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Abstract This paper represents an attempt to describe the society of “norms” and ideals which lead to the destruction of the body. The Edible Woman by Margaret Atwood lends itself well to an exploration of this complex condition. This is particularly true if we consider the fact that Margaret Atwood writes about the female body in terms of the culture that determines it. Atwood’s female bodies tell the story of the subjects’ experience within a system that seeks to consume them. Susan Bordo finds Foucault’s model of self-surveillance useful for the analysis of femininity is reproduced through a process of self-normalization to cultural ideals of the perfect face or the perfect body. According to Susan Bordo anorexia must be defined within a cultural context. Bordo feels that it is through eating disorders that resistance to the dominant ideological system is made known. But at the same time this resistance also destroys the contemporary female body. In conclusion we could claim that body image is strongly influenced by social norms about physical beauty. We will see how the human body is introduced in a mechanism of power with a social basis, that explores it, breaks it down and rearranges it.
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1. Introduction

“Il nostro mondo senza letteratura sarebbe impoverito non solo di emozioni – come comunemente si crede – ma anche di conoscenza. Di conoscenza di noi stessi e della realtà. La letteratura riattiva le zone d’ombra e, per il fatto stesso di essere finzione, fa affiorare dubbi e domande, mette in discussione il senso comune. Svelando mondi paralleli, proprio perché è finzione svela la non necessità di ciò che è successo, e serve – come dice Javier Mariás (1994) – come promemoria di quelle parti, di quelle dimensioni che siamo soliti tralasciare soprattutto quando cerchiamo di spiegare, di definire”.

Using Gabriella Turnaturi’s words (Andare Oltre, 2013, p. 204): literature is one of the most important tools with which is reactivate areas kept in shadow and as the writer George Eliot argues: the art of novel is a way to enrich the experience beyond the boundaries of our personal destiny. The idea of perceiving literary works as a cognitive instrument, rises in an extraliterary field and it is a consequence of anthropological, sociological and psychopedagogical studies dating back to the end of the XIX century. As the great literary theorist Remo Ceserani has claimed, the discovery of the cognitive value of narration “gives suddenly to literature (whose food are stories), a space of extraordinary importance in our mental, social and cultural life, but also a notable responsibility” (Ceserani, 1999, p. 202). Literature represents an almost inexhaustible source of signs interwoven deeply within the aspects of a given society. Such signs make novelists and scholars, creators of literary images able to create new lights on lives practiced by social sciences (Cfr. Parini, 2012).

As Paolo Jedlowski points out, departing from the assumption that literature creates, at least partly, the reality that it describes, we will see how it is used as the only tool through which it is possible to create a liberation, give life to a resistance with respect to the dominant ideological system to be subverted. This resistance is expressed in the novel we are considering here, through eating disorders. Specifically we will refer to anorexia, that of Marian, the protagonist of The Edible Woman, a pre-feminist novel written by Margaret Atwood.

We will try to understand the paradox that the novel proposes: that of a contemporary female body that is relentlessly destroyed by the resistance / rebellion which should preserve it.

2. The Rejection of the “Norm” in a Novel by Margaret Atwood

The most important thing to be understood is that we live in a world of norms and while many of us endeavor to be normal others try to avoid that state of “normalcy”. In the following work we will find useful to define the concept of “norm”. This concept could be metaphorically explained with the gaussian Gauss Curve, that is an innovative mathematical concept whose implications with the real world are very significant: the concept of a norm implies that the majority of a population should be part of the norm. The norm pins down the majority of the population that falls under the arch of the standard bell-shaped curve. So, as a consequence, that curve begins to be considered the symbol of the tyranny of the norm itself. These concepts are closely related to the famous objective characterizing eugenics whose principle aim is to lead to the improvement of the species. This aim is summarized in Hitler’s famous declaration: “the struggle for the daily livelihood [between species] leaves behind, in the ruck, everything that is weak or diseased or weaving”. The search for perfection submits whatever embodies the subverted ideal of frailty and imperfection.

As Susan Bordo claims in many of her essays and as Jules Henry also states, psychopathology is the final outcome of all that is wrong with a culture and, we could add, with a society. Specifically, eating disorders such as bulimia or anorexia are multidimensional disorders and anorexia, in particular, is related to a key concept elaborated by Kim Chernin and defined as “the tyranny of slenderness”. The point is the following: why are we so obsessed with keeping our body slim? Women, and those people who want to embody the “norm”, consciously or unconsciously turn their body into a locus of social control.

*The Edible Woman* by Margaret Atwood is a novel whose protagonist, Marian, tries to fight against a tainted social system. Suddenly and unconsciously, Marian develops a strange illness: she rejects food. According to her mother it was due to a state of excitement and she thinks that eating strange illness: she rejects food. According to her mother it was due to a state of excitement and she thinks that eating

Marian was probably not just refusing food, Marian refuses to be checked upon, and to be disabled by the same society who begins a silent protest; of the insane social construction of disability: a woman enabled by the same society who at a certain point decides to undergo a silent protest. As Susan Wendell claims:

“Our real human bodies are exceedingly diverse – in size, shape, colour, texture, structure, function, range and habits of movement and development – and they are constantly changing. Yet we do not absorb or reflect this simple fact in our culture. Instead we idealize the human body. Our physical ideals change from time to time, but we always have ideals. These ideals are not just about appearance, they are also ideals of strength and energy and proper control of the body. We are bombarded with images of these ideals, demand for them [...]. Idealizing the body prevent everyone, able-bodied and disabled, from identifying with and loving her/his real body.”

Wendell’s words refer to the condition of disabled women who struggle with both the oppressions of being women in a male-dominated society and the oppression of being disabled in societies where able-bodied people prevail. Metaphorically speaking, Marian is fighting against a faulty society disabling herself through an eating disorder, the only instrument she could use in order to prevent the manipulation of her body by society.

What Margaret Atwood proposes in her novel is the reflex of the insane social construction of disability: a woman disabled by the same society who begins a silent protest; refusing food, Marian refuses to be checked upon, and to be subdued, thus begins a struggle against her body, in which she is a poor prisoner. The refusal of food represents the
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8 Davis J. L. (a cura), *The disability Studies Reader*. 
triomphe of the mind over the body. In Orbach’s words: anorexia becomes a sort of “strike of the hunger” 9 , beginning a political discourse in which the drastic transformation of the body and the refusal of food say what she doesn't succeed in expressing through words. The body becomes a form of communication and Margaret Atwood chooses the metaphor of anorexia as a useful narrative expedient in order to give voice (through Marian’s annoyance) to what, unfortunately, continues to be a social paradox. A fundamental key for the comprehension of the novel is its structure. It is made up of three sections: in the first section there is the first person narrator, in the second the story is delineated under the eyes of the reader through a third narrating voice, with the first person coming back in the last part. These various sections correspond respectively to the moment before her engagement, to her actual engagement, and to her return to the status of being single. The third person of the second section perfectly represents a Marian who doesn't have control of herself anymore, a control that is reacquired only at the very end when she renounces her fiancé who would have otherwise ended up devouring her, and when she makes herself, challenging the title, uneatable. She achieves this by making a cake, an accurate reflection of his image and offering it to Peter, a gesture through which she regains her independence. Paradoxically, her cooking for a man, once again reincarnates the social ideal of femininity.

3. Subverting a System through Literature: The Body from Locus of Control to Place of Rebellion

The narration becomes the way where the union between body and mind is realised. Legend has it that human existence is bifurcated into two realms or substances: the bodily or material, on the one hand, and the mental or spiritual, on the other hand. Bordo considers three important positions: for Descartes the body is the brute material envelope for the inner and essential self, as mechanical in its operations as a machine, and as a consequence it is comparable to animal existence; for Plato the body is a sort of prison, it is a cage from which the soul, the will, or mind struggle to escape. According to Augustine, the body is the enemy of the mind because it is the source of obscurity and confusion in our mind. From these perspectives which consider the body a tool, the body itself could become the place of social control. Just think about the nineteenth century hourglass figure which, emphasizing breasts and hips, was an intelligible symbolic form, representing a domestic ideal of femininity. The cultural contrast between the female and the male form, made possible by the use of the corset, reflected the dualistic division of social and cultural life into clearly defined female and male spheres. In order to achieve the specified look a particular praxis was required - minimal eating, reduced mobility - rendering the female body unfit to perform activities outside of its sphere.

This is, precisely, what Foucault defines the useful body. The temptation, the desire to embody an aesthetical norm, an ideal leading to the creation of docile bodies. A clear example could be found in L’homme-machine by La Mettrie, in which human beings are described as mechanical products of society. La Mettrie introduces the concept of dressage (training of the body) and Foucault departs from this point in the construction of his theory that unites the idea of the observable body to that of the manipulable body. According to Foucault the constant control over the body would prevent that deviation from the norm described before, creating therefore docile bodies. Foucault and Bordo are absolutely aware of one thing: the body is strongly influenced by the social norms related to the necessity of a form that corresponds to the physical ideal of the perfect face and the perfect body.

4. Conclusion

As it is clear through this short analysis of Atwood novel, it is precisely the preoccupation to embody the “norm” which leads to the creation of docile bodies (these bodies are sensitive to any departure from social norms and accustomed to self-improvements and self-transformation in the service of those norms).

So, we could claim that body image is strongly influenced by social norms about physical beauty and desirable features for both women and men in any given culture. Therefore, the docile body increases the forces of the body itself in economic terms of utility and diminishes the same forces in political terms of obedience. We could agree with Foucault when he says that the human body is introduced in a mechanism of power with a social basis, that explores it, breaks it down and rearranges it.
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