A Simple Analytic Approximation of Luminosity Distance in FLRW Cosmology using Daftardar-Jafari Method
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Abstract In this paper, the iterative method suggested by Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari hereafter called Daftardar-Jafari method (DJM) is applied for the approximate analytical representation of the luminosity distance in a homogenous Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) cosmology. We obtain the analytical expressions of the luminosity distance using the approximate solutions of the differential equation to which the luminosity distance satisfies, subject to the corresponding initial conditions. With the help of this approximate solution, a simple analytic formula for the luminosity distance as a function of redshift is obtained and compared with a numerical solution for the general integral formula by the Maple software. Subsequent comparison of the obtained approximate analytical formula with the corresponding numerical solution for the ACDM and quintessential models is provided and showed a high accuracy of the DJM approximations, at least for the certain values of parameters of the models. This comparison demonstrates the efficiency and simplicity of this approach to the problem of calculating the luminosity distance in theoretical cosmology.
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1 Introduction

The database, obtained in the observational astronomy on Supernovae of type Ia as one of the best cosmological distance indicators [1]-[3], encourages theoretical cosmologists to a strong restriction of the essential parameters in their cosmological models. The reason is that these cosmological observations clearly prove a spatial flatness and the present acceleration of our Universe. As a result, the SNIa Union2 database [4] becomes one of the most reliable observational resources for testing various cosmological models. To realize such testing, a researcher has to use the maximum-likelihood approach minimizing $\chi^2$ which measures the deviations of the theoretical predictions from the observations. Since SN Ia behave as excellent standard candles, they can be used to directly measure the expansion rate of the Universe. The SN Ia database gives us the distance modulus $\mu$ to each SN Ia supernova. In a flat universe, the theoretical distance modulus is given by

$$\mu(z) = 5 \log_{10}(d_L/Mpc) + 25,$$

where $d_L$ is the luminosity distance. It depends on the cosmological redshift $z$ and the model parameters. Thus, the analytical calculation of the luminosity distance $d_L$ versus cosmological redshift $z$ seems to be a very important issue in theoretical cosmology.

Unfortunately, the the luminosity distance formula is usually expressed by an integral over the redshift. As a rule, this integration cannot be prepared explicitly. Moreover, the latest data on supernovae Ia can involve the redshift $z > 2$, that can give rise to a theoretical question about the convergence of approximate solutions for large redshift. Therefore, one has to find some reliable analytic computing procedure for the luminosity distance as a function of redshift.

It could be mentioned that a simple algebraic approximation for the luminosity distance is considered in [5]. Besides, the so-called Padé approximant is applied for the analytical approximation of the luminosity distance in [6, 7, 8], and in [9] it is shown that the integral in general formula for the luminosity distance can be partly calculated analytically with the help of elliptic integral of the first kind. An interesting numerical strategies of computing the luminosity distance is developed in [10].

Recently, an interesting examples of approximate calculation of the luminosity distance via the Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) [11] and the Variational Iteration Method (VIM) [12] have been proposed in Refs. [13] and [14].

The Daftardar-Jafari method (DJM) developed by Daftardar-Gejji and Jafari [15] in 2006 has been used by many researchers for solving a variety of linear and nonlinear ordinary and partial differential equations and integral equations (see, for example [16]-[20] and references therein). The method converges to the
exact solution if it exists through successive approximations. For concrete problems, a few number of approximations can be used for numerical purposes with high degree of accuracy. The DJM does not require any restrictive assumptions for nonlinear terms. It is very effective and reliable, and the solution is obtained in the form of a rapidly convergent series with easily computed components [21]. Moreover, the comparison among DJM, Adomian decomposition method, HPM, and VIM shows the efficiency and high accuracy of DJM for the solution of the high order nonlinear boundary value problems [22, 23]. It would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ).

The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, for the high order nonlinear boundary value problems [22, 23]. It would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ). The results of these papers reveal that the new approximate solutions obtained via OPIM would be useful to note a new approach to perturbation method, such as the optimal perturbation iteration method (OPIM) for solving the nonlinear differential equations (see, for example, [24]-[26] and references therein ).

In this paper, we use the idea of approximate analytical calculation of the luminosity distance by virtue of solving the corresponding differential equation with certain initial conditions, proposed in [13]. Solving this equation in a spatially flat FLRW universe by means of DJM, we obtain the approximate analytical expressions for the luminosity distance in terms of redshift. We show that by using the DJM, the expression for $d_L(z)$ in arbitrary accuracy can be easily obtained by implementing a simple procedure for the governing equation.

2 A Brief Description of DJM

In order to introduce the basic idea of DJM [15] for solving nonlinear differential equations, we briefly recall the general approach and its application to the second order differential equations.

2.1 The main idea of DJM

Let us consider the following general functional equation:

$$ u = N(u) + f,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N$ is a nonlinear operator from a Banach space $B \rightarrow B$ and $f$ is a known function. We are looking for a solution $u$ of Eq. (1) having the series form:

$$ u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The nonlinear operator $N$ can be decomposed as

$$ N\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i\right) = N\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ N\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} u_j\right) - N\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_j\right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

As it follows from Eqs. (2) and (3), Eq. (1) is equivalent to

$$ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i = f + N(0) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[ N\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} u_j\right) - N\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} u_j\right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

One could define the following recurrence relation:

$$ \begin{aligned} u_0 &= f, \\
 u_1 &= N(u_0), \\
 u_{m+1} &= N(u_0 + \ldots + u_m) - N(u_0 + \ldots + u_{m-1}), \\
 \end{aligned}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Then

$$ (u_1 + \ldots + u_{m+1}) = N(u_0 + \ldots + u_m), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, $$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and

$$ u = f + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The $m$-term approximate solution of Eq. (2) is given by $u = u_0 + u_1 + \ldots + u_m$. If $N$ is a contraction, i.e. $\|N(x) - N(y)\| \leq q\|x - y\|$, $0 < q < 1$, then

$$ \|u_m\| = \|N(u_0 + \ldots + u_m) - N(u_0 + \ldots + u_{m-1})\| \leq q\|u_m\| \leq q^m\|u_0\|, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

and the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i$ in (7) absolutely and uniformly converges to a solution of Eq. (1), which is unique, in view of the Banach fixed point theorem [15]. For more details about the convergence of the DJM, we refer the reader to Ref. [21].

2.2 Solving a second order differential equation by using DJM

Here our description mainly follows to Ref.[23]. Consider some non-linear ordinary differential equation of the second order,

$$ u''(z) + k_1 u'(z) + k_2 u(z) + \tilde{N}(u) = \tilde{f}(z),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where a prime stands for derivative with respect to $z$, $k_1$, $k_2$ are arbitrary constants, $\tilde{f}(z)$ is a given continuous function, and $\tilde{N}(u)$ is a non-linear term. Besides, this equation must satisfy the initial condition:

$$ u(0) = A, \quad u'(0) = B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Equation (8) can be written in an operator form as:

$$ L_{zz} u(z) + k_1 L_z u(z) + k_2 u(z) + \tilde{N}(u) = \tilde{f}(z),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $L_z = \frac{d}{dz}$ and $L_{zz} = \frac{d^2}{dz^2}$. We assume that the inverse operators $L_{zz}^{-1}$ and $L_z^{-1}$ exist and can be taken as follows

$$ L_{zz}^{-1}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} (.) ds,$$

and

$$ L_z^{-1}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} ds \int_{0}^{s} (. ) dt = \int_{0}^{z} (z-s)(.) ds.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)
where we have used the Cauchy formula for repeated integration:
\[
\int_0^z \int_0^{z_n} \phi(s_n) ds_n \ldots ds_2 ds_1 = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^z (z-s)^{n-1} \phi(s) ds,
\]
(13)
Then, applying the inverse operator $L_{zz}^{-1}$ to both sides of the equation (10) and taking into account the initial condition (9), we have
\[
u(z) = A + k_1Az + Bz + g(z) - L_{zz}^{-1}k_1 \nu(z)
\]
(14)
where
\[
g(z) = \int_0^z ds \int_0^s f(t) dt = \int_0^z (z-s) f(s) ds.
\]
(15)
Therefore, by using equations (11)-(15), we can represent equation (14) in the form of equation (1) by setting
\[
f(z) = A + k_1Az + Bz + \int_0^z (z-s) f(s) ds,
\]
(16) and
\[
N(u) = -L_{zz}^{-1}k_1 \nu(z) - L_{zz}^{-1}k_2 \nu(z) + \tilde{N}(u(z))
\]
\[- \int_0^z \left( k_1 \nu(s) + (z-s) k_2 \nu(s) + \tilde{N}(u(s)) \right) ds.
\]
(17)
By using expressions (16) and (17) in equation (1), we can follow the procedure (5) in order to obtain solution (7) of ODE (8), provided (9).

Thereafter, we are going to apply DJM in the problem of approximation for the luminosity distance in FLRW cosmology.

### 3 Formulation of the problem

A homogeneous isotropic universe can be described by the following FLRW metrics [27],
\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right],
\]
(18)
where $a(t)$ is a scale factor, and $k = 1, 0, -1$ for a closed, spatially-flat, open universe respectively, and the speed of light in vacuum $c = 1$. The Einstein equation of General Relativity in the cosmological model (18) with a cosmological constant $\Lambda$, leads to the first Friedmann equation as follows
\[
\left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right)^2 = \sum m \Omega_m a^{-3(1+w_m)} + \Omega_k a^{-2} + \Omega_\Lambda,
\]
(19)
where $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter, $H_0$ denotes its present value, and the dimensionless densities are
\[
\Omega_m = \frac{8\pi G}{3H_0^2} \rho_m, \quad \Omega_k = -\frac{k}{H_0^2 a_0^2}, \quad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{3H_0^2},
\]
(20)
where $G$ is Newton’s gravitational constant, $\Omega_\Lambda$ is the contribution of vacuum, $\Omega_k$ is the contribution associated with curvature, and $\Omega_m$ is the contribution of all other kinds of matter and fields with the equation of state parameters (EoS) $w_m$ and the energy density $\rho_m$. From equation (20), it follows that these parameters satisfy
\[
\sum m \Omega_m + \Omega_k + \Omega_\Lambda = 1.
\]
(21)
One of the most fundamental distance scale in relativistic cosmology is the luminosity distance. It is defined by $d_L = \sqrt[3]{L/4\pi f}$, where $f$ is the observed flux of an astronomical object and $L$ is its luminosity. According to the recent astronomical observations [1, 2], our universe is spatially flat, and the present density parameter $\Omega_\Lambda \approx 0.72$.

As known [27], the luminosity distance $d_L$ of a spatially flat universe is as follows
\[
d_L = \frac{c(1+z)}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{W(z')}},
\]
(22)
where $W(z) = \left( \frac{H(z)}{H_0} \right)^2$ depends on the Hubble parameter $H(z)$ as a function of redshift $z = \frac{a_0}{a} - 1$, which obeys equation (19). Due to equations (19) and (21), we get
\[
W(z) = \sum m \Omega_m (1+z)^{3(1+w_m)} + \Omega_\Lambda,
\]
(23)
that is
\[
W(0) \equiv W(z)|_{z = 0} = 1
\]
(24)
For example, one has
\[
W(z) = \Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_r (1+z)^4 + \Omega_\Lambda
\]
(25)
in the $\Lambda$CDM model of the universe [27], where $\Omega_m$, $\Omega_r$ and $\Omega_\Lambda$ are the energy densities corresponding to the dust-like matter, radiation and cosmological constant, respectively. According to (24), we have $\Omega_m + \Omega_r + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$. Even this simple example demonstrates that the explicit calculation of the integral in equation (22) is rather problematic. In general, this calculation often involves some numerical calculations, elliptic functions or algebraic approximations.

In our previous works [13, 14], we proposed to apply two approximate methods for calculating the luminosity distance as a solution of the Cauchy problem for the differential equation followed from (22). By introducing a new unknown function
\[
u(z) = \frac{H_0 d_L}{c(1+z)},
\]
(26)
we can rewrite equation (22) in the following form
\[
u(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{W(z')}}.
\]
(27)
Thus, the derivatives of \( u(z) \) are as follows
\[
\frac{du}{dz} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{W}}, \quad \frac{d^2u}{dz^2} = -\frac{1}{2}W^{-2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{dW}{dz}.
\]
(28)

Combining these two equations, we obtain the following main equation
\[
\frac{d^2u}{dz^2} = -\frac{1}{2}W'(du/dz)^3,
\]
(29)

where and below the prime stands for the derivative with respect to \( z \). In addition, equations (24), (27) and (28) yield the following initial conditions for \( u(z) \)
\[
u(z)|_{z=0} = 0, \quad \frac{du}{dz}|_{z=0} = 1.
\]
(30)

4 Luminosity Distance in DJM Approximation

The Cauchy problem (29), (30) for the nonlinear differential equation of the second order can be solved exactly in quadratures, but the result leads to the integral (27). Therefore, we can try to solve this problem analytically with a certain approximation using some method, e.g. DJM.

Comparing the equations (8), (9) with the corresponding equations (24), (30) gives us the following equalities:
\[
k_1 = k_2 = 0, \quad A = 0, \quad B = 1,
\]
(31)

and
\[
f = 0, \quad \bar{N} = \frac{1}{2}W'(z)(u'(z))^3.
\]
(32)

Using the equations (31), (32) in (16) and (17), we get
\[
f(z) = z, \quad N(u) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z (z-s)W'(s)(u'(s))^3 \, ds.
\]
(33)

Therefore, the functional equation (1) becomes as follows
\[
u(z) = z - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^z (z-s)W'(s)(u'(s))^3 \, ds.
\]
(34)

Starting with the initial approximation \( u_0(z) = z \) according to (5) and (33), one can obtain the next term,
\[
u_1(z) = N(u_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z (z-s)W'(s)ds,
\]
(35)

in the m-term approximate solution (7) of the following form
\[
u(z) = z + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} u_i(z),
\]
(36)

where \( f(z) = z \) due to (33). Let us find \( u_2(z) \) as
\[
\begin{align*}
u_2(z) & = N(u_0 + u_1) - N(u_0), \\
n & = \int_0^z \cdot \int_0^z (3 - W(s)) ds - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^z \left( 3 - W(s) \right)^3 ds.
\end{align*}
\]
(37)

due to (5). Using equations (33) and (35) in (37), we get
\[
u_2(z) = \frac{1}{5} \int_0^z (z-s)W'(s) \left[ 1 - \frac{(3 - W(s))^3}{8} \right] ds,
\]
(38)

where the equations (24), (35) and
\[
u_0(s) + u_1(s) = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{W(s)}{2}
\]
are used. Then taking into account equations (35), (38) and integrating by parts, we can express the second-order approximation for the luminosity distance (26) as follows
\[
dl(z) = c(1+z) \int_0^z \frac{3}{4} \left[ z + \frac{1}{64} \int_0^z (3 - W(s))^4 \, ds \right].
\]
(39)

One can see that the approximate formula (39) can be readily applied not only in the models with a polynomial dependence of the Hubble parameter squared over \((1+z)\), but also to many other FRW models when the analytic calculation of the integral in (22) becomes fairly problematic. The DJM approximation obtained here gives an analytic expression for \( d_L(z) \) in a rather good accuracy.

5 Two Examples of DJM Approximation

In the first instance, we consider a simple example of the luminosity distance in \( \Lambda \)CDM model of the universe (22) where \( W(z) \) is given by (25), that is
\[
dl(z) = \frac{c(1+z)}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_r(1+z')^4 + \Omega_m(1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}}.
\]
(40)

According to the modern observations, the present radiation density is relatively very small, \( \Omega_r \sim 10^{-4} \), and this term in (40) can be neglected. Therefore, we get
\[
W(z) = \Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda,
\]
(41)

where \( \Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_m \). Substituting (41) in (39), one can obtain the following approximation for the luminosity distance in \( \Lambda \)CDM model:
\[
dl(z) = \frac{c}{H_0} \left[ 3 + \frac{1}{832} \Omega_m^4 \left( (z+1)^{13} - 1 \right) \right. \\
- \frac{1}{160} \left( 2 + \Omega_m \right) \Omega_m^3 \left( (z+1)^{10} - 1 \right) \\
- \frac{1}{224} \left( 2 + \Omega_m \right)^2 \Omega_m^2 \left( (z+1)^7 - 1 \right) \\
- \frac{1}{64} \left( 2 + \Omega_m \right)^3 \Omega_m \left( (z+1)^4 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{64} \left( 2 + \Omega_m \right)^4 z.
\]
(42)

One can compare this approximation with the well known expansion of luminosity distance \( d_L \) given by a Taylor series in redshift \( z \), that is (see, e.g., [28])
\[
dl(z) = \frac{cz}{H_0} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} (1-g_0)z - \frac{1}{6} (1-g_0-3g_0^2+j_0)z^2 + O(z^3) \right],
\]
(43)
with the help of Maple package, the graphs of \( d_L(z) \) in units of \( c/H_0 \) for the numerical solutions to the integral in equation (40), and the approximate solution (42) are shown in Fig. 1, where we have used \( \Omega_m = 0.28, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72, \Omega_r = 0, \Omega_c = 0 \) (in blue) or \( \Omega_m = 0.10, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.90, \Omega_r = 0 \) (in red). Here, \( c/H_0 = 1 \).

where \( q_0 \) and \( j_0 \) stand for the present magnitudes of the deceleration parameter \( q = -\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^3} \) and the jerk parameter \( j = \frac{a^2 \dddot{a}}{\dot{a}^3} \), respectively. By using (43), it is easy to show that approximate solution (42) yields the following expression for \( q_0 \)

\[
q_0 = -1 + \frac{3}{2} \Omega_m. \tag{44}
\]

If we insert \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72 \) and \( \Omega_m = 0.28 \) in (29) for the illustrative purpose, then we have \( q_0 \approx -0.58 \), which is within the observational limits.

Table 1. Percentage of relative errors of the approximate \( d_L \) given by Eq. (42) with \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72 \) (Case 1) and \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.90 \) (Case 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>% of RE in Case 1</th>
<th>% of RE in Case 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.003262</td>
<td>0.00156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03011</td>
<td>0.00153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.11552</td>
<td>0.006222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.304289</td>
<td>0.017632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.649655</td>
<td>0.040712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.204233</td>
<td>0.092224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.011819</td>
<td>0.150852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.097237</td>
<td>0.257152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.457996</td>
<td>0.413277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.062429</td>
<td>0.632454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.861499</td>
<td>0.928192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.825002</td>
<td>1.313241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Formally, equations (45)-(47) are valid for any states of barotropic matter. For example, one can easily verify that in the case of dust, i.e. \( w_q = 0 \), formula (47) just coincides with formula (42). At the same time, for the vacuum-like state \( w_q = -1 \), the result followed from (47), \( d_L(z) = c H_0^{-1}(1 + z)z \), is rather obvious, because it can be simply found directly from equation (46).

The graphs of the approximate solution (47) for (i) \( \Omega_q = 0.3, w_q = -2/3 \), and (ii) \( \Omega_q = 0.7, w_q = -2.8/3 \) compared to the numerical solution to the integral in equation (46) in the same cases are shown in Fig. 2. As can be concluded from these graphs, the approximate expression for the luminosity distance (47) gives good results for very different densities of matter in the Universe. The graphs of correspondent percentage of the relative errors given by the approximate solution (47) in cases (i) and (ii) are depicted in Fig. 3. From these graphs, we could conclude that the simple approximation (47) may be highly accurate for the model with a certain values of parameters (similar to case (ii)). One can see that a very high degree...
of accuracy (less than 0.05%) is achieved with a percentage increase in the contribution of quintessence to the total mass of matter.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, a simple analytical approximation for the luminosity distance in relativistic cosmology via the Daftardar-Jafari method has been obtained. For this purpose, the problem of calculating the integral in the expression for the luminosity distance (22) has been transformed into the Cauchy problem for the corresponding nonlinear differential equation (29). Thereafter, this equation has been solved by using the approximate analytic method, viz. DJM, with a certain accuracy. Subsequent comparison of the obtained approximate analytical formula (39) with the corresponding numerical solution for the $\Lambda$CDM and quintessential models (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) clearly showed a high accuracy of the DJM approximations, at least for the certain values of their parameters. The latter can be seen from Tab. 1 and Fig. 3. The obvious advantage of the formula (39) is that this approximation does not initially involves a Taylor series expansion in the redshift or in some physical parameter. Nevertheless, even a few number of iteration steps leads to a high accuracy for the analytical approximation of the luminosity distance. It should be emphasized that this approximation for the luminosity distance can be applied to the variety of cosmological models with different expressions for $W(z)$, even to the models with a non-polynomial over $(1 + z)$ function $W(z)$. Therefore, one can conclude that the DJM is a powerful and efficient technique to solve the problem of the luminosity distance computation in theoretical cosmology.
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