

# Estimating Change Point in Single Server Queues

Sibanee Sahu\*, Sarat Kumar Acharya

P. G. Department of Statistics, Sambalpur University, Odisha, India

Copyright ©2016 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

**Abstract** The paper is concerned with the study of change point problem in the inter-arrival time and service time of single server queues. Maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters are derived. A test statistics has been developed and its properties have been studied.

**Keywords** Single Server Queue, Maximum Likelihood Estimator, Change Point, Likelihood Ratio

## 1 Introduction

Statistical inference plays a major role in any use of a queueing models in decision making. Many authors have studied the parameter estimation problem in queueing models. Basawa and Prabhu[4,5] have discussed moment and likelihood estimation of the model parameters for single server queues using various sampling plans. Bhat and Rao [6] have provided an exhaustive survey of results on inference for queueing systems. Basawa and Bhat [2] studied sequential inference for the parameters of a  $GI/G/1$  queue. An empirical Bayes approach was used for estimating by Thiruvaiyaru and Basawa [15]. Basawa et al. [3] presented a maximum likelihood method for estimating the parameters of the arrival and service time distribution using only the information on the waiting times of customers in  $GI/G/1$  queue with “first come first served” queue discipline. Clarke [10] obtained the maximum likelihood estimates for the arrival and service parameters of an  $M/M/1$  queue. A review of the literature on the subject reveals that so far only single server queues have been considered from an inferential viewpoint. crane and lemoine [14] have applied simulation techniques to the problem of estimating the steady state mean waiting time in a single server queue. Acharya [1] have discussed the rate of convergence of the distribution of the maximum likelihood estimators of the arrival and the service rates in a  $GI/G/1$  model.

Change is a natural phenomenon which occurs in every sphere of works. In statistics we are interested in the statistical analysis of change point detection and estimation.

Let  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$  be a sequence of independent random variables with probability distribution functions  $F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_n$ , respectively.

Then, in general, the change point problem is to test the following null hypothesis:

$$H_0 : F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_n \quad \text{verses the alternative hypothesis}$$

$$H_0 : F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_k \neq F_{k+1} = \dots = F_n$$

If the distributions  $F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_n$  belongs to the common parametric family  $F(\theta)$ , where  $\theta \in R^p$ , then the change point problem is to test the hypothesis about the population parameter  $\theta_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ ,

$$H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_n = \theta(\text{unknown}) \quad \text{verses the alternative hypothesis}$$

$$H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_k \neq \theta_{k+1} = \dots = \theta_n$$

The problem of testing of parameter change has long been a core issue in statistical inferences. It originally started in the quality control context and then rapidly moved to various areas such as economics, finance, transportation systems, statistical quality control, inventory, production processes, communication networks and queueing, control problems, medicine. The change point problem was first dealt in independent and identically distributed samples but it became very popular in time

series models. For relevant references in i.i.d samples and time series models, we refer to Brown, Durbin and Evans[7], Wichern, Miller and Hsu[17], Zacks[18], Krishnaiah and Miao[13] and the references therein.

The problem of estimating change point of the inter-arrival time distribution in the queueing is of great interest. Besides maximum likelihood and least square estimates, the Bayesian method is also a very useful technique for estimating parameters. Chernoff and Zacks [8] has studied the change point problem using the Bayesian method.

The main goal of this paper is to study the change point problem for the single server queue.

In section 2 preliminary results about the maximum likelihood estimators of a GI/G/1 queue have been mentioned. Section 3 deals with the change point estimation for the interarrival time distribution of the GI/G/1 queue . A test statistic has been developed.

## 2 The GI/G/1 Queue

Consider a single server queueing system in which the interarrival times  $\{u_k, k \geq 1\}$  and the service times  $\{v_k, k \geq 1\}$  are two independent sequences of independent and identically distributed nonnegative random variables with densities  $f(u; \theta)$  and  $g(v; \phi)$ , respectively, where  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are unknown parameters. Let us assume that  $f$  and  $g$  belong to the continuous exponential families given by

$$f(u; \theta) = a_1(u)e^{[\theta h_1(u) - k_1(\theta)]} \quad (1)$$

$$g(v; \phi) = a_2(v)e^{[\phi h_2(v) - k_2(\phi)]} \quad (2)$$

It is further assumed that the densities in (1) and in (2) are equal to Zero on  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

For simplicity we assume that the initial customer arrives at time  $t = 0$ . Our sampling scheme is to observe the system over a continuous time interval  $[0, T]$  where  $T$  is a suitable stopping time. The sample data consist of

$$A(T), D(T), u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_{A(T)}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{D(T)} \quad (3)$$

where  $A(T)$  is the number of arrivals and  $D(T)$  is the number of departures during  $(0, T]$ . Obviously no arrivals occur during  $[\sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i, T]$  and no departures during  $[\gamma(T) + \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} v_i, T]$ , where  $\gamma(T)$  is the total idle period in  $(0, T]$ .

some possible stopping rules to determine  $T$  are given below:

Rule 1. Observe the system until a fixed time  $t$ . Here  $T = t$  with probability one and  $A(T)$  and  $D(T)$  are both random variables.

Rule 2. Observe the system until  $d$  departures have occurred so that  $D(T) = d$ . Here  $T = \gamma(T) + v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_d$  and  $A(T)$  are random variables.

Rule 3. Observe the system until  $m$  arrivals take place so that  $A(T) = m$ . Here  $T = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + \dots + u_m$  and  $D(T)$  are random variables.

Rule 4. Stop at the  $n$ th transition epoch. Here,  $T, A(T)$  and  $D(T)$  are all random variables and  $A(T) + D(T) = n$ .

Under rule 4, we stop either with an arrival or in a departure. If we stop with an arrival, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i = T$  and no departures during  $[\gamma(T) + \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} v_i, T]$ . Similarly, if we stop in a departure, then  $\gamma(T) + \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} v_i = T$  and there are no arrivals during  $[\sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i, T]$ .

The likelihood function based on data (3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_T(\theta, \phi) &= \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} f(u_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} f(v_i, \phi) \\ &\times \left[ 1 - F_\theta \left[ T - \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i \right] \right] \left[ 1 - G_\phi \left[ T - \gamma(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} v_i \right] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $F$  and  $G$  are distribution functions corresponding to the densities  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. The likelihood function  $L_T(\theta, \phi)$  remains valid under all the stopping rules.

The approximate likelihood  $L_T^a(\theta, \phi)$  is defined as

$$L_T^a(\theta, \phi) = \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} f(u_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} f(v_i, \phi) \quad (5)$$

The maximum likelihood estimates obtained from (5) are asymptotically equivalent to those obtained from (4) provided the following two conditions are satisfied as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$A(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln [1 - F_\theta[T - \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i]] \xrightarrow{p} 0 \tag{6}$$

$$D(T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \ln [1 - G_\phi[T - \gamma(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} v_i]] \xrightarrow{p} 0 \tag{7}$$

### 2.1 Approximate maximum Likelihood Estimates

The interarrival time density  $f(u; \theta)$  and the service time density  $g(v; \phi)$  belongs to exponential families given by (1) and (2). It is easily verified that the moment generating function of the random variables  $h_1(u)$  and  $h_2(v)$  is given by  $M(t) = \exp[k_1(t + \theta) - k_1(\theta)]$  and  $M(t) = \exp[k_2(t + \phi) - k_2(\phi)]$  respectively. Consequently

$$\eta_1(\theta) = E_\theta[h_1(u)] = k'_1(\theta), \quad \sigma_1^2 = \text{Var}_\theta[h_1(u)] = k''_1(\theta) \tag{8}$$

$$\eta_2(\phi) = E_\phi[h_2(v)] = k'_2(\phi), \quad \sigma_2^2 = \text{Var}_\phi[h_2(v)] = k''_2(\phi) \tag{9}$$

The approximate likelihood function  $L_T^a(\theta, \phi)$  is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} L_T^a(\theta, \phi) &= \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} a_1(u_i) \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) \\ &\times \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} [\theta h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta)] + \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} [\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)] \right) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and the log likelihood function becomes

$$\begin{aligned} l(\theta, \phi)^a &= \log L_T^a(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} a_1(u_i) \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} [\theta h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} [\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)] \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Differentiating  $l(\theta, \phi)^a$  w.r.t  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  and then equating to zero, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} l(\theta, \phi)^a = \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} h_1 u_i - A(T) k'_1(\theta) = 0 \tag{12}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} l(\theta, \phi)^a = \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} h_2 v_i - D(T) k'_2(\phi) = 0 \tag{13}$$

From now on we shall write  $l$  for  $L_T^a$ . The estimating equation reduce to

$$k'_1(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} h_1(u_i)}{A(T)} = \eta_1(\theta) \tag{14}$$

$$k'_2(\phi) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} h_2(v_i)}{D(T)} = \eta_2(\phi) \tag{15}$$

The solution for  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  from (14) and (15) are given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \eta_1^{-1}[(A(T))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} h_1 u_i], \quad \hat{\phi} = \eta_2^{-1}[(D(T))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} h_2 v_i] \tag{16}$$

### 3 Change Point Problem of the Inter-arrival Time

Let's consider the  $GI/G/1$  queueing system in which interarrival times  $u_k, k \geq 1$  and the service times  $v_k, k \geq 1$  are the two independent sequence of independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables with density  $f(u, \theta)$  and  $g(v, \phi)$  respectively.

We are interested in testing the null hypothesis that  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{A(T)}$  are i.i.d from exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta_0$  against the alternative hypothesis that at some point  $l$  a change occurs in parameter  $\theta$  i.e. for some  $l \in 1, 2, 3, \dots, A(T) - 1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l$  are i.i.d from exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta_0$  and  $u_{l+1}, u_{l+2}, \dots, u_{A(T)}$  are i.i.d from exponential distribution with parameter  $\theta_0 + \delta$ .

We can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_{A(T)} = \theta_0 & \quad \text{Against} \\ H_1 : \theta_1 = \theta_2 = \dots = \theta_l = \theta_0 \neq \theta_{l+1} = \dots = \theta_{A(T)} = (\theta_0 + \delta) \end{aligned}$$

Following Kander and Zacks[11] we derive a test statistic using quasi Bayesian approach by considering the point of change  $l$  as a realization of a random variable  $L$  with a uniform prior density.

$$\pi(l) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{A(T)-1}, & l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, A(T) - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The loglikelihood function under the null hypothesis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} l_0(\theta_0, \phi)^a &= \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} a_1(u_i) \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} [\theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} [\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)] \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\partial l_0(\theta_0, \phi)^a}{\partial \theta_0} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} [\theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow k_1(\theta_0) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} h_1(u_i)}{A(T)} \\ &\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_0 = \eta_1^{-1} \left[ (A(T))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} h_1(u_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Under the alternative hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\theta_0, \phi)^a &= \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \pi(l) \left( \prod_{i=1}^l a_1(u_i) e^{[\theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)]} \right) \left( \prod_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} a_1(u_i) e^{[(\theta_0 + \delta) h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0 + \delta)]} \right) \right\} \\ &\left[ \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) e^{[\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)]} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{A(T)-1} \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \left\{ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^l (\log a_1(u_i) + \theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)) \right] \right. \\ &\left. + \left[ \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} (\log a_1(u_i) + (\theta_0 + \delta) h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0 + \delta)) \right] \right\} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) e^{[\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)]} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now by taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} &\log a_1(u_i) + (\theta_0 + \delta) h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0 + \delta) \\ &= \log a_1(u_i) + \theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0) + \delta [h_1(u_i) - k_1'(\theta_0)] + o(\delta), \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_1(\theta_0, \phi)^a &= \frac{1}{A(T) - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \left\{ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^l (\log a_1(u_i) + \theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} (\log a_1(u_i) + \theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} \delta [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right] \right\} \\
 &\quad \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) e^{[\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)]} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{A(T) - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \left\{ \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (\log a_1(u_i) + \theta_0 h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta_0)) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} \delta [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right] \right\} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) e^{[\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)]} \right] \\
 &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} a_1(u_i) e^{[\theta h_1(u_i) - k_1(\theta)]} \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} a_2(v_i) e^{[\phi h_2(v_i) - k_2(\phi)]} \right] \\
 &\quad \left\{ \frac{1}{A(T) - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \exp \left[ \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} \delta [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right] \right\} \\
 &= [L_0(\theta_0, \phi)^a] \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \frac{1}{A(T) - 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} \delta [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right) \right] \\
 &= [L_0(\theta_0, \phi)^a] \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta}{A(T) - 1} \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \sum_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right] \\
 &= [L_0(\theta_0, \phi)^a] \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta}{A(T) - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i - 1) [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right] \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood ratio is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda &= \frac{L_1(\theta_0, \phi)^a}{L_0(\theta_0, \phi)^a} \\
 &= \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta}{A(T) - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i - 1) [h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)] + o(\delta) \right] \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Now our test statistic is

$$T_{A(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i - 1) (h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)) \tag{18}$$

The exact distribution of  $T_{A(T)}$  is obtained as follows

$$E[T_{A(T)}] = E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i - 1) (h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)) \right] = 0 \tag{19}$$

(Since  $h_1(u_i)$ 's are exponential under null hypothesis)

$$\begin{aligned}
 Var[T_{A(T)}] &= var \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i - 1) (h_1(u_i) - k'_1(\theta_0)) \right] \\
 &= \frac{E[A(T)(A(T) - 1)(2A(T) - 1)]}{6} \cdot k''_1(\theta_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{E[A(T)(A(T)-1)(2A(T)-1)]}{6} \cdot \sigma_1^2(\theta_0). \quad (20)$$

The test statistics  $T_{A(T)}$  follows gamma distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\frac{E\{A(T)(A(T)-1)(2A(T)-1)\}}{6} \cdot \sigma_1^2(\theta_0)$

Similarly we can show that when there is a change in parameter  $\phi$ , then the test statistics is

$$T_{D(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} (i-1)(h_2(v_i) - k_2'(\phi_0)) \quad (21)$$

The test statistics  $T_{D(T)}$  follows gamma distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\frac{E\{D(T)(D(T)-1)(2D(T)-1)\}}{6} \cdot \sigma_2^2(\phi_0)$

## 4 Example

Let's consider the  $M/M/1$  queueing system with a poisson arrival and exponential service time. Let the interarrival times  $u_i, i \geq 1$  and the service times  $v_i, i \geq 1$  are two independent sequence of independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables with density  $f(u, \lambda)$  and  $g(v, \mu)$  respectively given as

$$f(u, \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda u_i}, \quad u_i > 0 \quad (22)$$

$$f(v, \mu) = \mu e^{-\mu v_i}, \quad v_i > 0 \quad (23)$$

We are interested in testing the null hypothesis that  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{A(T)}$  are i.i.d from exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda_0$  against the alternative hypothesis that at some point  $l$  a change occurs in parameter  $\lambda$  i.e. for some  $l \in 1, 2, 3, \dots, A(T) - 1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l$  are i.i.d from exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda_0$  and  $u_{l+1}, u_{l+2}, \dots, u_{A(T)}$  are i.i.d from exponential distribution with parameter  $(\lambda_0 + \delta)$ .

We can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{A(T)} = \lambda_0 & \quad \text{against} \\ H_1 : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_l = \lambda_0 \neq \lambda_{l+1} = \dots = \lambda_{A(T)} = (\lambda_0 + \delta) \end{aligned}$$

Then the likelihood function under the null hypothesis can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\lambda_0, \mu) &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{A(T)} \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 u_i} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} \mu e^{-\mu v_i} \right) \\ &= \left[ \lambda_0^{A(T)} e^{-\lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i} \right] \left[ \mu^{D(T)} e^{-\mu \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} v_i} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\lambda$  is

$$\hat{\lambda}_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} u_i}{A(T)} \quad (24)$$

Under the alternative hypothesis the likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\lambda_0, \mu)^a &= \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{A(T)-1} \pi(l) \left( \prod_{i=1}^l \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 u_i} \right) \left( \prod_{i=l+1}^{A(T)} (\lambda_0 + \delta) e^{-(\lambda_0 + \delta) u_i} \right) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{D(T)} \mu e^{-\mu v_i} \right\} \\ &= [L_0(\lambda_0, \mu)^a] \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta}{A(T)-1} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i-1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - u_i \right) + o(\delta) \right] \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

The likelihood ratio is

$$\Lambda = \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta}{A(T)-1} \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i-1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - u_i \right) + o(\delta) \right] \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0$$

Now our test statistic is

$$T_{A(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i-1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - u_i \right) + o(\delta) \quad (25)$$

The exact distribution of  $T_{A(T)}$  is obtained as follows

$$E[T_{A(T)}] = E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i-1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - u_i \right) + o(\delta) \right] = 0 \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Var[T_{A(T)}] &= Var \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{A(T)} (i-1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - u_i \right) + o(\delta) \right] \\ &= \frac{E[A(T)(A(T)-1)(2A(T)-1)]}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The test statistics  $T_{A(T)}$  follows gamma distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\frac{E[A(T)(A(T)-1)(2A(T)-1)]}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2}$ . Similarly we can show that when there is a change in parameter  $\mu$ , then the test statistics is

$$T_{D(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^{D(T)} (i-1) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0} - v_i \right) \tag{28}$$

The test statistics  $T_{D(T)}$  follows gamma distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\frac{E\{D(T)(D(T)-1)(2D(T)-1)\}}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_0^2}$ .

### 4.1 Simulation

For a  $M/M/1$  queue we generated  $A(T)$  observations  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{A(T)}$  and  $D(T)$  observations  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{D(T)}$ . For both  $A(T)$  and  $D(T)$  takes value 100, 500, 1000, 1500, 2000 with different values of  $\lambda_0 = 0.5, 0.8, 1.5, 2.8, 1.6$  and  $\mu_0 = 0.6, 0.9, 2.5, 1.7, 2.1$  respectively. The estimated value of  $\lambda_0$  and  $\mu_0$  was computed using maximum likelihood estimation method. When there is a change occurs in parameter  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  at some point  $l$ , the test statistic and it's mean and variance value are derived. The simulation results are given in the Table-1 and Table-2 respectively.

**Table 1.** When change in parameter  $\lambda$

$A(T)$	$\lambda_0$	$\hat{\lambda}_0$	$T_{A(T)}$	$E(T_{A(T)})$	$V(T_{A(T)})$
100	0.5	1.908228	-7134.719	0	90172.99
500	0.8	1.244679	-57621.76	0	26814522
1000	1.5	0.6598723	431380.6	0	764376340
1500	2.8	0.3449658	2880042	0	9444218472
2000	1.6	0.6113597	4576490	0	22391895633

**Table 2.** When change in parameter  $\mu$

$D(T)$	$\mu_0$	$\hat{\mu}_0$	$T_{D(T)}$	$E(T_{D(T)})$	$V(T_{D(T)})$
100	0.6	1.581461	-3914.184	0	131286.5
500	0.9	1.093193	-14916.91	0	34760907
1000	2.5	0.3866171	1094239	0	2226716433
1500	1.7	0.598574	1212004	0	3136768081
2000	2.1	0.4790627	2400702	0	7437162079

### Acknowledgments

The work is supported by University Grants Commission under the head "*Major Research Project*".

### REFERENCES

[1] **Acharya, S.K** (1999). *On normal approximation for maximum likelihood estimation from single server queues*, Queueing System. **31**, 207 – 216.

[2] **Basawa, I.V. and Bhat, B.R.** (1992). *Sequential inference for single server queues*, Queueing and related models. Eds, U.N.Bhat and I.V.Basawa, Oxford University press Oxford. 325 – 336.

- [3] **Basawa, I.V. Bhat, U.N and Robert Lund** (1996). *Maximum likelihood estimation for single server queues from waiting time data*, Queueing Systems. **24**, 155 – 167
- [4] **Basawa, I.V. and Prabhu, N.U.** (1981). *Estimation in single server queues*, Naval Res. Logist. **28**, 475 – 487.
- [5] **Basawa, I.V. and Prabhu, N.U.** (1988). *Large sample inference from single server queues*, Queueing Systems. **3**, 288 – 304
- [6] **Bhat,U.N. and Rao, S.S.** (1987). *Statistical Analysis of Queueing Systems*, Queueing Systems. **1**, 217 – 247
- [7] **Brown, R.L., Durbin, J., and evans, J. M.** (1975). *Tcheniques for testing the constancy of regression relationships over time with disscussion*, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B.**37**,149 – 192.
- [8] **Chernoff, H. and Zacks, S.** (1964). *Estimating the current mean of a normal distribution which is subject to changes in time*, Annals of Mathematical Statistics. **35**, 999 – 1018.
- [9] **Chen, J and Gupta, A.K.** (2000). *Parametric statistical change point analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston.
- [10] **Clerke, A. B.** (1957). *Maximum Likelihood Estimates in a simple queue*, Annal. Math. Stat. **28**, 1036 – 1040.
- [11] **Kander, Z. and Zacks, S.** (1966). *Test Procedures for possible changes in parameters of Statistical distributions oc-curing at unknown time points* Annals of Mathematical Statistics, **37**, 1196 – 1210.
- [12] **Kelly,F.P** (1979).*Reversibility and Stochastic Networks*,Wiley, New York
- [13] **Krishnaiah, P. R. and Miao,B.Q** (1988).*Review about estimation of change points (P.R. krishnaiah and C.R.Rao, Eds.) handbook of statistics.7*, Elsevier,Amsterdam 375 – 402
- [14] **Lemoine, A. J.** (1977) *Networks of Queue- A Survey of Equilibrium Analysis*, Manage. Sci. **24** , 464 – 481
- [15] **Thiruvaiyaru, D and Basawa, I.V.** (1991) *Estimation For a Class of Simple Queueing Networks*, Queueing Systems **9** , 301 – 312
- [16] **Thiruvaiyaru, D and Basawa, I.V.** (1992) *Emperical bayes estimation for queueing systems and networks*, Queueing Systems **11** , 179 – 202
- [17] **Wichern, D.W., Miller, R.B., and Hsu, D.A** (1976) *changes of varience in first order autoregressive time series models with an applications*, Applied Statistics. **25** , 248 – 356
- [18] **Zacks, S.** (1983) *Survey of Classical and Bayesian approaches to the change Point problem: Fixed sample and sequen-tial procedures of testing and estimation*, Recent Advances in Statistics. Academic Press.245 – 269