Abstract The main interest of the present paper is to study $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds that satisfy some certain tensor conditions. In particular, we consider $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds with flatness conditions. We prove that there can not exist $\phi$-projectively flat $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds whose scalar curvature is zero for the dimension is greater than three. Furthermore, we work with special weakly Ricci-symmetric $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds. We conclude the paper with an example on $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds.
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2. Preliminaries

Let \((M^n, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold. We denote by \(\nabla\) the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemann metric \(g\). Then we have

\[
R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.
\]

The Riemannian curvature tensor is defined by

\[
R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W).
\]

The Ricci tensor of \(M^n\) is defined as

\[
S(X, Y) = \text{tr} \{Z \rightarrow R(X, Z)Y\}.
\]

Locally, \(S\) is given by

\[
S(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R(X, E_i, Y, E_i),
\]

where \(\{E_1, E_2, ..., E_n\}\) is a local orthonormal frames field and \(X, Y, Z, W\) are vector field on \(M^n\).

The Ricci operator \(Q\) is a tensor field of type \((1, 1)\) on \(M^n\) defined by

\[
g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y),
\]

for all vector field on \(M^n\).

Let \((M^n, g)\), \(n = \dim M, n > 3\), be a connected Riemannian manifold of class \(C^\infty\) and \(\nabla\) be its Riemannian connection. The Weyl conformal curvature tensor \(C\) (see [17]), the conharmonic curvature tensor \(K\) (see [6]) and the projective curvature tensor \(P\) (see [17]) of \((M^n, g)\) are defined as

\[
C(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY \lambda] + \frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],
\]

\[
K(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY],
\]

\[
P(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1} [g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY],
\]

respectively, where \(Q\) is the Ricci operator, \(S\) is the Ricci tensor, \(\tau = \text{tr}(S)\) is the scalar curvature and \(X, Y, Z \in \chi(M^n), \chi(M^n)\) being the Lie algebra of vector fields of \(M^n\).

Let \(C\) be the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of \(M^n\). Since at each point \(p \in M^n\) the tangent space \(T_p(M^n)\) can be decomposed into the direct sum \(T_p(M^n) = \phi(T_p(M^n)) \oplus L(\xi_p)\), where \(L(\xi_p)\) is a 1-dimensional linear subspace of \(T_p(M^n)\) generated by \(\xi_p\), we have a map:

\[
C : T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \rightarrow \phi(T_p(M^n)) \oplus L(\xi_p).
\]

It may be natural to consider the following particular cases:

1. \(C : T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \rightarrow L(\xi_p)\), that is, the projection of the image of \(C\) in \(\phi(T_p(M^n))\) is zero.

2. \(C : T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \rightarrow \phi(T_p(M^n))\), that is, the projection of the image of \(C\) in \(L(\xi_p)\) is zero.

3. \(C : \phi(T_p(M^n)) \times \phi(T_p(M^n)) \times \phi(T_p(M^n)) \rightarrow L(\xi_p)\), that is, when \(C\) is restricted to \(T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n) \times T_p(M^n)\), the projection of the image of in \(\phi(T_p(M^n))\) is zero. This condition is equivalent to

\[
\phi^2 C(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z = 0,
\]

(see [4]).

A differentiable manifold \((M^n, g)\), \(n > 3\), satisfying the condition (2.4) is called \(\phi\)-conformally flat.

A differentiable manifold \((M^n, g)\), \(n > 3\), satisfying the condition

\[
\phi^2 K(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z = 0,
\]

is called \(\phi\)-conharmonically flat.

A differentiable manifold \((M^n, g)\), \(n > 3\), satisfying the condition

\[
\phi^2 P(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z = 0,
\]

is called \(\phi\)-projectively flat.

The cases (1) and (2) were considered in [18] and [19], respectively. The case (3) was considered in [4] for the case \(M^n\) is a \(K\)-contact manifold. In [2], the authors considered \((k, \mu)\)-contact manifolds satisfying Eq. (2.5). Furthermore in [1], the authors studied \((k, \mu)\)-contact metric manifolds satisfying Eq. (2.4).

In [12], the author proves that an \(n\)-dimensional \((n > 3)\) conformally flat Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is an \(\eta\)-Einstein manifold, conharmonically flat Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold with zero
scalar curvature. Also the author showed that a projectively flat Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold with scalar curvature $\tau = n(n - 1)$.

3. $\alpha$-COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

Let $M^n$ be an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold equipped with a triple $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$, where $\phi$ is a $(1, 1)$-tensor field, $\xi$ is a vector field, $\eta$ is a 1-form on $M^n$ such that
\[ \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi \]
which implies
\[ \phi \xi = 0 \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0 \quad \text{rank}(\phi) = n - 1. \]
If $M^n$ admits a Riemannian metric $g$, such that
\[ g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi) \]
then $M^n$ is said to admit almost contact structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$.

On such a manifold, the fundamental $\Phi$ of $M^n$ is defined as
\[ \Phi(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \]
An almost contact metric manifold $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be almost cosymplectic if $d\eta = 0$ and $d\Phi = 0$, where $d$ is the exterior differential operator. The products of almost Kaehlerian manifolds and the real line $\mathbb{R}$ or the $S^2$ circle are the simplest examples of almost cosymplectic manifolds. An almost contact manifold $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is said to be normal if the Nijenhuis torsion
\[ N_g(X, Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] + \phi^2[X, Y] + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi \]
vanishes for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$. A normal almost cosymplectic manifolds is called a cosymplectic manifold.

As it is known that an almost contact metric structure is cosymplectic if and only if both $\nabla\eta$ and $\nabla\Phi$ vanish.

An almost contact metric manifold $M^n$ is said to be almost $\alpha$-Kenmotsu if $d\eta = 0$ and $d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \Phi, \alpha$ being a non-zero real constant. It is worthwhile to note that almost $\alpha$-Kenmotsu structures are related to some special local conformal deformations of almost cosymplectic structures.

In order to treat these two classes in a unified way, we have a new notion of an almost $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold for any real number $\alpha$ that is defined as in the formula
\[ d\eta = 0, \quad d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \Phi. \]
A normal almost $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold is called an $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold. An $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold is either cosymplectic under the condition $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha$-Kenmotsu under the condition $\alpha \neq 0$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

On such an $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold, we have
\[ (\nabla_X \phi) Y = \alpha [g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X] \]
for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ on $M^n$.

Let $M$ be a $n$-dimensional $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold. From Eq. (3.4), it is easy to see that
\[ \nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi^2 X \]
where $\nabla$ denotes the Riemannian connection.

In an $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold $M^n$, the following relations are held
\[ R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^2 [\eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi], \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \alpha^2 [\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X], \]
\[ S(\xi, X) = -\alpha^2(n - 1)\eta(X), \]
\[ S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + \alpha^2(n - 1)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \]
\[ R(\xi, X)\xi = \alpha^2 [X - \eta(X)\xi] = -\alpha^2\phi^2 X \]
\[ g(R(\xi, X)Y, \xi) = \alpha^2 [\eta(X)\eta(Y) - g(X, Y)] \]
\[ Q\xi = -\alpha^2(n - 1)\xi \]
\[ S(\xi, \xi) = -\alpha^2(n - 1) \]
for any vector fields $X, Y$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Kenmotsu manifolds have been studied by author [7] and he obtained the above results for $\alpha = 1$.

An $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold $M^n$ is said to be Einstein if its Ricci tensor $S$ is of the form
\[ S(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y), \]
where $\lambda$ is constant and it is called $\eta$-Einstein if its Ricci tensor $S$ is of the form
\[ S(X, Y) = \lambda_1 g(X, Y) + \lambda_2 \eta(X)\eta(Y), \]
for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$, where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are functions on $M^n$ (see [3], [17]).
4. Some Tensor Conditions on $\alpha$-Cosymplectic Manifolds

**Theorem 1.** Let $M^n$ be an $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold. If the manifold $M^n$ is conharmonically flat Einstein manifold, then $M^n$ is a manifold of constant curvature such that $\alpha^2$ is constant.

**Proof.** We suppose that $M^n$ be an $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold satisfying following condition

$$K(X, Y)Z = 0.$$  

(4.1)

Then it follows from Eq.(2.2)

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{1}{n-2}[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)Q(X) - g(X, Z)Q(Y)].$$  

(4.2)

Let the manifold be Einstein. Then Eq.(4.2) reduces to

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{2\lambda}{n-2}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y].$$  

(4.3)

or

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = \frac{2\lambda}{n-2}[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)].$$  

(4.4)

Taking $X = W = \xi$ in Eq.(4.4), then we get

$$g(R(\xi, Y)Z, \xi) = \frac{2\lambda}{n-2}[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)].$$  

(4.5)

Using Eq.(4.5) with the help of Eq.(3.9), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{2\lambda}{n-2} + \alpha^2\right)[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] = 0.$$  

We observe that if $g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) = 0$, then $g(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0$ can be obtained by using Eq.(3.3) which is a contradiction on this structure. So it must be $\lambda = \frac{(2 - n)\alpha^2}{2}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$. Hence, $\alpha$-Kenmotsu case has a constant curvature such that $\alpha^2$ is constant. Consequently, the manifold $M^n$ has a constant curvature satisfying $\alpha^2$ is constant. As a special case, if we choose $\alpha = 1$, we obtain that a conharmonically $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold is locally isometric with a unit sphere which is proved in [10].

**Definition 1.** An $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)$ is called a special weakly Ricci-symmetric manifold if

$$\nabla_X \dot{S}(Y, Z) = 2\zeta(X)S(Y, Z) + \zeta(Y)S(X, Z) + \zeta(Z)S(Y, X)$$  

(4.6)

where $\zeta$ is a $1$-form and is defined as

$$\zeta(X) = g(X, \rho),$$  

(4.7)

where $\rho$ is the associated vector field.

Then we can give the following result:

**Theorem 2.** If a special weakly Ricci-symmetric $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold admits a cyclic Ricci tensor, then the $1$-form $\zeta$ can not be vanished.

**Proof.** Let Eqs.(4.6) and (4.7) be satisfied in an $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold $M^n$. Taking cyclic sum of Eq.(4.6), we have

$$\nabla_X \dot{S}(Y, Z) + \nabla_Y \dot{S}(X, Z) + \nabla_Z \dot{S}(X, Y) = 4[\zeta(X)S(Y, Z) + \zeta(Y)S(X, Z) + \zeta(Z)S(Y, X)].$$  

(4.8)

Let $M$ admit a cyclic Ricci tensor. Then Eq.(4.8) reduces to

$$\zeta(X)S(Y, Z) + \zeta(Y)S(X, Z) + \zeta(Z)S(Y, X) = 0.$$  

(4.9)

Taking $Z = \xi$ in Eq.(4.9), we have

$$\zeta(X)S(Y, \xi) + \zeta(Y)S(X, \xi) + \eta(\rho)S(Y, \xi) = 0,$$  

(4.10)

also taking $Y = \xi$ in Eq.(4.10) we get

$$\zeta(X)S(\xi, \xi) + \eta(\rho)S(X, \xi) + \eta(\rho)S(\xi, X) = 0.$$  

(4.11)

Using $X = \xi$ in Eqs.(4.11) and (3.11), we find

$$-3\alpha^2(n - 1)\eta(\rho) = 0,$$  

(4.12)

and

$$\eta(\rho) = 0.$$  

(4.13)
Hence, Eq.(4.6) gives $\phi$-manifolds. According to these statements, the following results are held under certain flatness conditions.

**Proof.** Since $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold is an Einstein manifold, it holds $(D_X S)(Y, Z) = \lambda g(Y, Z)$. Hence, Eq.(4.6) gives

$$2\zeta(X)S(Y, Z) + \zeta(Y)S(X, Z) + \zeta(Z)S(Y, X) = 0.$$  

Putting $Z = \xi$ in Eq.(4.14), we get

$$2\zeta(X)S(Y, \xi) + \zeta(Y)S(X, \xi) + \eta(\rho)S(Y, X) = 0.$$  

Further, taking $X = \xi$ in Eq.(4.15), we have

$$2\eta(\rho)S(Y, \xi) + \zeta(Y)S(\xi, \xi) + \eta(\rho)S(Y, \xi) = 0.$$  

Putting $Y = \xi$ in Eq.(4.16) and with the help of Eqs.(3.1) and (3.11) provides

$$-4\alpha^2(n - 1)\eta(\rho) = 0.$$  

In view of Eq.(4.17) in Eq.(4.16), we find $\zeta(Y) = 0, \forall Y \in T(M)$ for $\alpha^2 \neq 0$. On the other hand, cosymplectic case means that the 1-form $\zeta$ need not to be vanished, which completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.** A special weakly Ricci-symmetric $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold can not be an Einstein manifold if the 1-form $\zeta$ is equal to zero.

**Proof.** Suppose that $(M^n, g), n > 3$, be a $\phi$-conformally flat $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold. It is easy to see that $\phi^2 C(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z = 0$ holds if and only if

$$g(C(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M^n)$. So by the use of Eq.(2.1) $\phi$-conformally flat means

$$g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = \frac{1}{n - 2}[g(\phi Y, \phi Z)S(\phi X, \phi W)$$

$$-g(\phi X, \phi Z)S(\phi Y, \phi W) + g(\phi X, \phi W)S(\phi Y, \phi Z) -g(\phi Y, \phi W)S(\phi X, \phi Z)]$$

$$\frac{\tau}{(n - 1)(n - 2)} [g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi W)$$

$$-g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi W).$$

Let $\{E_1, ..., E_{n-1}, \xi\}$ be a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in $M^n$. Using that $\{\phi E_1, ..., \phi E_{n-1}, \xi\}$ is also a local orthonormal basis, if we put $X = W = E_i$ in Eq.(4.2) and sum up with respect to $i$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(R(\phi E_i, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi E_i) = \left(\frac{1}{n - 2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [g(\phi Y, \phi Z)S(\phi E_i, \phi E_i)$$

$$-g(\phi E_i, \phi Z)S(\phi Y, \phi E_i) + g(\phi E_i, \phi E_i)S(\phi Y, \phi Z)$$

$$-g(\phi Y, \phi E_i)S(\phi E_i, \phi Z)]$$

$$\frac{\tau}{(n - 1)(n - 2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi E_i, \phi E_i)$$

$$-g(\phi E_i, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi E_i).$$

It can be verify that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(R(\phi E_i, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi E_i) = S(\phi Y, \phi Z) + \alpha^2 g(\phi Y, \phi Z),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S(\phi E_i, \phi E_i) = \tau + \alpha^2(n - 1),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\phi E_i, \phi Z)S(\phi Y, \phi E_i) = S(\phi Y, \phi Z).$$

5. $\alpha$-Cosymplectic manifolds with flatness conditions

In this section, we consider $\phi$-conformally flat, $\phi$-conharmonically flat and $\phi$-projectively flat $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds. According to these statements, the following results are held under certain flatness conditions.

**Theorem 4.** Let $M^n$ be an $n$-dimensional, $(n > 3)$, $\phi$-conformally flat $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold. Then $M^n$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.
\[ (5.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\phi E_i, \phi E_i) = n - 1, \]

and

\[ (5.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(\phi E_i, \phi Z)g(\phi Y, \phi E_i) = g(\phi Y, \phi Z). \]

So by virtue of Eqs.(4.4) and (4.8), Eq.(4.3) can be written as

\[ (5.8) \quad S(\phi Y, \phi Z) = \left( \frac{\tau}{n - 1} + \alpha^2 \right) g(\phi Y, \phi Z). \]

Then by making use of Eqs.(3.4) and (3.8), Eq.(4.9) takes the form

\[ (5.9) \quad S(Y, Z) = \left( \frac{\tau}{n - 1} + \alpha^2 \right) g(Y, Z) - \left( \frac{\tau}{n - 1} + \alpha^2 n \right) \eta(Y)\eta(Z), \]

which implies that \( M^n \) is an \( \eta \)-Einstein manifold by virtue of Eq.(3.12). This completes the proof. \( \blacksquare \)

**Theorem 5.** Let \( M^n \) be an \( n \)-dimensional, \( (n > 3) \), \( \alpha \)-cosymplectic manifold. There can not exist \( \phi \)-projectively flat \( \alpha \)-cosymplectic manifolds with zero scalar curvature.

**Proof.** We assume that \( M^n \) be an \( n \)-dimensional, \( (n > 3) \), \( \phi \)-projectively flat \( \alpha \)-cosymplectic manifold. It can be easily seen that \( \phi^2 P(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z = 0 \) holds if and only if

\[ g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = 0, \]

for any \( X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M^n) \). From Eqs.(2.3) and (3.8), \( \phi \)-projectively flat means

\[ (5.10) \quad g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = \frac{1}{n - 2}[g(\phi Y, \phi Z)S(\phi X, \phi W) - g(\phi X, \phi Z)S(\phi Y, \phi W)]. \]

Choosing \( \{E_1, ..., E_{n-1}, \xi\} \) as a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in \( M^n \) and using the fact that \( \{\phi E_1, ..., \phi E_{n-1}, \xi\} \) is also a local orthonormal basis, putting \( X = W = E_i \) in Eq.(5.10) and summing up with respect to \( i \), then we have

\[ (5.11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(R(\phi E_i, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi E_i) = \frac{1}{n - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [g(\phi Y, \phi Z)S(\phi E_i, \phi E_i) - g(\phi E_i, \phi Z)S(\phi Y, \phi E_i)]. \]

Then applying Eqs.(4.4) and (4.6) into Eq.(5.11) gives

\[ S(\phi Y, \phi Z) = \left( \frac{\tau}{n} \right) g(\phi Y, \phi Z). \]

By virtue of Eqs.(3.4) and (3.8), we find

\[ (5.12) \quad S(Y, Z) = \left( \frac{\tau}{n} \right) g(Y, Z) - \left( \frac{\tau}{n} + \alpha^2 (n - 1) \right) \eta(Y)\eta(Z), \]

and contracting the above identity gives

\[ (5.13) \quad \tau + \alpha^2 n(n - 1) = 0. \]

The condition \( \alpha = 0 \) implies that \( \tau = 0 \). But, \( \alpha \)-Kenmotsu case means \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \), which is a contraction. That is, there can not exist \( \phi \)-projectively flat \( \alpha \)-cosymplectic manifolds with \( \tau = 0 \). \( \blacksquare \)

**Theorem 6.** Let \( M^n \) be an \( n \)-dimensional, \( (n > 3) \), \( \phi \)-conharmonically flat \( \alpha \)-cosymplectic manifold. Then \( M^n \) is an \( \eta \)-Einstein manifold with zero scalar curvature.

**Proof.** We suppose that \( (M^n, g) \), \( (n > 3) \), be a \( \phi \)-conformally flat \( \alpha \)-cosymplectic manifold. It obvious that \( \phi^2 K(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z = 0 \) holds if and only if

\[ g(K(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = 0, \]

for any \( X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M^n) \). Using Eq.(2.2), \( \phi \)-conformally flat gives

\[ g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = \left( \frac{1}{n - 2} \right) [g(\phi Y, \phi Z)S(\phi X, \phi W) - g(\phi X, \phi Z)S(\phi Y, \phi W) + g(\phi Y, \phi W)S(\phi Y, \phi Z) - g(\phi Y, \phi W)S(\phi X, \phi Z)]. \]
in Eq. (4.13) and sum up with respect to $i$, then
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(R(\phi E_i, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi E_i) = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [g(\phi Y, \phi Z) S(\phi E_i, \phi E_i)
- g(\phi E_i, \phi Z) S(\phi Y, \phi E_i) + g(\phi E_i, \phi E_i) S(\phi Y, \phi Z)]
\]
(5.15)

Make use of Eqs. (4.4) and (4.7), Eq. (4.14) turns into
\[
S(\phi Y, \phi Z) = (\tau + \alpha^2) g(\phi Y, \phi Z).
\]
(5.16)

Then applying Eqs. (3.4) and (3.8) into Eq. (4.15) we have
\[
S(Y, Z) = (\tau + \alpha^2) g(Y, Z) - (\tau + \alpha^2 n) \eta(Y) \eta(Z),
\]
(5.17)

that is, $M^n$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold. By contracting Eq. (4.16) we find $(2 - n) \tau = 0$, which implies the scalar curvature $\tau = 0$, completing the proof.  

6. Discussion

It is well known that $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds can be derived from almost contact Riemannian manifolds. Many different types of almost contact structures are defined in the literature (almost cosymplectic, cosymplectic, almost $\alpha$-Kenmotsu, $\alpha$-Kenmotsu,..., [8], [16]). Many tensor conditions are valid for these types of manifolds. In particular, we examine $\alpha$-cosymplectic structures which have some certain tensor conditions. We have known that almost contact metric manifold is said to be normal providing that the Nijenhuis torsion vanishes for any vector fields on these kind of manifolds. Curvature properties of almost $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds are more complicated than $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds. Hence, the calculation difficulties are frequently encountered by the authors. Although these manifolds possess residual statements, obtained results are more attractive and larger. Therefore, general results can be achieved by using deformations and some certain conditions.

The notion of almost $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifolds was introduced by Kim and Pak, where $\alpha$ is a scalar (see [9]). But it need not be constant. In this paper, we consider that $\alpha$ is a real constant $(\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$. We want to generalize these manifolds which have certain tensor properties by choosing a real-valued function $\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is a smooth function on almost $\alpha$-cosymplectic manifold satisfying the condition $d\alpha \wedge \eta = 0$. Our forthcoming papers will be dedicated to this topic.

Example 1. We assume the 3-dimensional manifold $M^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, x_3 \neq 0\}$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The vector fields are
\[
e_1 = e^{\alpha x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},
\ne_2 = e^{\alpha x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},
\ne_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3},
\]
where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. It is obvious that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ are linearly independent at each point of $M^3$. Let $g$ be the Riemannian metric defined by
\[
g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1, \ g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0
\]
and given by the tensor product
\[
g = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha x_3}} (dx_1 \otimes dx_1 + dx_2 \otimes dx_2) + dx_3 \otimes dx_3.
\]

Let $\eta$ be the 1-form defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, e_3)$ for any vector field $X$ on $M^3$ and $\phi$ be the $(1, 1)$ tensor field defined by
\[
\phi(e_1) = e_2, \ \phi(e_2) = -e_1, \ \phi(e_3) = 0.
\]

Then using linearity of $g$ and $\phi$, we have
\[
\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)e_3, \ \eta(e_3) = 1, \ g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),
\]
for any vector fields on $M^3$.

Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric $g$. Then we get
\[
[e_1, e_3] = \alpha e_1, \ [e_2, e_3] = \alpha e_2, \ [e_1, e_2] = 0.
\]

Using Koszul’s formula, the Riemannian connection $\nabla$ of the metric $g$ is given by
\[
2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) - g(Z, [X, Y]).
\]
Koszul's formula yields
\[
\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -\alpha e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = \alpha e_1 \\
\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -\alpha e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = \alpha e_2, \\
\n\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.
\]

In addition, one can easily obtain by simple calculation that the curvature tensor components are as follows:
\[
R(e_1, e_2) e_1 = \alpha^2 e_2 - \alpha e_1, \quad R(e_1, e_2) e_2 = -\alpha^2 e_1 + \alpha e_3, \\
R(e_1, e_2) e_3 = 0, \quad R(e_1, e_3) e_1 = \alpha^2 e_3, \\
R(e_2, e_3) e_1 = \alpha^3, \quad R(e_2, e_3) e_2 = -\alpha^2 e_1, \\
R(e_2, e_3) e_3 = -\alpha^2 e_2.
\]

It remains to prove that \(d\eta = 0\) and \(d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \Phi\). Also, it can be easily check that Nijenhuis torsion of \(\phi\) is zero. By simple calculations, it is seen that \(d\eta = 0\). It follows that \(\Phi(e_1, e_2) = 1\) and \(\Phi(e_i, e_j) = 0\) for \(i \leq j\). Thus the essential non-zero component of \(\Phi\) is
\[
\Phi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha x_3}},
\]

Then we have
\[
\Phi = \frac{1}{e^{2\alpha x_3}} dx_1 \wedge dx_2.
\]

Therefore, the exterior derivative \(d\Phi\) is given by
\[
d\Phi = -2\alpha e^{-2\alpha x_3} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3.
\]

Since \(\eta = dx_3\), we obtain \(d\Phi = 2\alpha \eta \wedge \Phi\). Consequently, \((\phi, \xi, \eta, g)\) is \(\alpha\)-cosymplectic structure for \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(e_3 = \xi\). It can be noted that the structure is cosymplectic under the condition \(\alpha = 0\). Otherwise the structure is \(\alpha\)-Kenmotsu on \(M^3\).
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