

On N-Fold Positive Implicative Artinian and Positive Implicative Noetherian BCK-Algebras

B. Satyanarayana¹, R. Durga Prasad^{1,*}, L. Krishna²

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India

²Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University Campus Ongole, Ongole-523 002, Andhra Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in

Copyright © 2014 Horizon Research Publishing All rights reserved.

Abstract The notion of BCK-algebras was initiated by Imai and Iseki in 1966 as a generalization of both classical and non-classical positional calculus. In 1999, Huang and Chen introduced the notion of n-fold positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras. In 2011, Satyanarayana and Durga Prasad introduced foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of n-fold positive implicative ideals, n-fold positive implicative Artinian (shortly, \mathbf{PI}^n -Artinian) and n-fold positive implicative Noetherian (shortly, \mathbf{PI}^n -Noetherian) BCK-algebras and study some of its properties.

Keywords BCK-Algebras, N-Fold Positive Implicative Ideal, Artinian and Noetherian BCK-Algebras

1. Introduction

It is known that mathematical logic is a discipline used in sciences and humanities with different point of view. Non-classical logic takes the advantage of the classical logic (two-valued logic) to handle information with various facts of uncertainty. The non-classical logic has become a formal and useful tool for computer science to deal with fuzzy information and uncertain information. The notion of logical algebra: BCK-algebras [7] were initiated by Imai and Iseki in 1966 as a generalization of both classical and non-classical positional calculus. In the same year, Iseki introduced BCI-algebras [8] as a super class of the class of BCK-algebras. In 1983, Hu and Li introduced BCH-algebras [6]. They demonstrated that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH-algebras. For the general development of BCK-algebras, the ideal theory plays an important role. In 1999, Huang and Chen [5] introduced the notion of n-fold positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras. In [9], Jun and Kim consider the fuzzification of n-fold positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras and Satyanarayana and Durga Prasad [12] studied foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicative ideals in BCK-algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of

n-fold positive implicative Artinian (shortly, \mathbf{PI}^n -Artinian) and n-fold positive implicative Noetherian (shortly, \mathbf{PI}^n -Noetherian) BCK-algebras and give its characterization.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we include some elementary aspects of BCK-algebras that are necessary for this paper. By a BCK-algebra (see [12, 13]) we mean algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

$$(BCK-1) (x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y),$$

$$(BCK-2) (x * (x * y)) \leq y,$$

$$(BCK-3) x \leq x,$$

$$(BCK-4) x \leq y, y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y,$$

$$(BCK-5) 0 \leq x, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

We can define a binary relation \leq on X by letting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. In any BCK-algebra X the following hold: (P1) $x * 0 = x$, (P2) $x * y \leq x$, (P3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$, (P4) $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$, (P5) $x * (x * (x * y)) = x * y$, (P6) $x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Throughout this paper X will always mean a BCK-algebra unless otherwise specified. A BCK-algebra X is said to be positive implicative if $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ for every $x, y, z \in X$. A non-empty subset I of X is said to be an n-fold positive implicative ideal if (I₁) $0 \in I$ and there exists a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (I₂) $(x * y) * z^n \in I$ and $y * z^n \in I \Rightarrow x * z^n \in I$ for $x, y, z \in X$. For any elements x and y of X , $x * y^n$ denotes $(\dots((x * y) * y) * \dots) * y$ in which 'y' occurs n-times.

A fuzzy set in a set X is a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and the complement of μ , denoted by $\bar{\mu}$, is the fuzzy set in X given by $\bar{\mu}(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Let μ and λ be the fuzzy sets of X . For $s, t \in [0,1]$ the set $U(\mu; s) = \{x \in X / \mu(x) \geq s\}$ is called upper s -level cut of μ and the set $L(\lambda; t) = \{x \in X / \lambda(x) \leq t\}$ is called lower t -level cut of λ .

As an important generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets in X , Atanassove [1, 2] introduced the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS, in short) defined by “An intuitionistic fuzzy set A in a non-empty set X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) / x \in X\}$, where the function $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\lambda_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denoted the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non member-ship (namely $\lambda_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set A respectively and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1$, for all $x \in X$. For the sake of simplicity, we use the symbol $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$.

Definition 2.2. [12] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (IF-ideal) of X if it satisfies

$$(IF-1) \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x),$$

$$(IF-2) \mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(IF-3) \lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x * y), \lambda_A(y)\} \text{ for } \forall x, y \in X.$$

Definition 2.3. [12] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra of X , if it satisfies

- i. $\mu_A(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$
- ii. $\lambda_A(x * y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy N-Fold Positive Implicative Ideals and Chain Conditions

Definition 3.1 [13] An IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal (IFPIⁿ - ideal) of X if it satisfies

$$(IFPI^n 1) \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x),$$

there exists a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(IFPI^n 2)$$

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \min\{\mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(IFPI^n 3)$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \max\{\lambda_A((x * y) * z^n), \lambda_A(y * z^n)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 3.2 A BCK-algebra X is said to satisfies the PI^n -ascending (resp., PI^n - descending) chain condition (briefly, PI^n -ACC (resp., PI^n -DCC)) if every ascending (resp., descending) sequence $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots (A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots)$ of n -fold positive implicative ideals of X , there exists a natural number k such that $A_r = A_k$ for all $r \geq k$.

- i. If X satisfies PI^n -DCC, we say that X is a PI^n -Artinian BCK-algebra.
- ii. If X satisfies PI^n -ACC, we say that X is a PI^n -Noetherian BCK-algebra.

Theorem 3.3 Let X be a BCK- algebra. If every intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X has finite number of values, then X is a PI^n -Artinian.

Proof. Suppose that every intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X does not satisfy PI^n -DCC then there exists a strictly descending chain $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ of n -fold positive implicative ideals of X which does not terminates at finite steps. We consider IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X by

$$\mu_A(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r/r+1, \text{ if } x \in A_r \setminus A_{r+1}, \text{ for } r=1,2,\dots \\ 1, \text{ if } x \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\lambda_A(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1/r+1, \text{ if } x \in A_r \setminus A_{r+1}, \text{ for } r=1,2,\dots \\ 0, \text{ if } x \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r \end{array} \right\},$$

where A_0 stands for X . Let us prove that $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -fold positive implicative ideal of X . Clearly $\mu_A(0) = 1 \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0) = 0 \leq \lambda_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x, y, z \in X$. Assume that $(x * y) * z^n \in A_r \setminus A_{r+1}$ and $y * z^n \in A_k \setminus A_{k+1}$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r \leq k$. Then obviously $(x * y) * z^n$ and $y * z^n \in A_r$ and so $x * z^n \in A_r$, because A_r is an n -fold positive implicative ideal of X . Thus

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \frac{r}{r+1} = \min \left\{ \mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n) \right\},$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \frac{1}{r+1} = \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n) \right\}.$$

If $(x * y) * z^n \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$ and $y * z^n \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$, then

$x * z^n \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$. Thus

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) = 1 = \min \left\{ \mu_A((x*y)*z^n), \mu_A(y*z^n) \right\},$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) = 0 = \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n) \right\}.$$

If $(x * y) * z^n \notin \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$ and $y * z^n \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$, then there

exists $i \in N$ such that $((x * y) * z^n) \in A_i \setminus A_{i+1}$.

It follows that $x * z^n \in A_i$, so that $\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \frac{i}{i+1}$

$$= \min \left\{ \mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n) \right\}$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \frac{1}{i+1} = \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n) \right\}.$$

Finally, suppose that $(x * y) * z^n \in \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$ and

$y * z^n \notin \bigcap_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r$. Then there exists $j \in N$ such that

$y * z^n \in A_j \setminus A_{j+1}$. Hence $x * z^n \in A_j$, and so

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \frac{j}{j+1}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n) \right\}$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \frac{1}{j+1} = \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x*y)*z^n), \lambda_A(y*z^n) \right\}.$$

Consequently we conclude that $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X and $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ has an infinite number of different values. This is a contradiction and hence X is a \mathbf{PI}^n -Artinian.

Theorem 3.4 Let X be a \mathbf{PI}^n -Artinian BCK-algebra and $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . If a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(A)$ is strictly intuitionistic increasing, that is, a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\mu_A)$ is strictly increasing and a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\lambda_A)$ is strictly decreasing, then $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ has finite number of intuitionistic values,

that is, μ_A and λ_A has finite number of values.

Proof: Suppose that $\text{Im}(\mu_A)$ is not finite.

Let $\{s_n\}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\mu_A)$. Define $U(\mu_A; s_r) = \{x \in X \mid \mu_A(x) \geq s_r\}$, for $r = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Then $U(\mu_A; s_r)$ is an n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . Let $x \in U(\mu_A; s_r)$ then $\mu_A(x) \geq s_r > s_{r-1}$ which implies that $x \in U(\mu_A; s_{r-1})$. Hence $U(\mu_A; s_r) \subseteq U(\mu_A; s_{r-1})$. Since $s_{r-1} \in \text{Im}(\mu_A)$, there exists $x_{r-1} \in X$ such that $\mu_A(x_{r-1}) = s_{r-1}$. It follows that $x_{r-1} \in U(\mu_A; s_{r-1})$, but $x_{r-1} \notin U(\mu_A; s_r)$. Thus $U(\mu_A; s_r)$ is a proper sub set of $U(\mu_A; s_{r-1})$ and so we can obtain a strictly descending chain $U(\mu_A; s_1) \supset U(\mu_A; s_2) \supset U(\mu_3; s_3) \supset \dots$ of n-fold positive implicative ideals of X which is not terminating, which is a contradiction. Let $\{t_n\}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\lambda_A)$. Then $0 \leq \dots < t_2 < t_1 \leq 1$. Define

$$L(\mu_A; t_k) = \{x \in X \mid \lambda_A(x) \leq t_k\} \text{ for } k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Then $L(\mu_A; t_k)$ is an n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . If $y \in L(\lambda_A; t_k)$, then $\lambda_A(y) \leq t_k < t_{k-1}$ and so $y \in L(\lambda_A; t_{k-1})$. This shows that $L(\lambda_A; t_k) \subseteq L(\lambda_A; t_{k-1})$. Since $t_{k-1} \in \text{Im}(\lambda_A)$, there exists $y_{k-1} \in X$ such that $\lambda_A(y_{k-1}) = t_{k-1}$. It follows that $y_{k-1} \in L(\lambda_A; t_{k-1})$ but $y_{k-1} \notin L(\lambda_A; t_k)$. Therefore $L(\lambda_A; t_k)$ is a proper sub set of $L(\lambda_A; t_{k-1})$ and thus we can obtain a strictly descending chain $L(\lambda_A; t_1) \supset L(\lambda_A; t_2) \supset L(\lambda_A; t_3) \supset \dots$ of n-fold positive implicative ideals of X , which is not terminating, which is again contradiction. Thus $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ has finite number of intuitionistic values.

Theorem 3.5 Let X be a \mathbf{PI}^n -Noetherian BCK-algebra and $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . If a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(A)$ is strictly intuitionistic decreasing, that is, a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\mu_A)$ is strictly decreasing and a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\lambda_A)$ is strictly increasing. Then $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ has finite number of intuitionistic values, that is, μ_A and λ_A has finite number of values.

Corollary 3.6 Let X be a \mathbf{PI}^n -Artinian and \mathbf{PI}^n -Noetherian BCK-algebra and $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . If a

sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(\mu_A)$ and $\text{Im}(\lambda_A)$ is strictly decreasing. Then A has finite number of intuitionistic values, that is μ_A and λ_A has finite number of values.

Proof: The proof is straight forward.

Theorem 3.7 The following conditions are equivalent.

X is a PI^n -Noetherian BCK- algebras.

The set of values of any intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X is a well-ordered sub-set of $[0, 1]$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . Suppose that the set of values of A is not a well- order sub set of $[0, 1]$. Then there exist a strictly decreasing sequence $\{s_n\}$ such that $\mu_A(x) = s_n$ (elements of $\text{Im}(\mu_A)$).

Define $U(\mu_A; s_r) = \{x \in X \mid \mu_A(x) \geq s_r\}$, for $r = 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Then $U(\mu_A; s_r)$ is a n-fold positive implicative ideal of X and thus we can obtain a strictly ascending chain $U(\mu_A; s_1) \subset U(\mu_A; s_2) \subset U(\mu_A; s_3) \subset \dots$ of n-fold positive implicative ideals of X which is not terminates. Thus we arrive a contradiction. If there exist a strictly increasing sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $\lambda_A(x) = t_n$ (elements of $\text{Im}(\lambda_A)$). That is, $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots \leq 1$. Define

$L(\mu_A; t_k) = \{x \in X \mid \lambda_A(x) \leq t_k\}$ for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$.

Then $L(\mu_A; t_k)$ is an n-fold positive implicative ideal of X and thus we get a strictly ascending chain $L(\lambda_A; t_1) \subset L(\lambda_A; t_2) \subset L(\lambda_A; t_3) \subset \dots$ of n-fold positive implicative ideals of X which is not terminating. Thus we arrive a contradiction the assumption that X satisfies the PI^n -ACC.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i), Suppose that there exists a strictly ascending chain $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \dots$ (*) of n-fold positive implicative ideals of X , Which does not terminates at finite step.

Define IFS $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in X by

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & \text{where } k = \min\{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in G_r\} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin G_r \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_A(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & \text{where } k = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in G_n\} \\ 1, & \text{if } x \notin G_n \end{cases}$$

Where $X = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r$. Now, we prove that $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . Since $0 \in G_r$, for all $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We have $\mu_A(0) = 1 \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(0) = 0 \leq \lambda_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $x, y, z \in X$. Assume that $(x * y) * z^n \in G_r \setminus G_{r-1}$

and $y * z^n \in G_r \setminus G_{r-1}$, $r = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, then $x * z^n \in G_r$, because G_r is an n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . It follows that

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \frac{1}{r} = \min \left\{ \mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n) \right\},$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \frac{1}{r} = \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x * y) * z^n), \lambda_A(y * z^n) \right\}.$$

Assume that $(x * y) * z^n \in G_r$ and $y * z^n \in G_r \setminus G_s$ for all $s < r$. Since G_r is an n-fold positive implicative ideal of X , therefore $x * z^n \in G_r$. Thus

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{s+1} \geq \mu_A(y * z^n)$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{s+1} \leq \lambda_A(y * z^n).$$

Hence $\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \min \left\{ \mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n) \right\}$, $\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x * y) * z^n), \lambda_A(y * z^n) \right\}$.

Similarly, for the case $(x * y) * z^n \in G_r \setminus G_s$ and $y * z^n \in G_r$. We have

$$\mu_A(x * z^n) \geq \min \left\{ \mu_A((x * y) * z^n), \mu_A(y * z^n) \right\}$$

$$\lambda_A(x * z^n) \leq \max \left\{ \lambda_A((x * y) * z^n), \lambda_A(y * z^n) \right\}.$$

Hence $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . Since the chain (*) is not terminating, A has strictly decreasing sequence of values. This contradicts that the value set of an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideals of X is well-ordered.

Notation: Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X , " $u\mu_A$ " denotes the family of all upper level n-fold positive implicative ideals of X with respect to μ_A and " $v\lambda_A$ " denotes the family of all lower level n-fold positive implicative ideals of X with respect to λ_A .

Theorem 3.8 Let X be a PI^n -Artinian BCK-algebra and $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideal of X . If a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(A)$ is strictly intuitionistic increasing, then $|u\mu_A| = |\text{Im}(\mu_A)|$ and $|v\lambda_A| = |\text{Im}(\lambda_A)|$.

Theorem 3.9 Let $A = (X, \mu_A, \lambda_A)$ and $B = (X, \mu_B, \lambda_B)$ be intuitionistic fuzzy n-fold positive implicative ideals of PI^n -Artinian BCK-algebra X . If sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(A)$ and $\text{Im}(B)$ are strictly intuitionistic increasing, then

(i) $u\mu_A = u\mu_B$ and $\text{Im}(A) = \text{Im}(B) \Leftrightarrow \mu_A = \mu_B$,

(ii) $\nu\lambda_A = \nu\lambda_B$ and $\text{Im}(\lambda_A) = \text{Im}(\lambda_B) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_A = \lambda_B$.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referee for giving some useful suggestions to improve this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov, K.T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20:87-96 (1983).
- [2] Atanassov, K.T., New operations defined over the intuitionistic fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 61(2):137-142(1994).
- [3] Atanassov, K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Theory and applications, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, 35. Heidelberg Physica- Verlag, 1990.
- [4] Atanassov. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy systems, Springer Physica-Verlag, Berlin, 1999
- [5] Huang, Y., and Chen.Z., On ideals in BCK-algebras, Math. Japan, 50:211-226(1999).
- [6] Hu Q. P. and Li X., On BCH-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 11:313-320(1983).
- [7] Imai Y. and Iseki K., On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV, Proc. Japanica Acad, 42:19-22(1966).
- [8] Iseki K., An algebra related with a propositional calculus, Proc. Japan Acad, 42:26-29(1966).
- [9] Jun, Y.B., and Kim, K. H., on n-fold fuzzy positive implicative ideals of BCK- algebras, Hindawi Publishing corp. 26(9):525-537(2001).
- [10] Satyanarayana, B., Bindu Madhavi.U and Durga Prasad, R., On foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK-algebras, International Mathematical forum, 5(45):2205-2211(2010).
- [11] Satyanarayana, B., and Durga Prasad, R., On Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in BCK- algebras, International J.of Math.Sci and Appls, 5(1):283-294(2011).
- [12] Satyanarayana, B and Durga Prasad, R., on foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy positive implicated ideals of BCK-algebras, Research Journal of Pure Algebra,1: 40-51(2011).
- [13] Satyanarayana, B and Durga Prasad, R., Positive implicative Artinian BCK-algebra and Positive implicative Noetherian BCK-algebra (Communicated).
- [14] Zhan, J. and Tan, Z.: Characterizations of Doubt fuzzy in H-ideals in BCK-algebras, Soochow Journal of Mathematics 29:293-298(2003).
- [15] Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets, Information Control, 8:338-353(1965).