Evaluation of the Potential Anticancer Activity of Zamzam Water in Human Colon Cancer Cell Line
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Abstract Zamzam water (ZW) is a naturally hard alkaline type of water with unique physical and chemical properties that are different from any other water. The aim of the current work is to evaluate the potential anticancer activity of ZW against colon cancer. Human colon cancer HCT-116 and human skin fibroblast HSF cell lines were treated with two treatment conditions of ZW, Z1 with adjusted pH to 7.4 and Z2 without pH adjustment (pH 8). Cell viability was assessed using MTT and trypan blue dye exclusion assays. Cell cycle alterations and the type of cell death were investigated using flow cytometry technique. Cellular and mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels were quantified by H2DCFDA and MitoSOX assays, respectively. The results of the current study showed that both ZW treatments reduced cell viability of cancer cells. MTT assay showed a significant reduction in cell viability to 87% and 66%, respectively, after treatment with Z1 and Z2 (p≤0.0001). Cell death has occurred via apoptotic pathway under the two treatment conditions. The percentage of early apoptosis was 3%, 3.5% and 2.8% in control, Z1 and Z2 respectively. In the late apoptotic stage, there was a significant increase (4.2%) only for Z2 treatment in comparison to the control (p≤ 0.001). The cells were arrested in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, and decreased in G1 phase after 24 hours of treatment with ZW. Only Z2 treatment, showed an increase in the production of both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial ROS. In conclusion, our results indicate, for the first time, that ZW induces apoptosis of human colon cancer HCT-116 cells, suggesting the potential anticancer effect of ZW against colon cancer.
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1. Introduction

Colon cancer is the second most prevalent cause of cancer death in men and women after lung cancer [1]. In Saudi Arabia, according to the latest statistical analysis report from the National Cancer Registry (NCR), colon cancer represents the first and the third most common malignancy in males and females, respectively [2]. Despite the evolution of scientific methods in understanding the biology of cancer and the discovery of new treatments, the mortality rate is still high. The reason that may explain the low rate of response to treatment is the resistance of cancer cells to radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Recently, standard chemotherapeutic agents are used as a supportive or as a main treatment of cancer. However, serious side effects have been reported for some anti-tumor chemotherapeutics. Nowadays, many studies are testing alternative and natural products to increase the response of the patients to the chemotherapeutic drugs or to overcome the toxic side effects of these drugs. Recently, scientists aim to develop oxygen-reduced water with high pH and mineral combination. A novel example is the electrolyzed-reduced water (ERW) which is widely used for its health benefits. ERW is characterized by high pH and lower oxidation potential [3]. It has been shown to possess anticancer properties such as inhibiting cell proliferation in lung A549 cancer cells [4], inhibiting tumor growth of B16 melanoma in tumor injected mice [5] and enhancing the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway in human promyelocytic leukemia cells (HL-60) [3].

Zamzam water (ZW) is a naturally hard carbonated type of water with unique physical and chemical properties that are different from any other water. The miraculous nature of this water is its continuous flow from Zamzam well since 2000 BC. Interestingly, the source of the water was mentioned in various religious books, including the Quran, the Bible and the Torah [6]. Millions of people drink ZW as a cure for many illnesses or for their religious belief. The chemical analysis showed that ZW contains 34 different elements. The concentrations of sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), chloride (Cl), and magnesium (Mg) are higher than natural water. Few traces of chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), and titanium (Ti) are present in ZW [7-9]. Moreover, the amount of toxic
elements including, cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), arsenic (As), and selenium (Se), is below the level of danger of human consumption. Furthermore, this water has a higher pH value (7.9 -8) than the pH value of ordinary water (pH 6.5–7) [8]. However, previous studies demonstrated that certain doses of some toxic minerals such as selenium, arsenic, and lithium inhibited the proliferation of cancer cells [10-12]. Furthermore, numerous researchers have focused on the relationship of pH and cancer. It was proved that cancer cells thrive when they were exposed to low pH medium but cannot survive in alkaline medium [13-14].

The presence of some elements such as selenium, lithium and arsenate in addition to the high pH value of ZW, prompted us to hypothesize that this water may have anticancer effects against cancer cells. Based on this, the aim of the current study attempts to evaluate the potential antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects of ZW in human colon cancer (HCT-116) cell line.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Lines

HCT-116 cell line and normal skin fibroblasts cells (HSF) were obtained, respectively, from King Fahad Medical Research Center (KFMRC) and King Abdulaziz University Hospital (KAUH), King Abdulaziz University (KAU), Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA).

2.2. Preparation of Culture Media

The DMEM medium (Hyclone, USA) was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, USA), 1% L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich chemical Co, Poole, UK), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Canada), and 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco, Canada). The pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.4. The medium was sterilized by 0.22 µm filter and used to culture both HCT-116 and HSF cells. After reaching the desired confluence at 70%, the growth medium was removed and treatments of ZW were tested for 24 hours.

2.3. Preparation of ZW Treatments

ZW was obtained directly from Zamzam well, which is located in the holy mosque, Makkah, KSA. One large patch was stored in sterilized glass bottles at room temperature until it was used. In this research, ZW dissolved in PBS was referred to as the treatment. Two samples of ZW were prepared in PBS designated as Z1 and Z2. In sterilized glass bottle, a PBS tablet (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) was dissolved in 800 ml of ZW and the pH was either adjusted to 7.4 (Z1) or left with natural Zamzam alkalinity pH=8 (Z2). HCT-116 cells cultured in PBS only were used as a negative control and designated as (C).

2.4. Morphological Changes

An 8x10⁶ cells/ml of HCT-116 and HSF cells were seeded in 25 cm² flasks. Then, the changes of cell morphology were photographed after 24 hours using a Nikon microscope at magnification power of (10x) for both Z1 and Z2 treatments and were compared to the negative control (C).

2.5. Determination of Cell Viability

2.5.1. MTT Assay

The cytotoxic effect of ZW on HCT-116 and HSF cell lines were also studied by performing three independent experiments with MTT assay. A concentration of 1x10⁴ cells/well were seeded in a 96 well tissue culture plate and were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Then, the culture medium was replaced with 200µl Z1 or Z2 treatments or with 200µl PBS as (C). MTT assay was performed by adding 20µl of MTT dye (Sigma-Aldrich chemical Co, UK) and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After that, the precipitates were dissolved with 200µl of isopropyl alcohol (BDH, England) and the developed colour intensity was measured at 490 nm using a BioTek microplate reader.

2.5.2. Trypan Blue Exclusion

When HCT-116 and HSF cells were 60-70% confluent after 24 hours incubation, they were treated with Trypan blue dye (0.2%) (Hyclone, USA) and counted using a Hemocytometer.

2.6. Determination of Cell Death Type

In order to detect early and late apoptosis in HCT-116 and HSF cell lines, 8x10⁵ cells/ ml were seeded in 25 cm² flasks and incubated until they were 70% confluent. After that, cells were incubated with Z1, Z2 and the control medium for 24 hours. Then, the assay was carried out according to the manufacturer instructions using Beckman Coulter flow cytometer. Minimum 10,000 events were acquired in the gated regions. The emission wavelengths for cells labelled with Annexin V-FITC (Abcam, UK) and with propidium iodide (PI) (Sigma-Aldrich chemical Co, UK) were 520 and 620 nm, respectively.

2.7. Cell Cycle Analysis

HCT-116 and HSF cells were seeded in 25 cm² flasks at a concentration of 8x10⁵ cells/ml until they were 60-70% confluent. Then cells were treated with Z1 and Z2 for 24 hours. Cells were trypsinized and pellets were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 1ml of ice-cold fixing buffer (70% ethanol in PBS) and incubated at 4°C over night. After that, the cells were re-suspended in 500 µl PBS followed by 5µl of 5mM RNase (Abcam, UK) and were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C. Then 5µl of PI (1mg/ml) was added and cells were analyzed by BD FACSCalibur flow cytometer. At
least, 10,000 events were acquired in the list mode using an emission wavelength of 620 nm.

2.8. Measurement of Cellular Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)

Cellular ROS level in HCT-116 was measured using the Di-hydrodichlorofluorescein diacetate (H2-DCFDA) dye (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) assay. The 8x10⁶ cells were seeded in 25 cm² flasks and treated with Z1 and Z2 for 24 hours. Then, 5µl of DCFDA was added to cells and incubated in the dark (to reduce the susceptibility of photo-oxidation that might occur) for 30 minutes at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Using BioTek Fluorescence microplate reader, the DCF fluorescence was read at excitation wavelength of 485 nm and at emission wavelength of 528 nm.

2.9. Measurement of Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species (mtROS)

Mitosox red dye (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) assay was used to detect the superoxide levels in HCT-116 cell line treated with Z1 and Z2. The level of superoxide in cells was measured by BioTek fluorescence microplate reader according to the manufacture instructions. The Red fluorescence was read at excitation wavelength of 530 nm and at emission wavelength of 590 nm.

2.10. Statistical Analysis

All obtained data were statistically analyzed by Graph Pad Prism software version 6. All experiments were performed for at least three times to insure reproducibility of results. The significant differences were evaluated using p-values calculated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s test correction. The cut-off level of statistical significance was p<0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological Changes

The high alkaline medium treatment (Z2) showed more obvious changes in the cell membrane of HCT-116 cells than the adjusted pH treatment (Z1), as shown in Fig 1A & 1B. In contrast, the same treatments had no observable antiproliferative effect on HSF and appeared intact when compared to the control.

Figure 1. The effect of ZW treatments on the morphology of HCT-116 and HSF cells. A) HCT-116 cells incubated for 24 hours with Z1 and Z2 compared to the control. B) HSF cells treated with, Z1 and Z2 for 24 hours compared to the control.
3.2. Determination of Cell Viability

3.2.1. MTT Assay

The MTT assay performed on HCT-116 cell line showed a highly significant reduction in cell viability to 87% and 66% after treatment with Z1 and Z2, respectively compared to control untreated HCT-116 cells ($p<0.0001$) (Fig 2A). Interestingly, no significant difference on the viability of the HSF cells was observed under the same ZW treatments (the cell viability for HSF cells was 95.02% and 97.9% at Z1 and Z2, respectively).

3.2.2. Trypan blue Exclusion

Trypan blue cell count was used to confirm the effect of Zamzam treatments on cell viability. In agreement with MTT assay results, cell viability of HCT-116 was significantly reduced to 78% and 49%, respectively (Fig 2B), with no significant effect on the cell viability of HSF cells.

3.3. Apoptosis in HCT-116 Cells

Programmed cell death mechanism was significantly induced in HCT-116 treated with ZW compared with the untreated control. The percentage of cells at early apoptotic stage was 3%, 3.5% and 2.8% in control vs. Z1 and Z2 respectively. On the other hand, in the late apoptotic stage, no significant rise was observed between control 2.6% and Z1 2.6%, while there was a significant increase 4.2% for Z2 in comparison to the control ($p\leq0.001$). Both treatments showed no significant effect in cell necrosis. The percentage of necrosis in HCT-116 cells was 0.45%, 0.41% and 0.36% ($p>0.05$) in control untreated cells, Z1 and Z2, respectively (Fig 3A). For normal HSF cells, Z1 and Z2 showed no significant changes ($p>0.05$) in all apoptotic stages and necrosis (Fig 3B).
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Figure 2. ZW effect on HCT-116 viability by MTT and Trypan blue assays. A) MTT results of HCT-116 cells treated with Z1 and Z2 compared to control untreated cells. B) Trypan blue cell count of HCT-116 cells treated with Z1 and Z2 compared to control untreated cells. The data represents the mean of three independent experiments (n=3±SEM). Comparisons were made using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test (**p =0.001-0.01, ****p <0.0001).
Figure 3. Determination of cell death mechanisms for HCT-116 and HSF cell lines after treatment with Z1 and Z2 for 24 hours. Represented values are the mean of three independent experiments performed by Flow cytometry (n=3 ± SEM). Comparisons of means were made using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test (**p =0.01-0.05, ***p =0.001-0.01). A) For HCT-116 cells, the percentage of early apoptosis was 3 %, 3.5% and 2.8% in control vs. Z1 and Z2 respectively. In the late apoptotic stage, there was no significant difference between controls (2.6%) and Z1 (2.6%), while there was a significant increase (4.2%) in Z2 compared to the control (**p =0.001-0.01). Both treatments showed no significant effect in cell necrosis. B) For HSF cells, Z1 and Z2 showed no significant changes (p>0.05) in all apoptotic stages and necrosis. The percentage of early apoptosis in HSF cells remained as low as 3%, 3.5% and 2.8% for the control, Z1 and Z2, respectively. The proportion of death in the late apoptotic stage was 0.78%, 1.32% and 0.85% for the same treatments. The lowest percentage in necrotic stage was 0.1%, 0.9% and 0.093% for control, Z1 and Z2, respectively.

Figure 4. Cell cycle arrest in HCT-116 and HSF cells treated with Z1 and Z2 for 24 hours. Represented values are the means of three independent flow cytometry experiments (n=3 ± SEM). Comparisons of means were made using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test (***p =0.0001-0.001). A) Effect of Z1 and Z2 on HCT-116 cell cycle distribution after 24 hours. The percentage of HCT-116 cells in the G0/G1 phase was 41%, 34 % and 35% for the control, Z1 and Z2, respectively. The percentage of cells in the S phase was 24%, 25% and 42% (**p=0.0001-0.001), while in the G2 phase; the percentage was 25%, 38 % and 10% for the control, Z1 and Z2, respectively. B) Effect of Z1 and Z2 on HSF cell cycle distribution after 24 hours showed no significant effect (p>0.05) in the G1, S and G2 phases compared to the control. The percentage of HSF cells in the G1 phase was 54.1%, 52.75% and 56.26% for the control, Z1 and Z2. The percentage of cells in the S phase was 17.33%, 17.33% and 12%, while in the G2 phase; the percentage was 12.38%, 10.7% and 12.22% for the controls, Z1 and Z2, respectively.
3.4. Effect of Zamzam Treatments on Cell Cycle

Fig 4A shows HCT-116 cell cycle phases after treatment with Z1 and Z2. Arrest in the G2/M phase was observed by noting a significant increase in the cell population treated with Z1, while the S phase arresting was observed in cells treated with Z2. The percentage of HCT-116 cells in the G0/G1 phase was 41%, 34 % and 35% for the control, Z1 and Z2, respectively. The percentage of cells in the S phase was 24 %, 25% and 42% (p=0.0001-0.001), whereas in the G2 phase, the percentage was 25%, 38% and 10% for the control, Z1 and Z2, respectively. In contrast to the HCT-116 cells, the same treatment of ZW on normal HSF showed no significant effect (p>0.05) in the G1, S and G2 phases compared to the control as presented in Fig 4A & 4B.

3.5. Cellular and Mitochondrial ROS Measurement

The calculated ROS formation intensity showed no significant difference in cellular ROS production in HCT-116 cells treated with Z1 or Z2 compared to the control (Fig 5A). ROS production intensity for Z1 and Z2 treated cells was 36 RFU compared to the control, 35 RFU. Furthermore, the mtROS production (superoxide anion) was significantly observed with Z1 and Z2 compared to the control (in cells treated with Z1 the fluorescent intensity of mtROS formation was 9996 RFU while in cells treated with Z2, it was 14471 RFU compared to 12425 RFU in the control (Fig 5B).

4. Discussion

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most prevalent types of cancer and a major cause of death globally [15]. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the cytotoxic and the apoptotic effects of ZW in human colon cancer cell line. Our results revealed that the incubation of HCT-116 cells with both ZW treatments resulted in morphological changes in HCT-116 cells and inhibited the growth and proliferation of cancer cells. Cytotoxic assays showed that the cell viability was significantly inhibited in HCT-116 cells for both ZW treatments, whereas the cell viability of HSF cells was not affected by both treatments. These results are in agreement with an in vivo study on rats that showed that the intake of ZW significantly diminished the size of colon tumor in rats [16]. Numerous researches have showed that minerals may play important roles in inhibiting the growth of cancer cells. Among these elements are calcium [17], magnesium [18], cadmium-zinc combinations, selenium [19], arsenate, lead [20,21], and lithium [11]. Furthermore, studies on colon cancer have demonstrated that arsenic trioxide and selenium are cytotoxic in human colon cancer cell lines [22,23]. Other studies showed that cadmium induced cytotoxicity in a concentration- and time-dependent manner in both colon cancer Caco-2 cells and normal human liver cell line HL-7702 [24].
Calcium and magnesium are the major ions present in ZW. Epidemiologic studies have pointed out the importance of calcium to magnesium ratio in reducing the risk of cancer [25]. Thus, ZW may be a beneficial source of the proper ratio of calcium to magnesium ions. Furthermore, the concentrations of toxic elements such as arsenate, lead and selenium are higher than any other water but still lower than the danger level of human consumption [8]. However, the difference between the therapeutic dose and toxicity is very small. Although it is not clear which component of ZW inhibits the growth of human colon cancer cells, it is presumed that the presence of small amounts of elements such as lithium and arsenic and the combined balanced mixture between other ions, as well as the alkaline nature of ZW could explain its healing properties [26].

Apoptosis, programmed cell death or cell suicide, is a mechanism by which most anticancer therapies kill tumor and cancer cells [27]. Our results revealed that both ZW treatments induced apoptosis in HCT-116 cells and did not show any effect on normal HSF cells. To confirm the induction of apoptosis by ZW, flow cytometric analysis using Annexin V/PI-stained cells was carried out. Cell cycle analysis showed that cells were arrested in the G2/M phase by the effect of Z1 treatment while Z2 treatment caused arresting of HCT-116 cells at S phase with significant reduction at G2/M phase. Previous studies on elements proved that treating colon cancer cells with some elements inhibited the growth of colon cancer. A study showed that treatment of arsenic trioxide induced DNA damage in HT-29 colon cancer cells [22]. Another study reported that treating colon cancer cell lines with selenium induced G2/M cell cycle arrest [28]. It was also demonstrated that sodium selenite treatment accumulated HCT-116 cells at G2 phase, which was accompanied by the increasing expression of cyclin B1 [29]. In addition, it has been found that arresting at G2/M phase in human tumor cell lines by arsenic trioxide is due to an increase of cyclin B level which is a regulatory protein involved in mitosis [30]. Moreover, arsenic trioxide arrested the cell cycle of HT-29 colon cancer cells at S phase [31]. Also, it was found that sodium selenite caused cell cycle arrest at S/G2 phase in both HCT116 and SW620 colon cell lines [23]. Arsenate induced cyclin D1 expression and G1-S transition in human keratinocytic HaCat cells [32]. In this study, it is assumed that the arresting effect of Z1 at G2/M phase of HCT-116 cells might be due to the increased cyclin B level by the effect of these elements that are found naturally in ZW, while the arresting effect of Z2 at S phase of the same cells might be due to the induction of cyclin D1 expression by the effect of both the alkaline pH and the balanced mixture of elements that are present naturally in ZW. It was also reported that arsenic trioxide induced apoptosis, which was mediated through a mitochondria-dependent apoptotic signal pathway in human colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 cells [33]. In addition, it was also proved that selenium induced apoptosis via Bax-dependent mitochondrial pathway in both colon cancer cell lines [28]. Furthermore, studies on ERW showed that the treatment of ERW with GSH induced the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in HL-60 cells [3]. All these findings are in agreement with our results in which apoptosis and not necrosis is induced.

Elevated rates of ROS have been detected in almost all cancers, where they promote tumor development and cancer cell progression. Accordingly, ROS level was investigated in HCT-116 cell line to assess the role of ROS in the induction of apoptosis after the exposure to ZW treatments. Our data demonstrated that mitochondrial ROS formations were induced only in Z2 treatment while Z1 treatment scavenged mtROS. The induction of mtROS by Z2 is may be due to mitochondrial dysfunction effect of ZW on cancer cells, whereas the scavenging of mtROS by Z1 treatment may be due to the activation of superoxide dismutase enzyme, which is induced by ZW. In contrast, the cellular ROS level of HCT-116 cells after ZW treatments was not changed when compared to the control. These findings are in agreement with those of Tasi and his colleagues [3] who reported the scavenging activity of ERW on the cellular ROS of HL-60 cells. Accordingly, our results showed that the generation of ROS seems to be the mechanism together with apoptosis that resulted in reduced cancer cell viability. The exact mechanism underlying the induction of apoptosis in HCT-116 cell line by ZW is not fully elucidated. The unique balanced combination of ions and the alkalinity of ZW are candidates for such effects.

5. Conclusion

All results throughout the study confirmed that ZW inhibits cell growth by apoptosis. ROS production may be an initiating event responsible for the mechanism of HCT-116 human colon cell death. Thus, ZW might be a promising natural cancer treatment. Further researches on different types of cancer cell lines are needed, in order to investigate the effect of ZW on other types of cancers.
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