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Preface

Promotion of breast cancer screening and early detection is not a favour to female population. It is a duty of the whole nation to ensure that half the population of the country is safe health-wise and contributing productively to the society. It should not be taken lightly.

In this context, this publication is an effort to put forward some of the critical issues for us to think about seriously.

Since breast cancer screening and early detection is a major impediment in providing timely treatment to patients and enhancing their chances of survival, it needs to be widely publicized through public campaigns and professional education of medical professionals across the country. Further, in case population-based screening is not possible due to resource constraints, at least community-based screening has to be established in distant areas to ensure that female population benefits from screening facilities. There is evidence across all age groups that, organized population based breast cancer screening programmes (PBBCS) can reduce breast cancer mortality by 20%\textsuperscript{1}.

In Pakistan, luckily, there is no dearth of competent and dedicated medical and technical professionals who are engaged in providing these services, among them are breast surgeons, medical physicists, radiologists, nurses, technicians and technologists. The low volume of patients presenting for screening is meant to under-utilize their services and undermine their motivation.

Establishment of dedicated breast cancer centres with one-stop shop is one of the feasible options that would save women and their families from unnecessary commuting, financial burden and mental stress to receive screening and early detection services.

The second most important issue is the introduction of international quality standards in the mammography imaging and allied tests and services for screening and early detection. Aligning and implementing protocols and procedures according to the guidelines developed by prestigious institutions such as the IAEA, ICRP, ACR (USA), RCR (UK), EUREF, EUSOMA and European Commission as well as WHO, IPEM and AAPM needs no second thoughts. At this stage, we do not have to develop the standards from the

scratch or reinvent what is already available.

Third most important issue is the political willingness to take this matter seriously and include the subject of breast screening and early detection in health policy in bold and as an integral part of national cancer control programme.

This collaborative work by two dedicated professionals from medical and social disciplines makes us think of the situation in a different perspective, a perspective leading to an urgent action in 3D:

- Cancer Policy and National Cancer Control Programme development;
- Building national capacities and ensuring optimal utilization of both technical and human resources;
- Wide awareness campaigns to engage public in this action-oriented discourse.

On my own behalf and on behalf of my colleagues, I offer our brains and bodies to contribute to the above action whole-heartedly. The time is ripe.

Thank you.

Dr. Najamuddin
MBBS, FRCR
Consultant Radiologist and CEO
Aznotics-The Diagnostic Centre, Lahore;
Chairman Education Committee
Radiological Society of Pakistan
# Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAPM</td>
<td>American Association of Physicists in Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR</td>
<td>American College of Radiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIR</td>
<td>Age Standardized Incidence Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASMR</td>
<td>Age Standardized Mortality Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Breast Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.Sc.</td>
<td>Bachelor of Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBE</td>
<td>Clinical Breast Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Continuous Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUREF</td>
<td>European Reference Organisation for Quality Assured Breast Screening and Diagnostic Services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEA</td>
<td>International Atomic Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRP</td>
<td>International Commission on Radiological Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INMOL</td>
<td>Institute for Nuclear Medicine and Oncology, Lahore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPEM</td>
<td>Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Standards Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMIC</td>
<td>Low and middle income countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Sc.</td>
<td>Master of Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTB</td>
<td>Multi-disciplinary Tumour Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJT</td>
<td>On Job Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAEC</td>
<td>Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKR</td>
<td>Pak (Pakistani) Rupee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNRA</td>
<td>Pakistan Nuclear Regulatory Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>Quality Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>Quality Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCR</td>
<td>Royal College of Radiologists, UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPO</td>
<td>Radiation Protection Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKMCH</td>
<td>Shuakat Khanam Memorial Cancer Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preface</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acronyms</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chapter 1. Introduction, Context and Objective of the Study | 1

1.1. Introduction | 2
1.2. Context | 4
1.3. Objective of the Study | 9

### Chapter 2. Research Design and Methodology | 11

2.1. Research Concept | 12
2.2. Research Process | 15
2.3. Research Merit Criteria | 16
2.4. Sampling Approach and Sample Selection | 17
2.5. Data Collection Instruments and Process | 20
2.6. Data Organization and Analysis | 20
2.7. Reporting | 21

### Chapter 3. Research Analysis and Findings | 23

3.1. Technological Strength and Range and Quality of Imaging Services | 24
3.2. Human Resources and Professional Competencies | 30
3.3. General and Clinical Practices in Screening and Early Detection in Specific Areas | 41
3.4. Knowledge Management | 51
3.5. General Assessment of Working Environment | 53
3.6. Content Analysis of Qualitative Information .................................................. 53

Chapter 4. Synthesis of Major Findings and Discussion ....................... 61

4.1. Synthesis of Major Findings .............................................................................. 62
4.2. Discussion ........................................................................................................ 62
4.3. Limitations of the Study ................................................................................... 68

Chapter 5. Conclusions and Recommendations .................................. 73

5.1. Conclusions .................................................................................................. 74
5.2. Recommendations ........................................................................................ 75

Final Remarks .................................................................................................. 82

Annexes .......................................................................................................... 83

Acknowledgements .......................................................................................... 107

Dedication .......................................................................................................... 108
Chapter 1. Introduction, Context and Objective of the Study

- Introduction
- Context
- Objective of the Study
**1.1. Introduction**

The importance of quality management in the medical field of mammography and other screening and early detection techniques cannot be overemphasised enough as it deals with the life and well-being of people, particularly women. The science of mammography is at an advance stage and continuously developing, thanks to the attention paid to it by inter alia research scientists, academics, medical practitioners and nuclear/ biomedical engineers. Whereas the health sector is equipping itself with latest 2D and 3D imaging technology to improve the quality of imaging, the utilization and maintenance of these machines and availability of trained staff for quality assurance is still lacking in low and middle income countries (LMICs) like Pakistan.

Quality management in health care is defined as, ‘any evaluation of services provided and the results achieved as compared with accepted standards’\(^2\). Any shortcomings revealed by the evaluation are taken into account to devise an improvement plan including a timeline to implement these recommendations. The scope of evaluation includes many levels of medical services and infrastructure within the health care system. At institutional level it takes into consideration programmes that ‘improve patient care, patient safety, resource utilization and ancillary services’\(^3\). At medical professional and staff level, it focuses on individual qualifications, competencies, social skills, training, level of responsibility, and most of all the understanding and attitude towards quality management.

The commonly used breast screening technology includes Mammography, Ultrasound and MRI. Most breast screening programmes such as the one introduced in UK in 1988 use Mammography as ‘the examination of choice for routine breast cancer screening’\(^4\) whereas the ‘Ultrasound and MRI are used extensively for symptomatic disease and, in some situations, such as in younger women with dense breast’\(^5\). Medical experts consider quality assurance ‘as an essential element’\(^6\) in any national health programme, it is ‘particularly important in mammography because the examination is so technically

---


\(^3\) Medical Dictionary, © 2009 Farlex and Partners
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