A Stich in Time Saves Nine: Primary Closure in Facial Dog Bite Injuries - A Case Series
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Abstract  The purpose of this article is to present a case series of facial dog bites injuries, its management and emphasize the importance of primary closure. Animal bite injuries, unlike wounds caused by assaults and accidents, are more distinctive, as they cause deep puncture wounds. Such wounds are inoculated with pathogenic bacteria from the saliva of the attacking dog due to the penetration of tissue by excessive bite force. Hence, these wounds are at a high risk of infection. The key point in its management is thorough cleansing, meticulous but not overzealous debridement, followed by rabies immunization, tetanus immunization, primary closure, and appropriate antibiotic therapy. A retrospective study of 27 cases that underwent primary repair or reconstruction after wound toilet, debridement and administration of prophylactic antibiotics has been presented.
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1. Introduction

According to the WHO, ten million people are bitten by animals around the world annually, of which 55,000 people are infected with rabies. Bite wounds are always considered as complex injuries contaminated with unique polymicrobial inoculum. According to an animal bite survey conducted by the WHO in 2004 on Indian population, a majority (75%) of the animal bite victims belonged to the low income strata. The major causative animal was the dog in 91.5% of the cases, of which 62.9% were strays and 37.1% pets. In decreasing order of frequency, the locations involved were cervicofacial, lower extremity, upper extremity and the chest. Interestingly, majority of the dog bite injuries in children occur in the head and neck region, while only 10% of adults suffer from similar injuries. This significant difference is attributed to the short stature of children, which makes them more vulnerable to facial injuries. The lips, nose and cheek comprise the central target areas.

Force delivered by a dog’s bite can be as high as 450lbs/sq inch. Such high quantum of forces delivered by the sharp teeth of these mammals can result in three main types of soft tissue wounds: 1. Punctures, 2. Lacerations and 3. Avulsions with or without actual tissue defect. A typical dog bite results in a combination of puncture –type wounds with adjacent tearing of tissue, which is called as the ‘Hole and Tear’ effect. Some degree of crush injury also occurs due to the dynamics of the bite. The clinical implication of puncture wounds is that, due to the presence of a narrow point of entry, poor drainage and inoculation of microorganisms to the deeper tissue planes, it creates an environment ideal for proliferation of anaerobic bacteria. Crush injuries on the other hand, present with superficial wounds and precipitate infection with lower bacterial counts. Apart from the management of esthetics and infection, facial dog bite wounds can be especially challenging when there are concomitant injuries to the vital structures like airway, the cervical spine, surrounding vascular structures, intracranial, ocular structures, the facial nerve and the parotid duct.

2. Patients and Methods

All the patients with facial dog bite injuries who had presented to The Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery with dog bite injuries to the face from January 2013 to January 2014 were treated with primary closure and followed up for a period of 6 months. The positive and negative outcomes of primary repair and reconstruction were studied.

A total of twenty seven patients with ages ranging from 1-52 years had been treated. Twenty six of the patients had presented within 24 hours of injury and one patient arrived 35 hours after the bite. All the patients had been treated within 48 hours of reporting to the hospital. Five patients were operated on, under General Anesthesia and twenty two patients under Local Anesthesia. Twenty six had undergone primary repair and 1 had been treated with a distant flap. The demographic characteristics of patients with dog bite injuries...
are given in Table 1. The sites of the injury were varied, with the lips, cheeks and nose being the most commonly affected. None of the patients presented with injuries to the adjacent vital structures. The patients were categorized into various types based on the following classification given in Table 2 and Figure 1.

**Table 1.** The Demographic Characteristics Of Patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PATIENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UPTO 28 DAYS</td>
<td>NEONATE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TILL 1 YEAR</td>
<td>INFANCY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 YEARS</td>
<td>TODDLER</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 YEARS</td>
<td>PRE-SCHOOL</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 YEARS</td>
<td>SCHOOL GOING</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18 YEARS</td>
<td>TEENAGERS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;18 YEARS</td>
<td>ADULTS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** The Percentage Of Patients According To Classification Of Facial Bite Injuries.3,4,5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage of patients</th>
<th>Clinical Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.03%</td>
<td>Superficial injury without muscle involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>51.85%</td>
<td>Deep injury with muscle involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>7.40%</td>
<td>Full-thickness injury of the cheek or lip with oral mucosal involvement (through-and-through wound)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>Deep injury with tissue defect (complete avulsion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIIB</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Deep avulsive injury exposing nasal or auricular cartilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Deep injury with severed facial nerve and/or parotid duct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Deep injury with concomitant bone fracture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All patients had been initially managed with a thorough wound toilet of saline and betadine followed by a surgical debridement of crushed wound edges. Primary closure was then attempted. In patients with substantial tissue loss, reconstruction was performed with local flaps, mucosal advancement, split skin grafts or full thickness grafts. For patients operated under general anesthesia, the hospital stays ranged from 1 to 3 days. After discharge, the patients were followed up on an outpatient basis.

### 2.1. Operative Procedures:

The steps followed in the management of the injuries included:

- Skin preparation and anesthesia
- Proper surgical toilet of the wound by irrigation.
- Meticulous but not overzealous debridement of devitalized tissue [Resection of skin tags, Removal of visible foreign particles]
- Primary closure of the wound except in the high risk cases.

- Appropriate antibiotic therapy.
- Tetanus and rabies immunization.
- Follow-up.

On arrival, all the patients were subjected to general examination by a pediatrician/physician depending on age of patient. vitals (pulse, temperature, respiratory rate, blood pressure) were recorded, examination for other associated injuries was carried out.

All patients were treated with anti-rabies immunoglobulins (human rabies immunoglobulins) into and around the wound. Dosage of immunoglobulins was based on the weight of the patient (20 i.u per kg body weight). Intra muscular tetanus toxoid of 0.5ml was given to all patients taking into consideration the age and immunization status.

Test dose of 2% lignocaine was given to all patients, none of the patients presented with adverse reaction towards immunoglobulins, lignocaine.

**Surgical toilet of the wound**

Wounds and the surrounding areas were inspected, scrubbed with soap solution, savlon (cetrimide 0.6% w/v + chlorhexidine gluconate solution 0.3% w/v + absolute alcohol 3% v/v) following which the region was mopped with 0.9% normal saline. The areas around the wounds were anesthetized with either nerve blocks or infiltration with 2% lignocaine + 1:80,000 adrenaline (adrenaline was mainly used for its local vasoconstriction effect and prolonged duration of action), after completely anesthetizing the area the wound was explored to remove all visible foreign objects.

A manual irrigation with 150-200ml of 0.9% normal saline was carried out with 10-20 ml syringe an 18-20 gauge needle. The total cleaning time ranged from 10 to 15 minutes. This was followed by careful rinsing with 10% povidone iodine, diluted with 0.9% normal saline. All the devitalized tissues and tissue tags were carefully excised keeping in mind not to excessively trim the tissues, as facial tissues have rich vascularity and can survive on small pedicle.

Out of 27 patients 10 patients had bite marks and scratches over the chest, upper extremities, and lower extremities. Even these associated wounds were subjected to wound toilet with irrigation.

**Closure**

The wounds were sutured in layers, using resorbable multifilament/monofilament (3-0, 4-0) for deeper layers and non resorbable suture material for epidermis (4-0,5-0). Skin sutures were retrieved after 5-7 days, according to wound healing condition.

All patients received antibiotic coverage of amoxicillin +/- clavulanic acid and metronidazole for duration of 3-5 days. None of the patients reported with allergies to the medications.

Five of our patients were operated under general anesthesia. All the patients were less than 7 years of age. The anesthetic agent used was sevoflurane for induction and maintenance. It was administered as a mixture with nitrous oxide and oxygen. For induction inhalation anesthesia
ranged from 5- 7% and for maintenance 2- 2.6% of sevoflurane, in 60% of nitrous oxide and 40% of oxygen. The dosage was calculated based on patients weight, and clinical status.

Figure 1. Percentage of the Patients According To Classification Of Facial Dog Bite Injuries.

Following primary closure of facial injuries the following complications were seen, Wound infection were seen in 5 patients (18.51%), Minor scarring in 15 patients (55.55%), dog ear deformity in 2 patients (7.4%) and a rare case of allergy to the glue used in transpore was seen in a patient (3.7%), Figure 2.

2.2. Complications

A follow up of the patients extended for four months to one year, during which only minor scarring was seen (Fig.5c).

3. Discussion

A thorough history and clinical examination should be conducted to rule out injuries to the associated vital structures in the vicinity. Following the primary assessment, the bite wounds should be assessed for the presence of infection and classified based on the type, size and depth. In this study the patients had been classified as mentioned in Table 1. As with any laceration, the mainstay of wound care is irrigation and removal of any necrotic tissue. However, common practices, such as cleaning with soap or scrubbing, are best reserved for high-risk wounds. Irrigation is essential in preventing infection because it removes debris and microorganisms. Puncture wounds are difficult to irrigate thoroughly and hence are twice as likely to become infected.

All the patients who had presented to us had undergone a thorough irrigation as mentioned earlier. It was noted that three of the patients had presented with puncture wounds. Care was taken not to incise the puncture wounds as it would lead to unnecessary scarring. A review of literature has shown that manual irrigation of 150 to 500 mL of solution provides an adequate cleansing effect for most facial bite wounds with a 19-gauge catheter on a 30- to 60-mL syringe delivers a pressure range between 5 and 8 psi is considered optimal for appropriate decontamination. However, sustained high-pressure irrigation should be avoided in areas containing loose areolar tissue, such as the eyelids or children’s cheeks, because such irrigation may cause tissue disruption and excessive edema. Incising the puncture to promote irrigation is not recommended as it causes unnecessary scarring. Normal saline is the fluid of choice for irrigation. 1% povidone-iodine solution has also been recommended for irrigation of bite wounds because this solution provides an optimal therapeutic balance between bactericidal capacity and tissue toxicity associated with iodine-containing formulations. However, when used under pressure for wound decontamination, saline has compared favorably with 1% povidone-iodine solution and other less commonly used alternatives. Moreover, even if povidone-iodine or other antiseptic solutions are used as irrigant, copious rinsing with normal saline should follow to minimize the risk of cytotoxicity. Debridement of facial wounds should be kept to a minimum so as to avoid sacrifice of tissue that has a good chance to survive, particularly in landmark areas such as the vermilion border of the lips, the nasolabial fold, and the eyebrows.

For uncomplicated bite wounds presenting beyond the “golden 24-hour period” primary closure remains controversial. In these cases, delayed closure is a time-honored practice. This implies a waiting period of 4 to 5 days before definitive wound closure, during which the wound is kept open, usually with moist gauze dressings providing drainage, while edema is allowed to subside. For primary repair of late-presenting wounds to achieve a less noticeable scar, carries increased risk for infection. This approach has been substantiated by studies suggesting that primary closure of facial human bites can be undertaken with an acceptable risk within 48 hours and even as late as the fourth day after the injury. However, these studies included mainly low-risk wounds (i.e. avulsion type rather than punctures or crush injuries), most of them located on the lips, which are very resistant to the development of infection. Avulsion bite wounds can pose reconstructive challenges if direct closure is not possible. Attempts to reattach avulsed parts are usually doomed to fail. In these cases, local skin flaps or composite grafts should be considered, depending on...
the area involved. Only one patient had presented to us after the ‘golden 24-hour period’ and was treated with primary closure of the wound. This patient showed no remarkable complications following the treatment. However, we feel the need to include a larger sample size to assess the usefulness of primary closure in such patients.

Antibiotic administration for bite wounds can be either prophylactic or therapeutic. In the presence of established infection or any underlying predisposing condition, antibiotic therapy is indicated. However, it remains unclear whether healthy patients with fresh clinically uninfected wounds benefit from prophylactic antibiotic administration. Even in these cases, however, antibiotic therapy may actually be therapeutic if enough time has elapsed for bacterial proliferation to reach a level that can result in the development of infection. We followed a protocol where all the patients had been treated with a course of amoxicillin. In cases of contaminated and punctured wounds metronidazole had been added as well. The antibiotic dosages for pediatric patients had been prescribed based on the Clark’s formula. None of our patients had reported with allergies to these drugs.

Figures depicting some of the patients who had been treated with primary closure following dog bite injuries. Fig 3a,3b – Class IIA wound over the left temple and its primary closure with local advancement flap. Fig 4a,4b – Class IIB wound over the scalp and its primary closure. Fig 5a, 5b – multiple Class I, IIA injuries over the face and the primary closure. Fig 5c – Minor postoperative scarring

Leaving open dog-bite lacerations even secondary closure is unsatisfactory to both the doctor and the patient alike. Primary closure not only minimizes the post-operative scarring but also reduces infection rate. Debridement is designed to make contaminated wound into clean wound, so that it can be sutured immediately and reach primary healing. Because if the wound is left open, it would get secondary healing and the wound would experience inflammation-hyperplasia of granulation tissue formation. The healing time will be extended and the function would not recover completely due to scar hyperplasia or contracture. Secondary healing results in unacceptable scarring. Polypropylene, a synthetic, non-absorbable, monofilament suture made by catalytic polymerization of propylene, has low...
tissue reactivity and high tensile strength, similar to nylon. Polypropylene has an extremely smooth surface, which decreases knot security and must be compensated for with extra throws. As significant advantage of prolene is its high plasticity, and ability to accommodate wound edema. Polypropylene is easy to remove.28 Due to its low tissue reactivity inflammation of the tissues is kept to minimal. Since, it is monofilament bacterial accumulation is reduced thus reducing the infection rate. All these factors encourage healing and minimize the scar.

Another important aspect of treatment in animal bite wounds is the possibility of Rabies. Rabies is primarily a disease of terrestrial and airborne mammals, including dogs, wolves, foxes, coyotes, jackals, cats, lions, bats and humans. The dogs however have been the main reservoir of rabies in India. Rabies is the 10th biggest cause of death due to infectious diseases worldwide. The annual death toll is around 50,000–60,000, with 99% occurring in tropical developing countries.29 While rabies is a 100% preventable disease, the lack of prophylaxis makes it a 100% fatal. Based on available evidence, a fair estimate of rabies burden in India is 2.74 rabies cases per 100,000 people annually.29

We had, in our case series provided anti-rabies immunoglobulins and anti-rabies immunization to all the patients as per WHO protocols. None of our patients had presented with symptoms of rabies during our follow-up period.

4. Conclusions

Dog bite injuries can scar not only the body but also the psyche of the individual. Associated facial scarring can leave a lasting psychological impression in these individuals. Other associated complications such as rabies and septicemia may even be life threatening. The treatment plan must be aimed at achieving optimal esthetics as well as eliminating the possibilities of other complications. We hence conclude that a thorough wound lavage and primary closure for non-infected wounds under appropriate ant-rabies immunoglobulins for post exposure prophylaxis and antibiotic regimen provides the best results for victims of dog bite injuries to the face.
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