The Seasonal Reproduction Number of *p.vivax* Malaria Dynamics in Korea

Anne M. Fernando¹, Ana Vivas Barber^{1,*}, Sunmi Lee²

¹Department of Mathematics, Norfolk State University, Norfolk, 23504, Virginia, United States

²Department of Applied Mathematics, Kyung Hee University, Yongin-si, 446-701, Republic of Korea

Received December 24, 2021; Revised March 15, 2021; Accepted March 26, 2021

Cite This Paper in the following Citation Styles

(a): [1] Anne M. Fernando, Ana Vivas Barber, Sunmi Lee, "The Seasonal Reproduction Number of *p.vivax* Malaria Dynamics in Korea," *Mathematics and Statistics*, Vol.9, No.2, pp. 199-207, 2021. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2021.090215.

(b): KAnne M. Fernando, Ana Vivas Barber, Sunmi Lee, (2021). The Seasonal Reproduction Number of *p.vivax* Malaria Dynamics in Korea. *Mathematics and Statistics*, 9(2), 199-207. DOI: 10.13189/ms.2021.090215.

Copyright ©2021 by authors, all rights reserved. Authors agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

Abstract Understanding the dynamics of Malaria can help in reducing the impact of the disease. Previous research proved that including animals in the human transmission model, or 'zooprophylaxis', is effective in reducing transmission of malaria in the human population. This model studies *plasmodium vivax* malaria and has variables for animal population and mosquito attraction to animals. The existing time-independent Malaria population ODE model is extended to time-dependent model with the differences explored. We introduce the seasonal mosquito population, a Gaussian profile based on data, as a variant for the previous models. The seasonal reproduction number is found using the next generation matrix, endemic and stability analysis is carried out using dynamical systems theory. The model includes short and long term human incubation periods and sensitivity analysis on parameters and all simulations are over three year period. Simulations show for each year larger peaks in the infected populations and seasonal reproduction number during the summer months and we analyze which parameters have more sensitivity in the model and in the seasonal reproduction number. Analysis provides conditions for disease free equilibrium (DFE) and the system is found to be locally asymptotically stable around the DFE when $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$, furthermore we find the uniqueness of the endemic equilibrium point. The sensitivity analysis for the parameters shows that the model was not sensitive to the exact values of the long or short term periods as it was to the average number of contacts between host and mosquito or rate of disease progression for mosquitoes. This model shows that inclusion of variable mosquito population informs how domestic animals in the human population can be more effectively used as a method of reducing the transmission of malaria. The most relevant

contribution of this work is including the time evolution of mosquito population and simulations show how this feature affects human infection dynamics. An analytical expression for the endemic equilibrium point is provided for future work to establish conditions over which an epidemic may be prevented.

Keywords Malaria, Long and Short Incubation Period, The Seasonal Reproduction Number, Stability Analysis, Endemic Equilibrium

1 Introduction

In the study of the characteristics of the disease of Malaria effort has been put into modeling its transmission. This research seeks to expand on previous efforts and motivation of this work based on two such efforts, one which demonstrated how domestic animals attract mosquitoes over humans and the other which considers the incubation period as a variable categorizing short versus long periods.

The dilution effects of the domestic animal population on the transmission of *plasmodium vivax* malaria has been explored by several authors [1], [5],[8],[10],[12],[13],[14],[16],[20],[21]. The fact that domestic animals seem to attract this variety of mosquito with subsequent decrease in mosquito bite rate on humans was expanded to show how much the number of domestic animals influences the rate of malaria transmission. The dynamics of malaria transmission without morbidity was modeled with a SEIS epidemiological system. This study assumed a constant mosquito population. However it did use data to fit time

dependent mosquito population with a resulting distribution of a Gaussian-like curve where the mosquito population reaches the peak during July and August, demonstrating seasonality as seen in [2],[11],[18].

Including variable incubation periods shows to be necessary and a justified complexity, see [9] for more details, the long and short incubation periods of *p.vivax* Malaria in Korea are considered where the incubation period was obtained based on the difference between date of exposure to onset. Using the estimated mean incubation period, cases were classified as short incubation period or long incubation period where symptom onset before 25 weeks post-infection was defined as a short incubation period and symptom onset after 33 weeks post-infection was defined as a long incubation period as in [15],[17].

In particular, the incubation period included in [17] for *p.vivax* malaria transmission model, called $p(t)$ is represented as a weighted sum of an exponential function and a step function, which means short-term incubation periods is modelled by an exponential distribution with long term incubation period assumed to have fixed lengths as per step function. As following : $P(u) = pP_s(u) + (1 - p)P_l(u)$ where $P_s(u) = e^{-u\tau}$, $P_l(u) = \lfloor u - u_i \rfloor$.

A secondary transmission is addressed, a discrete version of renewal process for *p.vivax* malaria modeling is applied and it is then fitted to an extrapolated parametric function of the yearly component of the reproduction numbers to statistically detect the trend of secondary transmission. Analysis led to the conclusion that the best fitted model appeared to be the model with abrupt decline in secondary transmission by 34% from 1998 and this coincides with the expansion of chemoprophylaxis.

Monthly incidence of malaria has the peak in July and August and the highest incidence in the area near the DMZ(demilitarized zone) in the northern part of Korea. The incidence was the highest in 20-25 years old group and the mean of age was 31.0 in males but 45.3 in females. Reports adaptation of HGLM (hierarchical generalized linear model) to estimate the annual pattern of malaria incidence by age and sex in Korea. And this model considered spatial and temporal correlations for the best estimation, [18].

There is no preceding research for basic reproduction number of the ODE model with seasonal characteristics to include spread in Summer and Autumn. The seasonal reproduction number is obtained and the basic reproduction number is calculated including an exponential functional to model the incubation period of malaria. In changing to existing time-independent ODE model to time-dependent model, differences are explored. Also, using bi-modal malaria incubation, changes to the infectious population in contrast to constant incubation period of the ODE model are discussed. Stability results for the disease free equilibrium were obtained. An explicit expression for the endemic equilibrium point is reached and stability results are obtained under certain constraints on the parameters by using similar methods such as those seen

in [3],[4],[6],[7],[19],[22]. Furthermore, simulations are performed, confirming the seasonality of the disease and impact of bi-modal incubation on malaria transmission.

2 Model formulation

In Korea *p.vivax* Malaria is endemic disease. *p.vivax* Malaria ended at 1970's in Korea. But it re-occurred in 1993 at DMZ and then, the military took the precaution of Chemo-prophylaxis. Malaria transmits only between human and mosquito, that is, it does not transfer from human to human or mosquito to mosquito. When an infectious mosquito (I_M) bites a susceptible human (S_H), then susceptible human is able to become exposed human having either short (E_H^s) or long (E_H^l) term incubation. After the incubation, exposed human can become an infectious human (I_H). Similarly, when a susceptible mosquito (S_M) bites an infectious human, then the susceptible mosquito becomes an exposed mosquito (E_M) and then an infected mosquito. After an infected human or mosquito recovers, the model assumes return to the susceptible state. As mentioned before, we classified human (H) to four classes (susceptible, exposed long, exposed short, infected) and mosquito (M) to three classes (susceptible, exposed, infected). Research has shown that mosquitoes have higher probability of being attracted to animals for a blood meal than to humans [10], therefore, the mosquito contact rate can be assumed as $\sigma(1-a)H/((1-a)H + aA)$ ($0 \leq 1$). Thus, the proportion of bites on human host (σ) is modified to be $\frac{(1-a)H^2}{((1-a)H^2 + aA^2)}$. Since *p.vivax* malaria shows seasonal infectious dynamics, we considered dividing the mosquito population (M) into two categories. In previous research it is assumed that the malaria population is constant, taken as the average number, $\bar{M}$. Then, since each population remains constant, this is time-independent malaria dynamics. This is extended by assuming the malaria population has a Gaussian function. The time-dependent malaria dynamics are characterized by a sharp increase in Summer and Autumn followed by a decrease each year. The seasonal reproduction number is presented to find the number of secondary transmissions of time-dependent malaria. Accordingly, comparison of the differences between time-independent and time-dependent malaria in the number of secondary infectious human and mosquitoes and investigations with parameter changes are presented. The four parameters b_m, r, σ, T_m in table 1, are varied and as these parameters change and with those changes the impact on the model and the number of infectious humans and mosquitoes informs sensitivity of the model to certain parameters. The basic reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_0$) and seasonal reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_s$) with the difference of $\mathcal{R}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_s$ for three years were calculated. In addition, consideration of the bi-modal incubation periods of malaria provides a more robust model as the incubation of exposed human has short and long period and rather than using the average value and several values are considered. Using this, comparisons of how the incidence of malaria changes. See Figure 1. for a flow diagram representing the interaction and transmission between the human and mosquitoes populations.

Figure 1. Infectious mosquito (I_M), susceptible human (S_H), exposed human with short (E_H^s) or long (E_H^l) term incubation, exposed becomes an infectious human (I_H), susceptible mosquito (S_M) becomes an exposed mosquito (E_M) and then an infected mosquito; classified human (H) to four classes (susceptible, exposed long, exposed short, infected) and mosquito (M) to three classes (susceptible, exposed, infected).

2.1 Model System of Ordinary Differential Equations

A system of ordinary differential equations is used to model the dynamics of malaria:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{ds_H}{dt} &= b_h - \tilde{F}\tilde{M}(t)i_Ms_H + ri_H - b_hs_H, \\
 \frac{de_H^s}{dt} &= p\tilde{F}\tilde{M}(t)i_Ms_H - T_h^se_H^s - b_he_H^s, \\
 \frac{de_H^l}{dt} &= (1-p)\tilde{F}\tilde{M}(t)i_Ms_H - T_h^le_H^l - b_he_H^l, \\
 \frac{di_H}{dt} &= T_h^se_H^s + T_h^le_H^l - ri_H - b_hi_H, \\
 \frac{ds_M}{dt} &= b_m - \tilde{G}s_Mi_H - b_ms_M, \\
 \frac{de_M}{dt} &= \tilde{G}s_Mi_H - T_me_M - b_me_M, \\
 \frac{di_M}{dt} &= T_me_M - b_mi_M,
 \end{aligned}$$

(1)

where

$$\tilde{F} = \beta_{mh}\sigma \frac{(1-a)}{(1-a) + a\bar{A}^2}$$

$$\tilde{G} = \beta_{hm}\sigma \frac{(1-a)}{(1-a) + a\bar{A}^2}$$

2.2 Model Invariance

In this model, rather than specific populations, the proportion of human, mosquito and animal population is used. That is, the following variables are dimensionless :

$$\frac{S_H}{H} = s_H, \frac{E_H^s}{H} = e_H^s, \frac{E_H^l}{H} = e_H^l, \frac{I_H}{H} = i_H$$

$$\frac{S_M}{M} = s_M, \frac{E_M}{M} = e_M, \frac{I_M}{M} = i_M$$

$$\bar{A} = \frac{A}{H}, \bar{M} = \frac{M}{H}$$

From System (1), The rate of change for the total human population is given by

$$\frac{dN_H}{dt} = b_h - b_hN_H,$$

since $b_h - b_hN_H \geq 0$ then $N_H \leq 1$, similarly, the rate of changes for the total mosquito population is given by

$$\frac{dN_M}{dt} = b_m - b_mN_M,$$

since $b_m - b_mN_M \geq 0$ then $N_M \leq 1$.

It is observed that all solution of System (1) starting in

$$D = \{(s_H, e_H^s, e_H^l, i_H, s_M, e_M, i_M) \in \mathbb{R}_+^7 : N_H \leq 1, N_M \leq 1\},$$

remain in D for all $t \geq 0$, then D is a positively invariant and it is enough to consider solutions in D .

3 The threshold dynamics

In this section, the analysis of System (1) is developed, regular theory of dynamical systems is used in order to analyze the system locally around the equilibrium points. The disease free equilibrium E_0 and its stability is included. The endemic equilibrium of System (1) is provided. The basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$, defined as the number of secondary infections generated by a typical infectious mosquito in a fully susceptible population, is evaluated and the next generation matrix method [22] is used to establish the basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$, furthermore a seasonal reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_s$ which varies with respect to time is established.

3.1 Model steady states

Lemma 3.1. System (1), has a Disease Free Equilibrium (DFE), denoted by $E_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, and a unique Reproduction Number denoted by $\mathcal{R}_r$.

Proof. Notice that the model has five infected population: $e_M, i_M, e_H^s, e_H^l, i_H$, following the notation of [22], the matrices F, V , corresponding to the new infection terms are given by

Table 1. Parameter descriptions and default values

b_h	Per capita birth rate of humans ($days^{-1}$)	0.0001
b_m	Per capita rate of newly emerging adult mosquitoes ($days^{-1}$)	0.7949
β_{mh}	Infected mosquitoes to human transmission efficiency	0.5
β_{hm}	Infected human to mosquitoes transmission efficiency	0.5
σ	Average number of contact made to host by a single mosquito($days^{-1}$)	0.3
a	Animal attraction probability	0.95
r	Per capita rate of progression of humans from the infectious state to the susceptible state	0.07
p	Probability of exposed humans going through short-term incubation periods	0.25
T_h^s	Per capita rate of progression of humans from short term of exposed state to the infectious state($days^{-1}$)	1/25.9
T_h^l	Per capita rate of progression of humans from long term of exposed state to the infectious state($days^{-1}$)	1/360.3
T_m	Per capita rate of progression of mosquitoes from the exposed state to the infectious state ($days^{-1}$)	0.08

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{G}s_M i_H \\ 0 \\ p\tilde{F}\tilde{M}i_M s_H \\ (1-p)\tilde{F}\tilde{M}i_M s_H \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} T_m e_M + b_m e_M \\ -T_m e_M + b_m i_M \\ T_h^s e_H^s + b_h e_H^s \\ T_h^l e_H^l + b_h e_H^l \\ -T_h^s e_H^s - T_h^l e_H^l + r i_H + b_h i_H \end{bmatrix},$$

matrices F and V defined by $F = DF(E_0)$ and $V = DV(E_0)$ are given in partitioned form as follow

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ F_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tilde{G} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} T_m + b_m & 0 \\ -T_m & b_m \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} T_h^s + b_h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_h^l + b_h & 0 \\ -T_h^s & -T_h^l & r + b_h \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that F is a non-negative matrix of rank 2 and V is non-singular, both were evaluated at E_0 , [16], for the derivation of the spectral radius $\rho(FV^{-1}) = \rho(F_1 V_1^{-1})$, the expression for the basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \sqrt{\tilde{M} \left(\frac{(1-a)}{(1-a) + a\tilde{A}^2} \right)^2 \frac{\beta_{mh}\beta_{hm}\sigma^2 T_m (p b_h T_h^s + (1-p)b_h T_h^l + T_h^s T_h^l)}{b_m(b_h + r)(b_h + T_h^s)(b_h + T_h^l)(b_m + T_m)}}.$$

The following Lemma shows stability result with $\mathcal{R}_0$ for the disease free equilibrium E_0 by using Theorem 2 from van den Driessche and Watmough [22].

Lemma 3.2. *For System (1) the disease free equilibrium E_0 , is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ and unstable if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.*

Proof. From System (1), consider only the equations with the five infected populations $e_M, i_M, e_H^s, e_H^l, i_H$, the Jacobian for this sub-system evaluated at E_0 is given by $F - V$, and the eigenvalues of $F - V$ are those of $F_1 - V_1$ and $-V_2$, matrix $F_1 - V_1$ is giving by

$$F_1 - V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -T_m - b_m & \tilde{G} \\ T_m & -b_m \end{bmatrix},$$

the eigenvalues for the matrix $F_1 - V_1$ have negative real parts given by $-(T_m + 2b_m)/2$, additionally the eigenvalues for matrix $-V_2$ are all negative and given by $-(T_h^s + b_h)$, $-(T_h^l + b_h)$ and $-(r + b_h)$, therefore $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ and the disease free equilibrium E_0 , is locally asymptotically stable. ■

A nontrivial state for System 1 exists, exact expressions for this state are established depending on e_M^* . This endemic equilibrium state is denoted by, $E^* = (s_H^*, e_H^{s*}, e_H^{l*}, i_H^*, s_M^*, e_M^*, i_M^*)$. The next result shows an explicit expression for the endemic equilibrium depending on e_M^* , and under certain boundary conditions over e_M^* , all the entries of E^* are positive.

Lemma 3.3. System 1, has an endemic equilibrium $E^* = (s_H^*, e_H^*, e_H^{l*}, i_H^*, s_M^*, e_M^*, i_M^*)$, with positive entries if $0 < e_M^* < \frac{b_m}{T_m + b_m} < 1$.

Proof. By setting the left-hand sides equations of System 1 to zero, the following relations are established:

$$\begin{aligned} s_H^* &= \frac{b_m^2(r + b_h)(T_h^s + b_h)(T_h^l + b_h)(T_m + b_m)}{T_m \bar{F} \bar{G} \bar{M}(t)[pT_h^s(T_h^l + b_h) + (1-p)T_h^l(T_h^s + b_h)][b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^*]} \\ e_H^* &= \frac{pb_m(r + b_h)(T_h^l + b_h)(T_m + b_m)e_M^*}{\bar{G}[pT_h^s(T_h^l + b_h) + (1-p)T_h^l(T_h^s + b_h)][b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^*]} \\ e_H^{l*} &= \frac{(1-p)b_m(r + b_h)(T_h^s + b_h)(T_m + b_m)e_M^*}{\bar{G}[pT_h^s(T_h^l + b_h) + (1-p)T_h^l(T_h^s + b_h)][b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^*]} \\ i_H^* &= \frac{b_m(T_m + b_m)e_M^*}{\bar{G}[b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^*]} \\ s_M^* &= \frac{b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^*}{b_m} \\ i_M^* &= \frac{T_m e_M^*}{b_m} \end{aligned}$$

notice that all the included factors in the expressions for E^* are positive except for $b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^*$, since

$$0 < e_M^* < \frac{b_m}{T_m + b_m} < 1,$$

then $b_m - (T_m + b_m)e_M^* > 0$, which implies $s_H^* > 0$, $e_H^{s*} > 0$, $e_H^{l*} > 0$, $i_H^* > 0$, $s_M^* > 0$, and $i_M^* > 0$. ■

3.2 The seasonal reproduction number

In existing ODE model of malaria, the number of mosquito population M , has been set to the constant value. Using the number of mosquito population follows the Gaussian function such that it has the peak in July and August, we calculate the seasonal reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_s$ differently than existing basic reproduction number. The basic reproduction number is calculated to using the human population $H = 10000$, and the mosquito population $M = 100$. Thus, we used $\bar{M} = M/H = 0.01$

While the seasonal reproduction number is calculated to using

$$M(t) = \frac{\exp(-(t-b)/c)^2}{\exp(-(b/c)^2)} + 10000, \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{M}(t) = M(t)/H$$

This function has the characteristics of rapid increase in summer and autumn followed by decrease in spring and winter. As following $\mathcal{R}_s$:

$$\mathcal{R}_s = \sqrt{\bar{M}(t) \left(\frac{(1-a)}{(1-a) + a\bar{A}^2} \right)^2 \frac{\beta_{mh}\beta_{hm}\sigma^2 T_m (pb_h T_h^s + (1-p)b_h T_h^l + T_h^s T_h^l)}{b_m(b_h + r)(b_h + T_h^s)(b_h + T_h^l)(b_m + T_m)}}$$

Table 2. The values of parameters in $\mathcal{R}_s$ simulations

Parameter	value range
b_h	0.0001
r	[0.01,0.15, .30, .45 ,0.50]
p	[0.25 0.50 0.75]
T_h^s	1/(25.9)
T_h^l	1/(360.3)
β_{mh}	0.5
β_{hm}	0.5
b_m	[0.1,0.5,0.7949, 1.25, 1.50]
T_m	[0.05,0.08,.12,0.16, 0.2]
a	0.95
$\bar{A}$	0.2
σ	[0.25, 0.31, 0.37, 0.44, 0.50]

4 Simulations

The following table contains values that are varied in the following simulations.

4.1 The seasonal reproduction number sensitivity

We now consider the seasonal reproduction number, $\mathcal{R}_s$ with changes to the values of parameters b_m, r, σ, T_m, p , in the ODE model and whether and now the seasonal reproduction number changes. Using this data the sensitivity of $\mathcal{R}_s$ with respect to the five parameters can be estimated.

In Figure 2, b_m, r, T_m and σ are separately varied. The plots show changes in the seasonal $\mathcal{R}_s$ for each t over a three year period and we note is that we have implications of sensitivity with respect to a parameter if for each value we see different plots. The first parameter investigated is the newly emerging mosquito coefficient which was varied among five values, $b_m = \{0.1, 0.5, 0.7949, 1.25, 1.50\}$. The sensitivity is the greatest as b_m increases from 0.1 to 0.5 as $\mathcal{R}_s$ decreases as b_m increases. In Figure 2 observe that while the value of b_m is increasing, $\mathcal{R}_s$ is decreasing. That is, if the number of newly emerging mosquitoes is larger, then the number of secondary infectious human decreases. Next, vary $r = \{0.01, 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.50\}$ among five values. When r is increasing, then $\mathcal{R}_s$ is decreasing. Like with b_m, r it shows a non-linear relation to $\mathcal{R}_s$. The decreasing nature implies that as the proportion of recovered humans is increasing, then the number of secondary infectious humans is decreasing. Third, $\sigma = \{0.25, 0.31, 0.37, 0.44, 0.50\}$ is varied and in Contrast to b_m and r , as the value of σ is increasing, $\mathcal{R}_s$ increases. In addition, the changes appear nearly linear. This result means that if the number of humans in contact with a mosquito is increased, one observes the number of secondary infected human increases, independent of the contact rate. Lastly, $T_m = \{0.05, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16, 0.20\}$. Similarly to σ , when the value

Figure 2. The time-dependent $\bar{M}(t)$ varies during the year. Seasonal $R_0 = R_s$ where unless varied $r=0.15$, $\sigma=0.31$, $T_m=0.05$, $b_m=0.7949$, $p=0.50$. From top to bottom: $b_m=\{0.10, 0.50, 0.7949, 1.25, 1.50\}$; $r=\{0.01, 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.50\}$; $\sigma=\{0.25, 0.31, 0.37, 0.44, 0.50\}$; $T_m=\{0.05, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16, 0.20\}$

is increasing, then $\mathcal{R}_s$ is also increasing. That is, as the number of infected mosquitoes is larger, then the number of second infected human is increasing.

Figure 3. The time-dependent $\bar{M}(t)$ varies during the year. Seasonal $R_0 = R_s$ where $r=0.15$, $\sigma=0.31$, $T_m=0.05$, $b_m=0.7949$. $p=\{0.25, 0.50, 0.75\}$

In Figure 3, where the parameter p , probability of exposed humans going through short-term incubation periods, is varied $p = \{0.25, 0.50, 0.75\}$ there is almost zero change with implications of little sensitivity of $\mathcal{R}_s$ as for each value we see almost identical plots.

4.2 Infected mosquito/human sensitivity

Now consider the population of infected mosquitoes or humans over time and vary the parameters b_m , r in Figure 4. We observe greater sensitivity for the smaller values of the parameters and then not as much change in the infected populations for the rest of the values. It is not surprising to see an increase in the infected populations at the peak summer months of mosquito prevalence. In Figure 5 where T_m , σ are varied the corresponding mosquito and human infection populations are also affected. The conclusion is that the model is also sensitive to these parameters. Again note that there is an increase in I_m , I_h during peak mosquito months as this model considers variable mosquito population $\bar{M}(t)$. Figure 6 shows the infected mosquito and human populations as p is varied and these show minimal sensitivity to this parameter.

At first, b_m , a per capita rate of newly emerging adult mosquitoes, is varied. The default value of $b_m = 0.7949$ is set and the model is evaluated at a total of five values ($\{0.1, 0.5, 0.7949, 1.25, 1.50\}$). As we can see in Figure 4, as the value of b_m increases, that is as newly emerging mosquitoes increases, infectious humans and mosquitoes appear to decrease. Next, five values of $r = \{0.01, 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.50\}$ are considered. As r is a per capita rate of progression of humans from the infectious state to the susceptible state, we see that contrary to b_m , the graph of infectious mosquitoes and infectious humans both look like a flat lines except at the lowest value of $r = 0.01$. As the value of r increases, naturally infectious humans decrease and consequently uninfected mosquitoes are less likely to get infected. Third, in Figure 5, $\sigma = \{0.25, 0.31, 0.37, 0.44, 0.50\}$ and observe infected mosquitoes and humans. Note that σ is average number of contacts made to host by a single mosquito. Infectious humans shows a marked increase at the peak mosquito population around the 20th week per year. Infectious mosquito population, for all values of σ follows a similar trend. That is, the effect of σ to infectious human and mosquito population is bell shaped at peak mosquito growth which follows the shape of the seasonal mosquito population considered in this model (2). Also in Figure 5, consider the model with five values of $T_m = \{0.05, 0.08, 0.12, 0.16, 0.20\}$. T_m is a per capita rate of progression of mosquitoes from the exposed state to the infectious state. T_m , like σ , has an impact on both infectious human and mosquito populations. As T_m gets larger the proportion of infectious status mosquitoes and human population shows a greater increase during the months of greatest mosquito prevalence compared to that at lower values of T_m . As T_m increases then naturally the number of infectious mosquitoes increases and we observe this reflected in both infected groups.

In Figure 6, where the parameter p , probability of exposed humans going through short-term incubation periods, is varied $p = \{0.25, 0.50, 0.75\}$ there is change, mostly in the first of three years with implication of some sensitivity of I_h and I_m , the infected populations. Again note the increase in the summer peak mosquito months.

Figure 4. Solving the system of ODE's over a 3 year period. Top: Infected (human) on left, infected (mosquito) on right with b_m varied. Bottom: Infected (human) on left, infected (mosquito) on right with r varied. σ , p , T_m , T_h^s , T_h^l held constant.

4.3 Long and short term incubation period sensitivity

When infected mosquitoes bite human hosts, the exposed human has an incubation period before developing malaria. This incubation period is largely divided into two types, the first one being a short term incubation period. Historical data shows the short term incubation period has a mean equal 25.5 days and follows the gamma distribution [?, ?][17]. In previous models a constant value was assumed for short term incubation in the ODE model with the value of short term incubation period set to 25.9. This research extends the previous ODE model by using the three values 7, 25.5, 50 for this parameter for comparison in a sensitivity analysis. The second period considered is long term incubation and has the mean of 329.4 days and follows a distribution similar to the normal distribution [16],[17]. Similarly, rather than use a constant value of 329.4, this research will apply three values 250, 329.4, 360. In using three values each of the two incubation periods, the model is more robust as it allows for variability in the incubation periods and correspondingly estimates how infectious human and mosquitoes interact. In Figure 7 the infected mosquito and human populations are shown as parameters T_h^s and T_h^l are varied. We note that the infected human population shows sensitivity to these values with the infected mosquito population less so.

In Figure 7 where the parameters T_h^s (top two plots) this first

Figure 5. Solving the system of ODE's over a 3 year period. From top to bottom: Infected (human), infected (mosquito) with σ varied; infected (human), infected (mosquito) with T_m varied. r , b_m , p , T_h^s , T_h^l held constant.

Figure 6. Solving the system of ODE's over a 3 year period. Top: Infected (human) with p varied. Bottom: Infected (mosquito) with p varied. r , σ , T_m , b_m , p , T_h^s , T_h^l held constant.

parameter investigated is the short incubation period rate which is varied among three values, 7, 25.5, and 50 (weeks) for corresponding rates, $T_h^s = \{1/7, 1/25.5, 1/50\}$ and T_h^l (bottom two plots), long term incubation period with the exposed human incubation periods 250, 329.4 and 360 (weeks) and corresponding values for this rate are $T_h^l = \{1/250, 1/329.4, 1/360\}$. We note the curves for infected humans do vary as T_h^s and T_h^l are varied with peaks at summer months per year. The infected mosquito population appears to be unaffected.

In Figure 8, we vary parameters T_h^s , T_h^l and the plots show

Figure 7. Solving the system of ODE's over a 3 year period. Top to bottom: Infected (human), infected (mosquito) with T_h^s varied. Infected (human), infected (mosquito) with T_h^l varied. r, σ, T_m, b_m, p held constant.

seasonal $\mathcal{R}_s$ for each. We observe that while the value of T_h^s is decreasing, $\mathcal{R}_s$ stays the same. That is, if the mean short term rate of incubation is increased from 7 to 25.5 and then to 50, then the number of secondary infectious humans does not seem to change with respect to shorter incubation period. Upon close inspection there is a slight change with the shortest incubation period corresponding to higher peaks in $\mathcal{R}_s$ (see third plot in Figure 8). Consider the model with T_h^l decreasing with corresponding incubation periods 250, 329.4, 360 days, $\mathcal{R}_s$ again appears unchanged. The constant nature implies that as the incubation period for malaria in humans increases, then the number of secondary infectious human does not seem to be impacted. Again upon zoomed in view (fourth row in Figure 8), we see some differences, however with respect to scale they are negligible.

5 Conclusions

In this paper an epidemiological model for the reemergence of malaria in Korea is presented. We investigate the impact of variable mosquito population as well as add variables long and short incubation periods on the transmission dynamics of malaria. The SEIS model is based on malaria infected mosquitoes which bite humans or animals. This model studies *plasmodium vivax* malaria and including variables related to animal population and mosquito attraction to them.

Figure 8. The time-dependent $M(t)$ varies during the year. Seasonal $\mathcal{R}_s$ where the long and short incubation period are varied. Top: T_h^s varied as 1/7, 1/25.5, 1/50 and next: T_h^l varied as 1/250, 1/329.4, 1/360 and $r = 0.15, \sigma = 0.31, T_m = 0.05, b_m = 0.7949, p = 0.50$. Bottom: close up of above (zoom)

The standard basic reproduction number of the ODE model is evaluated using regular methods from epidemiology, furthermore we concentrate more on the seasonal reproduction number for simulation purposes since the mosquito population varies throughout the year. The existing time-independent Malaria population ODE model is extended to a time-dependent model with simulations reflecting three years of variable mosquito population. Also, using bi-modal Malaria incubation, changes to the infectious population from constant incubation period is extended in the ODE model and also includes seasonal mosquito prevalence over a three year period. Our interest is also to find an explicit expression for the endemic equilibrium as presented, and stability analysis for the model is conducted.

Sensitivity analysis of the parameters included studying $\mathcal{R}_s$, seasonal reproduction number, and infection populations I_h and I_m in response to changes in parameters b_m, r, T_m, σ and p . In conclusion, b_m, r is negative correlation to $\mathcal{R}_s$ while σ, T_m is positive correlation to $\mathcal{R}_s$. The seasonal reproduction number ($\mathcal{R}_s$) showed sensitivity to all parameters except the probability of exposed humans going through short-term incubation (p). Infected mosquito populations were not sensitive to the variable of human bi-modal incubation, but infected human population showed some sensitivity to these variables.

The results presented here extend ideas from [16], [17] by performing endemic equilibrium analysis and DFE with stabil-

ity and inclusion of variable mosquito population, $M(t)$ in the reproduction number analysis and ODE simulations. Also parameters are varied with the seasonality of the mosquito population in the model with simulations presented. Although both the infectious human and mosquito populations or compartments show differences as the four parameter are changed, the impact of the parameter changes is most noticeable during peak mosquito weeks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allan S., Zooprophylaxis or Zoopotential: The Outcome of Introducing Animals on Vector Transmission is Highly Dependent on the Mosquito Mortality While Searching, *Malaria J*, vol.2, pp 2-32, 2003.
- [2] Altizer S., Dobson A., Hosseini P., Hudson P., Pascual M., and Rohani P. Seasonality and the Dynamics of Infectious Diseases, *Ecology Letters*, vol. 9, pp 467-484, 2006.
- [3] Anderson R.M., May R.M., *Infectious Diseases in Humans*, Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- [4] Brauer F., Castillo-Chavez, C., *Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology*, Springer-Verlang, NY, 2001
- [5] Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Study of prevalence of Antibodies Specific to *plasmodium vivax* in Endemic Regions of *vivax* malaria. Cheongwon, Korea, Korea centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013.
- [6] Diekmann O., Heesterbeek J.A.P., *Mathematical Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases*, Wiley NY, 2000.
- [7] Hethcote H.W., *The Mathematics of Infectious Diseases*, SIAM review, vol. 42, no. 4, pp 599-633, 2000.
- [8] Hankey D.D., Jones R., Coatney G.R., Alving A.S., Coker W.G., Garrison P.L., Donovan W.N., Korean *vivax* Malaria. I. Natural History and Response to Chloroquine. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, vol. 2, pp 928-969, 1953.
- [9] Kim Sun-Ja, Kim Si-Heon, Jo Soo-Nam, Gwack Jin, Youn Seung-Ki, and Jang Jae-Yeon, The Long and Short Incubation Periods of *Plasmodium vivax* Malaria in Korea : The Characteristics and Relating Factors, *Infect Chemother*, vol.45, no. 2, pp 184-193, 2013.
- [10] Lee H.I., Lee J.H., Shin E.H., Lee W.J., Kim Y.Y., Lee, K.R., Malaria Transmission Potential by Anopheles Sinensis in the Republic of Korea, *The Korean journal of parasitology*, vol.39, no. 2, pp 1-10, 2001.
- [11] Lee H.W., Nishiura H., Cho S.H., Lee W.G., In T.S., Moon S.U., Chung G.T., Kim T.S., Factors Affecting Delayed Diagnosis of *plasmodium vivax* Malaria in the Republic of Korea, *Res. J. Parasitol*, pp 72-75, 2007.
- [12] MacDonald G., *The Epidemiology and Control of Malaria*, London Oxford University Press, 1957.
- [13] Mandal S., Sinha S., Sarkar R., A Realistic Host-Vector Transmission Model for Describing Malaria Prevalence Pattern, *Bull Math Biol*, vol. 75, pp 2499-2528, 2013.
- [14] Muriu S.M., Muturi E.J., Shililu J.I., Mbogo C.M., Mwangangi J.M., Jacob B.G., Irungu L.W., Mukabana R.W., Githure J.I., Novak R.J., Host Choices and Multiple blood Feeding Behaviours of Malaria Vectors and Other Anophelines in MWea Rice Scheme. Kenya, *Malaria J*. Vol. 29, no.7, 2008.
- [15] Nishiura H., Lee H.W., Cho S.H., Lee W.G., In T.H., Moon S.U., Chung J.T., Kim T.S., Estimates of Short and Long Term Incubation Periods of *plasmodium vivax* Malaria in the Republic of Korea, *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* vol. 101, pp 338-343, 2007.
- [16] Nah K., Kim Y., Lee J., The Dilution Effect of the Domestic Animal Population on the Transmission of *plasmodium vivax* Malaria, *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol.266, pp 299-306, 2006.
- [17] Nah K., Nakata Y., Rost G., Malaria Dynamics with Long Incubation Period in Hosts, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol.68, no.9, pp 915-930, 2014.
- [18] Noh M., Lee Y., Oh S., Chu C., Gwack J., Youn Seung-ki, Shin Hyeong Cho, Won Ja Lee, Sun Huh, Spatial and Temporal Distribution of *plasmodium vivax* Malaria in Korea Estimated with a Hierarchical Generalized Linear Model, *Public Health Res Perspect*, vol.3, no.4, pp 192-198, 2012.
- [19] Perko L., *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlang, 1996.
- [20] Ree H. I., Unstable *plasmodium vivax* malaria in Korea, *Korean J. Parasitol*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp 119-138, 2000
- [21] Ross R., *The prevention of Malaria*, Murray, London, 1910.
- [22] Van den Driessche P., Watmough J., Reproduction Numbers and Sub-Threshold Endemic Equilibria for Compartmental Models of Disease Transmission, *Math. Biosci.*, vol. 180, pp 29-48, 2002.