Screening in Psycho-oncology---Need for Psycho-oncological and Psycho-social Care of Oncological Patients: A Pilot Survey Using the Hornheider Questionnaire
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Abstract  Aim/object: The aim of this survey was to identify psycho-oncological and psycho-social need in the routine patient care of oncological patients. Material and Methods: A total of 200 oncological patients underwent the paper-based Hornheider questionnaire, a validated instrument to identify the need of psycho-oncological and psycho-social care at first contact. Hornheider sum-scores ≥4 were considered to indicate psycho-oncological and psycho-social need. Results: Overall, 149 (75%; 68 women and 81 men, 74 ≥ 65 and 75 < 65 years) questionnaires were correctly filled out and returned. Hornheider sum-scores were ≥4 in 47 patients, i.e. in 31.7%. Psycho-oncological and psycho-social need did not differ significantly between women and men (57.5% vs. 42.5%; p=0.732) but was significantly higher in patients <65 years than in those ≥65 years (66% vs. 34%; p=0.002). Discussion: The results of this pilot project show that a high proportion of oncological patients are in need of psycho-oncological and psycho-social support; this in particular holds true for younger patients.
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1. Introduction and Theoretical Background

After cardiovascular disease, cancer is the second most common medical condition. According to the Austrian Cancer Registry, the annual morbidity rate of cancer in Austria totals around 39,000 patients, of which 18,800 are women and over 20,200 are men [1]. Cancer causes approximately a quarter of annual deaths. While the incidence of new cases and mortality tends to decrease, the prevalence is increasing. Diagnosis and treatment are a heavy burden for cancer patients. Approximately one third to half of all patients suffering from cancer report severe changes in their lives in addition to increasing psychological strain, including debilitating, clinically relevant symptoms [2-6].

Psycho-oncology and its interdisciplinary, patient-oriented treatment approach in medical care and the cooperation with various occupational groups, e.g. social-service work or pastoral care, supports and aids cancer patients during the stages of prevention, diagnosis, therapy, rehabilitation and follow-up treatment [7,8]. The efficiency of psycho-oncological services such as consultation, musical therapy, several relaxation methods or psychopharmacological treatment can be seen in a significantly positive support in coping with cancer and an improvement in the quality of life of patients [9]. The results of studies investigating the effect of psycho-oncological interventions on the somatic disease progression are contradictory [10,11]. The four main functions of psycho-oncology are support in illness processing, strengthening the resources, the preservation/improvement of quality of living as well as the detection and treatment of psychological stress reactions of patients, relatives and members of the treatment team [12,13].

Numerous studies show the improvement in coping with the progression of the disease [14,15]. Psycho-oncological support services are not being provided and/or not utilized enough in many places. For the requirements analysis it is therefore necessary to apply psycho-oncological screenings [5,16,17].

An early diagnosis and the availability of preventative care are sensible in order to avoid premature decompensation processes within the family. It is worth mentioning that hardly any oncological patients prematurely and directly take advantage of according offers of support [14,18]. Various reasons can be found for this, such as the lack of
personnel resources and funding [15], a lack of requests by patients concerned, as well as insufficient communication among doctors, caregivers and affected patients [14,19].

In specialist literature five useful screening methods are frequently mentioned [5,15,16,17,19,20]:
- Hornheider Questionnaire (HSI) [14,16,21,22]
- Hospitality Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [14,16]
- Questionnaire on the burden of cancer patients (FBK) [14]
- Psychooncological Basis Documentation (BADO) [14]
- Distress-Thermometer (NCCN) [14,5]

Consequently, there is a demand for a quick, practicable and easy screening method which is applicable in daily medical routines [23]. During inpatient treatment the demand is hardly mentioned or detected by attending physicians. In clinical routine offering resource-conserving diagnostics with a quick identification of patients in need of care is a necessary instrument for physicians, caregivers and psychosocial staff members. It should be free of psychiatric technical terms, easily applicable in clinical routine, with a high accuracy and a quick analysis in order to ensure a prompt assignment to psycho-oncological and psychosocial supply offer.

Little data is available on the demand for psycho-oncological support. One study relays that 25 and 40% [14] of all patients are in need of psycho-oncological and psychosocial support.

Research Objective, Research Question, Hypothesis

The aim is to conduct a research on the detection of the need of care of cancer patients. We regard in psycho-oncological, psychosocial and oncological situation. This was a patient group in a clinic with oncological focus.

2. Material and Methods

Sample and Study Design

In the period from July 1st, 2012 to December 31st, 2012, 200 Patients >18 years with various tumor entities of the oncologic ward of the Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology in Feldkirch (LKHF), an Austrian Academic Hospital, which is focusing on the federal state of Vorarlberg (400,000 inhabitants) were invited to participate in a pilot project in the course of their psycho-oncological routine screening.

The patients had tumors and were undergoing chemotherapy. The exclusion criteria were missing consent, a severely reduced overall condition or cognitive limitations of patients which thus made an independent processing of the survey impossible.

Questionnaire

Name, age, residence, tumor entity were inquired. One study relays that 25 and 40% [14] of all patients are in need of psycho-oncological and psychosocial support.

Hornheider Fragebogen

Hornheider Screening-Instrument zur Ermittlung betreuungsbedürftiger Tumorpatienten

Interviewfragen
1. Wie fühlen Sie sich körperlich in den letzten drei Tagen?
2. Wie fühlen Sie sich seelisch in den letzten drei Tagen?
3. Gibt es etwas, was Sie unabhängig von der jetzigen Krankheit stark belastet?
4. Haben Sie jemanden, mit dem Sie über Ihre Sorgen und Ängste sprechen können?
5. Ist jemand in Ihrer Familie durch den Krankenhausaufenthalt besonders belastet?
6. Können Sie innerlich Tages über zur Ruhe kommen?
7. Wie gut fühlen Sie sich über Krankheit und Behandlung informiert?

Summe:

Name des Patienten:

Datum:

Patient(in) betreuungsbedürftig

Figure 1. Stress Items from the Hornheider Questionnaire
Statistical Analysis

The individual questionnaires’ data was calculated via simple addition of the item-figures. A sum-score ≥4 indicates a psycho-oncological/psycho-social need for care. The demographic data has been depicted descriptively. Differences were reviewed regarding their significance consulting the Chi-Quadrat-Test and the Mann-Whitney-U-Test. All statistical analysis was conducted using the software IBM SPSS Statistics 22, 2013 for Windows.

Ethics Vote

This pilot study was submitted to the ethical review committee of the federal state of Vorarlberg for examination. The ethical review committee considered the presented project. They noted that there is no need and/or responsibility of the curators of the ethics committee regarding the review of this project.

3. Results

In total 200 patients were given the Hornheider Questionnaire; 167 participated in the survey, 149 correctly filled it out and returned it, of which 68(46%) were female, 81(51%) male and 9(6%) unspecified. The average age was 62,9 years, the youngest patient was 19, the oldest 89 years.

Figure 2 shows the psycho-oncological load profile within the surveyed patient cohort. A sum-score ≥4 in the Hornheider Questionnaire was reached by 47 (31,7%) of the affected patient group (42,6% men and 57,4% women; p=0,732). Figures 3 and 4 show the results of the Hornheider Questionnaires divided according to gender and age groups. While no significant difference could be seen regarding the gender, we could observe a substantial difference (p=0,002) in the need for care between <65-year-olds (62,2%) and ≥65-year-olds (37,5%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Participants</th>
<th>Men (n=68)</th>
<th>Women (n=81)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (Years)</strong></td>
<td>63±12</td>
<td>62±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastrointestinal Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>33,8</td>
<td>9,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haemato-oncolog. Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>44,1</td>
<td>21,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mamma Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>22,1</td>
<td>25,9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Study Participants’ Characteristics in consideration of Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Participants (n=149)</th>
<th>Age Group 1 “&lt;65 years” (n=75)</th>
<th>Age Group 2 “≥65 years” (n=74)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>52±9</td>
<td>73±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men (%)</strong></td>
<td>21,5%</td>
<td>24,2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastrointestinal Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>58,1</td>
<td>41,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haemato-oncolog. Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>36,2</td>
<td>63,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mamma Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>71,4</td>
<td>28,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Tumors (%)</strong></td>
<td>41,7</td>
<td>58,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Study Participants’ Characteristics in consideration of Age

Figure 2. Load Profile of the Sample
Gender Effects

No relevant difference between men and women could be detected in the return rate of the questionnaires.

Influence of Age

We could observe a significant difference ($p=0.02$) in the need of care of <65 year-olds (62.2%) in contrast to ≥65 year-olds (37.5%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Tumor Entities of Study Participants with HH-Score ≥4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Participants (HH-Score≥4)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal Tumors (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemato-oncolog. Tumors (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mamma Tumors (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Tumors (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tumor Entities

We divided the tumor entities in four groups: gastrointestinal tumors, haemato-oncological tumors, mamma carcinoma and other tumors. Gastrointestinal tumors were significantly more common in men (p<0.001, 33.8%) than in women (9.9%) and haemato-oncological tumors were also significantly more common in men (p=0.002, 44.1%) than in women (21%). Mamma carcinomas naturally showed in women (p=0.001). In other tumors no gender associations could be made. These results are depicted in figure 5.

![Figure 5. Tumor Entities of all Study Participants factoring in Gender.](image)

![Figure 6. Tumor Entities of Study Participants with HH-Score ≥4 factoring in Gender.](image)
4. Discussion

This study proves, in accordance with current research [13,15], that, overall, a third of patients with a tumor disease are in need of psycho-oncological and psychosocial care.

Additionally, for the first time it should be noted that this demand is especially high in younger patients; accounting for two thirds in the age group <65 years.

This shows that psycho-oncological intervention is a crucial service in the support of patients in their pathological process and accompanying therapy.

Compared to existing specialist literature and diverse publications a screening method would be prudent. However, it is still not utilized regularly in the clinical routine and comparisons of different screening methods are often difficult due to the often severely varying study design.

The psychological experience of stress and life-threatening problems are often not noticeable prima facie. Many affected patients are hardly ever addressed upon this topic and there are still no standardized screening methods. Thus, it is often the case that severely strained patients are "overlooked" and do not receive psycho-oncological support.

One of the strengths of this pilot-study is that we consecutively collected the patients data and did not differentiate between outpatient, day-unit or inpatient patients, which explains the patient collective's heterogeneity. We also did not distinguish whether patients were adjuvant and/ or in palliative care undergoing chemotherapy. Patients were addressed non-selectively and well characterized factoring in age, gender and tumor entity.

Its limitations are that the number of cases was relatively small regarding the annual treatment of cancer patients (over 800 per year alone in this ward) and that we only collected monocentric data.

Patients are in an exceptional psychological state, especially during the first weeks after receiving the diagnosis. They are confronted with an enormous amount of information concerning disease, therapy and prognosis, which they have to process and cope with.

Early detection of stressed patients and referral to a psycho-oncologist results in an improved satisfaction with the support of patients in their pathological process and accompanying therapy.

According to professional opinions [29] there is still a big need for development in psycho-oncology regarding structural quality (personnel, qualification), process quality (instruments, guidelines) as well as quality of results (evaluation). For these reasons we need an improvement of the existing medical care supply.

6. Conclusions

Concluding, this study shows that the Hornheider Screening is a very easy, quick and effective paper-pencil-test. It is used for screening to record the psycho-oncological/ psycho-social need for care. It can be issued by physicians, psycho-oncologists and caregivers. Patients generally responded well to the survey. This method is most appropriate for psycho-oncological indication-diagnostics in clinical routine.

By request of any given oncological patient a quick and efficient diagnosis can be provided, in order to evaluate the need for care and organize respective treatments.
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