\}} f^*(t)^{q^-} dt + \int_{\{t \in (0,\infty): f^*(t) \geq 1\}} f^*(t)^{q^+} dt \leq \|f\|_{q^+}^{q^+} + \|f\|_{q^-}^{q^-}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

and  $f \in L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . So we write  $\mathfrak{S} \subset L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now, take any  $f \in L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ . From the Theorem 1.17 in [13], there exists a sequence  $(f_n) \subset \mathfrak{S}$  such that  $(f_n - f) \nearrow 0$  (a.e). It is known by 1.6 Theorem that  $L^{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach function space. Then we have  $\|f_n - f\|_{L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)} \nearrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $f$  is in the  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))$ -closure of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . The general case ( $f$  complex) follows from this. Hence,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is dense in  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

It is easy to proof the following lemma.

### 3.2 Lemma

Let  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ . If we endow the vector space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the sum norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p \cap L^q} = \|f\|_p + \|f\|_q$$

- a)  $\mathfrak{S}$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- b)  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### 3.3 Lemma

Let  $p, q \in \wp_1([0, \infty])$ ,  $q(\infty) \leq p(\infty)$  and  $q(0) = p(0)$ . Then  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Using similar technique in 3.1 Lemma, we have

$$\rho_{p,q}(f) \leq \|f\|_{q^+}^{q^+} + \|f\|_{q^-}^{q^-} . \tag{3.2}$$

Hence  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{q^+}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{q^-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $u \in L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . So  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now, let  $f \in L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists  $h \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that

$$\|f - h\|_{L(p(\cdot), q(\cdot))} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{3.3}$$

by 3.1 Lemma On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{S} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we write  $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also from 3.2 Lemma, there exists  $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|h - g\|_{L^p \cap L^q} < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{q^+} .$$

From this inequality, we have

$$\|h - g\|_{q^+} < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{q^+} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\|h - g\|_{q^-} < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{q^+} . \tag{3.5}$$

Then by (3.4) and (3.5), we write

$$\rho_{p,q}(h - g) \leq \|h - g\|_{q^+}^{q^+} + \|h - g\|_{q^-}^{q^-} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{q^+} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Since  $\rho_{p,q}(h - g) < 1$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{p,q} \left( (h-g) \rho_{p,q} (h-g)^{-\frac{1}{q^+}} \right) &= \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1} \left| \left( (h-g) \rho_{p,q} (h-g)^{-\frac{1}{q^+}} \right)^* (t) \right|^{q(t)} dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1} \left| \left( (h-g)^* (t) \right) \right|^{q(t)} \left| \left( \rho_{p,q} (h-g)^{-\frac{1}{q^+}} \right) \right|^{q(t)} dt \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q(t)}{p(t)}-1} \left| \left( (h-g)^* (t) \right) \right|^{q(t)} \left| \left( \rho_{p,q} (h-g)^{-\frac{1}{q^+}} \right) \right|^{q^+} dt \\
&= \rho_{p,q} (h-g)^{-1} \rho_{p,q} (h-g) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))}$ , and (3.6) we have

$$\|h-g\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))} \leq \rho_{p,q} (h-g)^{\frac{1}{q^+}} < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{q^+}{q^+}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3.7)$$

Finally using the inequalities (3.3) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\|f-g\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))} \leq \|f-h\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))} + \|h-g\|_{L(p(\cdot),q(\cdot))} < \varepsilon.$$

So, proof is completed.

### 3.4 Definition

Let  $p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x), q_1(x), q_2(x), q_3(x) \in \wp_1([0, \infty])$ ,  $q_1(\infty) \leq p_1(\infty)$ ,  $q_2(\infty) \leq p_2(\infty)$ ,  $q_1(0) = p_1(0)$ ,  $q_2(0) = p_2(0)$  and  $m(\xi, \eta)$  be a bounded, measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) m(\xi, \eta) e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + \eta, x \rangle} d\xi d\eta$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $m$  is said to be a bilinear multiplier from  $L(p_1(x), q_1(x))(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x))(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L(p_3(x), q_3(x))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3(x), q_3(x))} \leq C \|f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))} \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))}$$

for all  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . That means  $B_m$  extends to a bounded bilinear operator from  $L(p_1(x), q_1(x))(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x))(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L(p_3(x), q_3(x))(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We denote by

$BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$  the space of all bilinear multipliers of this type and define

$$\|m\|_{BM} = \|B_m\|.$$

The following theorem can be proved easily by using 3.3 Lemma and technique in the proof of 2.5 Theorem.

### 3.5 Theorem

Let  $\frac{1}{p_3(x)} + \frac{1}{p_3'(x)} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{q_3(x)} + \frac{1}{q_3'(x)} = 1$ ,  $q_3'(\infty) \leq p_3'(\infty)$  and  $q_3'(0) = p_3'(0)$ . Then

$m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$  if and only if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{h}(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1(\cdot), q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2(\cdot), q_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|h\|_{L^{p_3'(\cdot), q_3'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all  $f, g, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### 3.6 Theorem

If  $m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$ , then

$T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$  and

$$\|T_{(\xi_0, \eta_0)} m\|_{BM} = \|m\|_{BM}$$

for all  $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is easy to see that  $(M_{-\xi_0} f)^* = f^*$ . Thus  $(M_{-\xi_0} f)^{**} = f^{**}$ . This implies  $\|M_{-\xi_0} f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))} = \|f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))}$  and similarly  $\|M_{-\eta_0} g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))} = \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))}$ . By using the equality (2.19) and the assumption  $m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{T(\xi_0, \eta_0)m}(f, g)\|_{L(p_3(x), q_3(x))} &= \|B_m(M_{-\xi_0} f, M_{-\eta_0} g)\|_{L(p_3(x), q_3(x))} \\ &\leq \|B_m\| \|M_{-\xi_0} f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))} \|M_{-\eta_0} g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))} \\ &= \|B_m\| \|f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))} \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $T(\xi_0, \eta_0)m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$ . By using the equality

$$\|T(\xi_0, \eta_0)m\|_{BM} = \|B_{T(\xi_0, \eta_0)m}\|,$$

and proof technique in the proof of 2.7 Theorem, we obtain

$$\|T(\xi_0, \eta_0)m\|_{BM} = \|m\|_{BM}.$$

### 3.7 Theorem

a) Let  $0 < q_3 \leq s_3 \leq \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3, q_3)) \\ \subset &BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3, s_3)) \end{aligned}$$

b) Let  $0 < s_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &BML((p_1, q_1) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x))) \\ \subset &BM(L(p_1, s_1) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x))) \end{aligned}$$

c) Let  $0 < s_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$  and  $0 < s_2 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &BM(L(p_1, q_1) \times L(p_2, q_2), L(p_3(x), q_3(x))) \\ \subset &BM(L(p_1, s_1) \times L(p_2, s_2), L(p_3(x), q_3(x))) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* a) Take any  $m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3, q_3))$  and  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then, there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3, q_3)} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))} \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))}. \tag{3.8}$$

Also since  $0 < q_3 \leq s_3 \leq \infty$ , there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3, s_3)} \leq C_2 \|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3, q_3)} \tag{3.9}$$

by [8]. Combining (3.8) and (3.9)

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3, s_3)} \leq C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{L(p_1(x), q_1(x))} \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))}.$$

Thus  $m \in BM(L(p_1(x), q_1(x)) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3, s_3))$ .

b) Let  $m \in BM(L(p_1, q_1) \times L(p_2(x), q_2(x)), L(p_3(x), q_3(x)))$  and  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3(x), q_3(x))} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L(p_1, q_1)} \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since  $0 < s_1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty$ , there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L(p_1, q_1)} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L(p_1, s_1)} \tag{3.11}$$

by [8]. From the inequalities (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\|B_m(f, g)\|_{L(p_3(x), q_3(x))} \leq C_1 C_2 \|f\|_{L(p_1, s_1)} \|g\|_{L(p_2(x), q_2(x))}.$$

Then  $m \in BM[L(p_1, s_1; p_2(x), q_2(x)); p_3(x), q_3(x)]$ .

c) This claim is proved similarly.

## 4 Conclusion

The study of bilinear multipliers goes back to R.R.Coifman and Y.Meyer [14], [15], [16]. The interest in this area has increased in recent years following the work by M. Lacey and C. Thiele [17], [18], [19]. Since then there have been many developments in this area in the last years, we are concerned with bilinear multipliers of weighted Lorentz spaces and variable exponent Lorentz spaces. By using the methods of O. Blasco in [1], we defined and investigated the bilinear multipliers of weighted Lorentz spaces and variable exponent Lorentz spaces and we gave methods of construction examples of bilinear multipliers.

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. Blasco. Notes on the spaces of bilinear multipliers, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina, 50(2), 23-37, 2009.
- [2] A.P. Blozinski. On a convolution theorem for  $L(p, q)$  spaces, Transaction of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 164, 255-165, 1972.
- [3] C. Duyar, A. T. Gürkanlı. Multipliers and relative completion in weighted Lorentz space, Acta. Math. Sci., 23B-4,467-476, 2003.
- [4] L. Ephremidze, V. Kokilashvili, S. Samko. Fractional, maximal and singular operators in variable exponent Lorentz spaces, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 11, 4, 1-14, 2008.
- [5] H. G. Feichtinger, A. T. Gürkanlı. On a family of weighted convolution algebras, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **13**, 517 – 526, 1990.
- [6] C. Gasquet, P. Witomski. Fourier Analysis and Applications, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [7] A.T. Gürkanlı, Ö. Kulak, A. Sandıkçı. The spaces of bilinear multipliers of weighted Lorentz type modulation spaces, Georgian Math. J., 23, 3, 351362, 2016.
- [8] R. A. Hunt. On  $L(p, q)$  spaces, Extrait de L'Enseignement Mathematique T., XII, fasc., 4, 249-276, 1966.
- [9] R. Hunt, D. S. Kurtz. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on  $L(p, 1)$ , Indiana Univ. Math. J., 32, 1, 155-158, 1983.
- [10] Ö. Kulak, A. T. Gürkanlı. Bilinear multipliers of weighted Lebesgue spaces and variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 259, 2013.
- [11] Ö. Kulak, A. T. Gürkanlı. Bilinear multipliers of weighted Wiener amalgam spaces and variable exponent Wiener amalgam spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 476, 2014.
- [12] R. O'Neil. Convolution operators and  $L(p, q)$  spaces, Duke Math. J., 30, I, 129-142, 1963.
- [13] W. Rudin. Real and Complex Analysis, Mc Graw Hill, 1966.
- [14] R.R.Coifman, Y.Meyer. D'integralessingulieres et operateurs, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Granoble), 28,177-202, 1978.
- [15] R.R.Coifman, Y.Meyer. Fourier analysis of multilinear convolutions, Calderon's theorem and analysis of Lipschitz curvess, Euclidean harmonic analysis (Proc.Sem., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md, 1979), Lecture Notes in Math., 779, Springer Berlin,104-122, 1980.
- [16] R.R.Coifman, Y.Meyer. Ondelets et operateurs. III. Actualites Mathematiques, Hermann, Paris, 1991.
- [17] M. Lacey, C. Thiele.  $L^p$  bounds on the bilinear Hilbert transform for  $2 < p < \infty$ , Ann. of Math., 2, 146,693-724, 1997.
- [18] M. Lacey, C. Thiele. On the bilinear Hilbert transform, Proc. Intern. Congress of Mathematician, Doc.Math., Extra Vol.II, 647-656, 1998.
- [19] M. Lacey, C. Thiele. On Calderon's conjecture, Ann. of Math., 2, 149, 475-496, 1999.